


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The association between DNA methylation of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* in blood and coronary heart disease in Chinese population

Liya Zhu^{1†}, Chao Zhu^{2†}, Jinxin Wang³, Rongxi Yang^{1*}  and Xiaojing Zhao^{4,5*}

Abstract

Background: Early detection could significantly improve the prognosis of coronary heart disease (CHD). In-vitro diagnostic technique may provide a solution when sufficient biomarkers could be identified. Pertinent associations between blood-based aberrant DNA methylation and smoking, the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and CHD have been robustly demonstrated and replicated, but that studies in Chinese populations are rare. The blood-based methylation of aryl-hydrocarbon receptor repressor (*AHRR*) cg05575921 and *6p21.33* cg06126421 has been associated with cardiovascular mortality in Caucasians. Here, we aim to investigate whether the *AHRR* and *6p21.33* methylation in the blood is associated with CHD in the Chinese population.

Methods: In this case–control study, 180 CHD patients recruited at their first registration in our study center, and 184 controls randomly selected from the people who participated in the annual health examination were enrolled. Methylation intensities of 19 CpG sites, including *AHRR* cg05575921, *6p21.33* cg06126421, and their flanking CpG sites, were quantified by mass spectrometry. The association between methylation intensities and CHD was estimated by logistic regression analyses adjusted for covariant.

Results: Compared to the controls, lower methylation of *6p21.33*_CpG_4.5/cg06126421 was independently associated with increased odds of being a CHD patient (OR per – 10% methylation = 1.42 after adjustment for age, gender, and batch effect; $p = 0.032$ by multiple testing corrections). No association between blood-based *AHRR* methylation and CHD was found.

Conclusions: *6p21.33* methylation exhibits a significant association with CHD. The combination of *6p21.33* methylation and conventional risk factors might be an intermediate step towards the early detection of CHD.

Keywords: Coronary heart disease, *AHRR*, *6p21.33*, DNA methylation, Peripheral blood

Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a gene-environment interacted disease mainly caused by atherosclerosis which is usually asymptomatic in the early stage [1–3], producing immense health and economic burdens on a global scale [4, 5]. Hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, diabetes, and smoking, have been well-known as risk factors for CHD [6, 7]. A series of biomarkers for cardiovascular disease have been identified, such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) [8],

[†]Liya Zhu and Chao Zhu have contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: rongxiyang@njmu.edu.cn; xjingzhao@126.com

¹ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China

⁴ Military Translational Medicine Lab, Medical Innovation Research Division, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (Lp-PLA2) [9], myeloperoxidase (MPO) [10], B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), N-terminal prohormone BNP (NT-pro BNP) [11], leukocyte counts [12], as well as plasma metabolomics and circulating micro-RNAs [13, 14]. These traditional biomarkers have their specific application value but cannot fulfill the needs of risk evaluation for CHD, which is a complex disease affected by genetic, epigenetic, environmental risk factors, and other factors. Therefore, it would be meaningful to identify new potential CHD-related markers which may improve the chance to detect CHD.

DNA methylation is the covalent methylation of cytosine C5 in CpG dinucleotide involved in inherent and acquired transcriptional inhibition of genes, which occur independently of the DNA sequence [15]. As a reversible epigenetic modification, DNA methylation is a dynamic process related to environmental exposure [16]. It also serves as an important cellular regulatory mechanism that regulates gene expression associated with inflammation and atherosclerosis [17, 18]. Previous researches have shown that aberrant DNA methylation in the leukocytes is related to inflammation and the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and subsequently causes increased mortality in cardiovascular diseases [17–20]. Additionally, aberrant methylation patterns in blood have also been reported in CHD by candidate gene approaches [21–23]. However, the clinical value of the identified biomarkers remains limited, and the association between the epigenetic landscape and CHD is not completely understood.

The protein encoded by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor (*AHRR*) gene participates in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) signaling cascade, which mediates dioxin toxicity, and is involved in the regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation [24, 25]. Smoking can trigger the production of AhR, which mediates dioxin toxicity and other pathological effects [26, 27]. Two epigenome-wide studies by Infinium Human Methylation Illumina 450 K BeadChip have revealed the association between smoking and blood-based DNA methylation in *AHRR* (cg05575921) and *6p21.33* (cg06126421) in the European population [28, 29]. Smoking is a major preventable risk factor for atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases [30, 31]. Reynolds et al. [32] further disclosed the association between smoking-responsive methylation of *AHRR* in the monocytes and subclinical atherosclerosis in a multi-ethnic study with 1,256 participants in the United States. In 2016, a prospective cohort study in Germany reported a strong association between cardiovascular mortality and a score based on the methylation intensity of two CpGs (*AHRR* cg05575921 and *6p21.33* cg06126421) [20]. Follow-up studies also suggested *AHRR* methylation in blood as a biomarker for cardiovascular disease in the Caucasian population,

such as myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, ischemic stroke, and heart failure, as well as a predictor of the risk of all-cause mortality [33–36]. So far, there is no report about the association between the methylation of *6p21.33* (cg06126421) and the risk of cardiovascular disease in Chinese populations.

Hereby, the associations between CHD and the methylation of *6p21.33* (cg06126421) and *AHRR* (cg05575921) were investigated by a case–control study in the Chinese population. The correlations between DNA methylation and lifestyles, and historical treatments were also examined.

Methods

Study population

This investigation is based on a case–control study, details of which have been reported elsewhere [37]. Briefly, 180 patients with CHD and 184 controls were collected from the Chinese PLA General Hospital from 2018 to 2019. All the CHD patients were recruited at their first registration in our study center. Their histories of medical treatment were also recorded. Controls were recruited from people who participated in the annual health examination. Baseline characteristics for CHD cases and controls were listed in Table 1.

Sample collection and processing

The peripheral whole blood from CHD cases and healthy controls were collected by ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes, and stored at -80°C till DNA isolation. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral whole blood by the Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Zymo Research, Orange County, United States). Subsequently, DNA was bisulfite converted with more than 99% efficiency (Additional file 1: Supplementary Fig. 3) by the EZ-96 DNA Methylation Gold Kit according to the standard protocol (Zymo Research, Orange County, United States).

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry

Agema matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry described by Yang et al. [38, 39] was used to quantify DNA methylation within *6p21.33* and *AHRR*. In brief, the bisulfite-converted DNA was amplified by bisulfite-specific primers (no SNPs in the primers), and two PCR amplicons (*6p21.33* amplicon covering 6p21.33_CpG_4/cg06126421 and 4 adjacent measurable CpG sites; *AHRR* amplicon covering *AHRR*_CpG_3/cg05575921 and 13 adjacent measurable CpG sites) were analyzed. These were obtained with the use of the primers 5'-aggaagaga-gGTTGTTGAAAAGGTTAGAAATATAGG-3' (sense)

Table 1 Comparison of baseline characteristics between CHD cases and controls

Characteristics	All (N = 364)	Groups		p-value ^a
		Controls (N = 184)	CHD cases (N = 180)	
Age (median, IQR)	64(58–70)	63(57–68)	66(58–73)	0.008
Male (%)	223(61.3%)	114(62.0%)	109(60.6%)	0.784
Hypertension (%)	214(58.8%)	84(45.7%)	130(72.2%)	2.00E–06
Diabetes (%)	107(29.4%)	45(24.5%)	62(34.4%)	0.063
Smoking (%)	126(34.6%)	53(28.8%)	73(40.6%)	0.027
Drinking (%)	118(32.4%)	66(35.9%)	52(28.9%)	0.116
TC, mmol/L	4.01(3.38–4.8)	4.26(3.61–5.06)	3.81(3.25–4.42)	0.001
TG, mmol/L	1.35(1.00–1.95)	1.39(1.06–2.17)	1.30(0.95–1.86)	0.147
HDL, mmol/L	1.12(0.91–1.34)	1.15(0.91–1.36)	1.09(0.91–1.31)	0.290
LDL, mmol/L	2.51(1.94–3.19)	2.69(2.06–3.40)	2.28(1.82–2.87)	2.00E–04

^a Significant p-values are in bold. CHD coronary heart disease, HDL High density lipoprotein, IQR interquartile range, LDL Low density lipoprotein, TC total cholesterol, TG triglyceride

and 5'-cagtaatcagactcactatagggagaaggctACTATCCCTCCCAACCTTAAAAA-3' (antisense) for the *6p21.33* amplicon and primers 5'-aggaagagGAGGGGTTTGT TAGGATTATTTTT-3' (sense) and 5'-cagtaatcagactcactatagggagaaggctAAACCACTCTACTCCAACCCTTACT-3' (antisense) for the *AHRR* amplicon. Upper case letters present the sequence-specific primer regions, and non-specific tags are shown in lower case letters. The Sequence of the amplicon was presented in Additional file 1: Supplementary Fig. 1. PCR products were treated according to the standard protocol of Agena EpiTyper Assay, and further cleaned by resin, and then dispensed to a 384 SpectroCHIP by a Nanodispenser. The chips were read by a MassARRAY system. Data were collected by EpiTYPER v1.2 software. For each batch of MassARRAY analysis, the same number of CHD cases and controls were treated and analyzed in parallel in all the processes.

Statistical analyses

The data were analyzed by IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25.0. The measurement data, such as the levels of total cholesterol, total triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, lowdensity lipoprotein, and the methylation levels of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* are shown as the median (interquartile range (IQR)). The differences between CHD and control subjects were assessed using non-parametric tests (Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis test). Differences in the enumeration data, such as the frequencies of gender, smoking, drinking, hypertension, and diabetes between CHD and control subjects were analyzed using the chi-square (χ^2) test. Bivariate correlations between variables were examined by Spearman's rank correlation coefficients. Additionally, the logistic regression results with effect ratios (odds ratio (OR) and 95%

confidence intervals (CIs)) were adjusted for possible and available confounding effects. Common cardiovascular-related factors, such as TC (< 5.0 mmol/L vs. \geq 5.0 mmol/L), TG (< 1.7 mmol/L vs. \geq 1.7 mmol/L), HDL (< 1.0 mmol/L vs. \geq 1.0 mmol/L) and LDL (< 3.0 mmol/L vs. \geq 3.0 mmol/L), were divided by general used criteria [40]. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was applied to assess the discriminatory power of methylation levels. Bonferroni correction was used for the multiple comparisons. The Bonferroni correction was performed by the number of CPG sites in each gene separately. When the corrected p value was \geq 1, it is represented by 1. All the statistical tests were two-sided with p values of < 0.05.

Results

Association between blood-based *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation and CHD

DNA methylation levels at 5 CpG loci (covering cg06126421) of *6p21.33* and 14 CPG sites (covering cg05575921) of *AHRR* were quantitatively determined by mass spectrometry in the blood from 180 CHD patients and 184 controls. The CHD patients have a median age of 66 years old (IQR: 58–73, range from 39 to 87 years old) with 109 males (60.6%) and 71 females (39.4%) (Table 1). Since the controls were recruited from the health examination center where most participants were under 70 years old, our control group was a bit younger than the CHD cases (median of age: 63, IQR: 57–68, range from 41 to 88 years old) with 114 males (62.0%) and 70 females (38.0%) (Table 1). Compared with controls, CHD patients had higher prevalence of hypertension (72.2% vs. 45.7%, $p = 2 \times 10^{-6}$) and more smokers (40.6% vs. 28.8%, $p = 0.027$). CHD patients had lower TC level (3.81 vs. 4.26 mmol/L,

$p = 0.001$) and lower LDL level (2.28 vs. 2.69 mmol/L, $p = 2 \times 10^{-4}$) than controls (Table 1). The associations between *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation and the status of CHD were investigated by two logistic regression models adjusted for different covariants (Table 2). Of which, age, gender, and batch effect were adjusted in model 1, and all the baseline characteristics that had significant differences between the CHD cases and the controls (as shown in Table 1) were adjusted in the logistic regression model 2. With multiple testing corrections, *6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421* methylation was significantly associated with CHD in model 1 ($p = 0.032$ after Bonferroni correction) but not anymore in the more stringent model 2 (Table 2). None of the 14 measurable CpG loci in *AHRR* displayed any association with CHD in both model 1 and model 2 (Table 2). We also noticed that the methylation correlates better among close than among more distant CpGs. More specific, the methylation correlates better among CpGs in the same amplicon than CpGs in different amplicons which have larger distance. In addition, all CpG sites in the *AHRR* amplicon are positively correlated with each other, while partial CpG sites in the *6p21.33* amplicon are positively, or negatively correlated with each other (Additional file 1: Supplementary Fig. 2).

Methylation difference of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* between patients with heart failure, MI, non-MI CHD, and controls

Of the 180 CHD patients, 145 suffered from heart failure, 78 had experienced MI, and 102 were non-MI CHD cases. We further investigated the association between these CHD subtypes and the blood-based methylation of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* also by the two logistic regression models adjusted for different covariants (Table 3). Compared with the healthy controls, heart failure CHD patients have significantly decreased methylation at *6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421* by both logistic regression model 1 and model 2 (model 1: OR per -10% methylation (95% CI) = 1.62 (1.21–2.17), $p = 0.004$ after Bonferroni correction; model 2: OR per -10% methylation (95% CI) = 1.59 (1.17–2.16), $p = 0.012$ after Bonferroni correction, Panel A of Table 3). Since there are only 35 CHD patients without heart failure, the Mann–Whitney U test was applied to assess the *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation difference between the non-heart failure CHD cases and controls and found no significant differences (Additional file 2: Table S1).

Next, we assessed the association between the methylation of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* and the status of MI. The decreased methylation for the MI cases compared to the controls was also detected in the *6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421* by both model 1 and model 2, but not

Table 2 Methylation difference of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* comparing CHD cases and controls

CpG sites	Controls (N = 184)	CHD cases (N = 180)	OR (95%CI) per-10% methylation	<i>p</i> -value ^a	<i>p</i> -value*	OR (95%CI) per-10% methylation	<i>p</i> -value ^b	<i>p</i> -value#
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)						
<i>6p21.33_CpG_1</i>	1.00(0.99–1.00)	1.00(0.98–1.00)	0.96(0.59–1.54)	0.852	1.000	1.01(0.60–1.68)	0.976	1.000
<i>6p21.33_CpG_2</i>	0.93(0.90–0.95)	0.94(0.91–0.97)	0.59(0.36–0.94)	0.027	0.108	0.64(0.39–1.06)	0.083	0.332
<i>6p21.33_CpG_3</i>	0.63(0.57–0.70)	0.62(0.54–0.70)	1.11(0.94–1.31)	0.235	1.000	1.14(0.95–1.37)	0.152	0.608
<i>6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421</i>	0.50(0.45–0.55)	0.48(0.42–0.52)	1.42(1.10–1.83)	0.008	0.032	1.40(1.07–1.83)	0.016	0.064
<i>AHRR_CpG_1</i>	0.73(0.63–0.80)	0.74(0.58–0.83)	1.01(0.90–1.14)	0.846	1.000	1.00(0.87–1.14)	0.959	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_2</i>	0.87(0.74–0.96)	0.89(0.72–1.00)	1.02(0.90–1.16)	0.720	1.000	1.15(0.82–1.60)	0.419	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_3/cg05575921</i>	0.77(0.64–0.83)	0.75(0.59–0.85)	1.08(0.96–1.22)	0.201	1.000	1.02(0.86–1.20)	0.866	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_4.5</i>	0.77(0.68–0.84)	0.75(0.60–0.84)	1.12(0.99–1.27)	0.075	0.750	1.07(0.77–1.49)	0.696	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_6</i>	0.84(0.74–0.90)	0.82(0.65–0.91)	1.10(0.96–1.26)	0.177	1.000	1.03(0.89–1.18)	0.691	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_7</i>	0.66(0.53–0.76)	0.66(0.53–0.79)	1.01(0.89–1.16)	0.836	1.000	1.07(0.94–1.22)	0.341	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_8.9</i>	0.85(0.73–0.94)	0.87(0.76–0.94)	0.89(0.73–1.09)	0.270	1.000	1.15(1.00–1.32)	0.052	0.520
<i>AHRR_CpG_10.11</i>	0.92(0.89–0.95)	0.92(0.87–0.96)	1.25(0.91–1.71)	0.170	1.000	1.08(0.93–1.26)	0.315	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_12</i>	0.88(0.81–0.95)	0.88(0.78–0.98)	1.07(0.91–1.25)	0.420	1.000	0.99(0.85–1.14)	0.846	1.000
<i>AHRR_CpG_14.15</i>	0.94(0.91–0.95)	0.94(0.90–0.97)	1.08(0.80–1.47)	0.618	1.000	0.89(0.72–1.09)	0.260	1.000

^a Model 1: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, and batch effect

^b Model 2: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, smoking, hypertension, TC, LDL, and batch effect. Significant *p*-values are in bold

AHRR aryl-hydrocarbon receptor repressor, *CI* confidence interval, *CpG* cytidine-phosphate-guanosine, *OR* odds ratio. *:#Bonferroni-corrected *p* values. Bold values indicated $p < 0.05$

Table 3 Methylation difference of 6p21.33 and AHRR comparing heart failure cases, MI cases, non-MI CHD cases, and controls

CpG sites	Controls (N = 184) Median (IQR)	Heart failure cases (N = 145) Median (IQR)	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^a	p-value*	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^b	p-value#
<i>Panel (A). Heart failure cases vs. Controls</i>								
6p21.33_CpG_1	1.00(0.99–1.00)	1.00(0.99–1.00)	0.75(0.44–1.30)	0.308	1.000	0.78(0.44–1.38)	0.398	1.000
6p21.33_CpG_2	0.93(0.90–0.95)	0.94(0.91–0.96)	0.52(0.30–0.89)	0.017	0.068	0.56(0.31–0.98)	0.044	0.176
6p21.33_CpG_3	0.63(0.57–0.70)	0.61(0.53–0.69)	1.16(0.97–1.40)	0.113	0.452	1.19(0.98–1.45)	0.079	0.316
6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421	0.50(0.45–0.55)	0.48(0.42–0.52)	1.62(1.21–2.17)	0.001	0.004	1.59(1.17–2.16)	0.003	0.012
AHRR_CpG_1	0.73(0.63–0.80)	0.74(0.56–0.82)	1.04(0.91–1.18)	0.607	1.000	1.02(0.89–1.18)	0.775	1.000
AHRR_CpG_2	0.87(0.74–0.96)	0.88(0.70–1.00)	1.08(0.94–1.24)	0.267	1.000	1.10(0.94–1.28)	0.246	1.000
AHRR_CpG_3/cg05575921	0.77(0.64–0.83)	0.75(0.59–0.84)	1.09(0.96–1.24)	0.200	1.000	1.08(0.93–1.24)	0.319	1.000
AHRR_CpG_4.5	0.77(0.68–0.84)	0.74(0.59–0.84)	1.16(1.01–1.34)	0.031	0.310	1.19(1.02–1.38)	0.024	0.240
AHRR_CpG_6	0.84(0.74–0.90)	0.82(0.65–0.91)	1.12(0.96–1.30)	0.140	1.000	1.11(0.94–1.32)	0.217	1.000
AHRR_CpG_7	0.66(0.53–0.76)	0.67(0.54–0.82)	1.05(0.91–1.21)	0.529	1.000	1.02(0.87–1.18)	0.850	1.000
AHRR_CpG_8.9	0.85(0.73–0.94)	0.89(0.77–0.95)	0.95(0.77–1.18)	0.664	1.000	0.93(0.74–1.17)	0.542	1.000
AHRR_CpG_10.11	0.92(0.89–0.95)	0.92(0.88–0.95)	1.07(0.70–1.63)	0.762	1.000	0.96(0.61–1.51)	0.855	1.000
AHRR_CpG_12	0.88(0.81–0.95)	0.88(0.79–0.97)	1.03(0.85–1.24)	0.793	1.000	0.97(0.80–1.19)	0.798	1.000
AHRR_CpG_14.15	0.94(0.91–0.95)	0.94(0.90–0.97)	1.03(0.75–1.43)	0.841	1.000	1.04(0.74–1.45)	0.828	1.000
CpG sites	Controls (N = 184) Median (IQR)	MI cases (N = 78) Median (IQR)	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^a	p-value*	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^b	p-value#
<i>Panel (B). MI cases vs. Controls</i>								
6p21.33_CpG_1	1.00(0.99–1.00)	1.00(0.97–1.00)	1.60(0.87–2.92)	0.128	0.512	1.54(0.83–2.87)	0.173	0.692
6p21.33_CpG_2	0.93(0.90–0.95)	0.94(0.89–0.97)	0.64(0.35–1.18)	0.156	0.624	0.64(0.34–1.21)	0.170	0.680
6p21.33_CpG_3	0.63(0.57–0.70)	0.62(0.53–0.70)	1.17(0.95–1.44)	0.150	0.600	1.17(0.94–1.45)	0.161	0.644
6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421	0.50(0.45–0.55)	0.48(0.40–0.51)	1.45(1.04–2.02)	0.030	0.120	1.42(1.01–1.99)	0.042	0.168
AHRR_CpG_1	0.73(0.63–0.80)	0.68(0.53–0.82)	1.07(0.92–1.24)	0.411	1.000	1.05(0.89–1.23)	0.584	1.000
AHRR_CpG_2	0.87(0.74–0.96)	0.84(0.62–0.98)	1.07(0.92–1.25)	0.394	1.000	1.06(0.90–1.25)	0.505	1.000
AHRR_CpG_3/cg05575921	0.77(0.64–0.83)	0.72(0.50–0.84)	1.10(0.96–1.27)	0.179	1.000	1.08(0.92–1.25)	0.344	1.000
AHRR_CpG_4.5	0.77(0.68–0.84)	0.74(0.54–0.83)	1.15(0.99–1.35)	0.073	0.730	1.15(0.98–1.36)	0.093	0.930
AHRR_CpG_6	0.84(0.74–0.90)	0.79(0.61–0.91)	1.15(0.97–1.35)	0.100	1.000	1.12(0.94–1.33)	0.207	1.000
AHRR_CpG_7	0.66(0.53–0.76)	0.61(0.49–0.73)	1.06(0.89–1.26)	0.526	1.000	1.03(0.86–1.23)	0.778	1.000
AHRR_CpG_8.9	0.85(0.73–0.94)	0.82(0.69–0.92)	0.99(0.77–1.29)	0.966	1.000	0.97(0.75–1.27)	0.838	1.000
AHRR_CpG_10.11	0.92(0.89–0.95)	0.92(0.85–0.96)	1.47(1.01–2.14)	0.042	0.420	1.39(0.95–2.04)	0.091	0.910
AHRR_CpG_12	0.88(0.81–0.95)	0.87(0.75–1.00)	1.12(0.92–1.37)	0.245	1.000	1.08(0.88–1.32)	0.450	1.000
AHRR_CpG_14.15	0.94(0.91–0.95)	0.94(0.87–0.97)	1.38(0.84–2.27)	0.210	1.000	1.41(0.83–2.38)	0.203	1.000
CpG sites	Controls (N = 184) Median (IQR)	Non-MI CHD cases (N = 102) Median (IQR)	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^a	p-value*	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^b	p-value#
<i>Panel (C). Non-MI CHD cases vs. Controls</i>								
6p21.33_CpG_1	1.00(0.99–1.00)	1.00(0.99–1.00)	0.54(0.28–1.04)	0.064	0.256	0.56(0.29–1.11)	0.095	0.380
6p21.33_CpG_2	0.93(0.90–0.95)	0.94(0.92–0.97)	0.55(0.29–1.03)	0.061	0.244	0.70(0.35–1.39)	0.302	1.000
6p21.33_CpG_3	0.63(0.57–0.70)	0.62(0.55–0.70)	1.09(0.87–1.37)	0.448	1.000	1.16(0.91–1.48)	0.220	0.880
6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421	0.50(0.45–0.55)	0.48(0.44–0.52)	1.41(1.01–1.98)	0.047	0.188	1.39(0.97–1.99)	0.077	0.308
AHRR_CpG_1	0.73(0.63–0.80)	0.77(0.60–0.83)	0.96(0.81–1.12)	0.581	1.000	0.92(0.77–1.11)	0.400	1.000

Table 3 (continued)

CpG sites	Controls (N = 184) Median (IQR)	Non-MI CHD cases (N = 102) Median (IQR)	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^a	p-value*	OR (95%CI) per-10%methylation	p-value ^b	p-value#
AHRR_CpG_2	0.87(0.74–0.96)	0.91(0.76–1.00)	0.99(0.82–1.18)	0.863	1.000	0.99(0.81–1.22)	0.948	1.000
AHRR_CpG_3/ cg05575921	0.77(0.64–0.83)	0.77(0.62–0.85)	1.06(0.90–1.25)	0.456	1.000	1.09(0.90–1.31)	0.373	1.000
AHRR_CpG_4.5	0.77(0.68–0.84)	0.76(0.62–0.85)	1.14(0.96–1.34)	0.128	1.000	1.20(0.99–1.45)	0.059	0.590
AHRR_CpG_6	0.84(0.74–0.90)	0.84(0.71–0.91)	1.05(0.87–1.26)	0.641	1.000	1.03(0.83–1.29)	0.774	1.000
AHRR_CpG_7	0.66(0.53–0.76)	0.70(0.57–0.83)	0.97(0.82–1.15)	0.753	1.000	0.95(0.78–1.14)	0.567	1.000
AHRR_CpG_8.9	0.85(0.73–0.94)	0.89(0.79–0.96)	0.81(0.62–1.05)	0.115	1.000	0.80(0.60–1.06)	0.112	1.000
AHRR_CpG_10.11	0.92(0.89–0.95)	0.92(0.88–0.96)	1.10(0.69–1.76)	0.680	1.000	1.00(0.61–1.65)	0.988	1.000
AHRR_CpG_12	0.88(0.81–0.95)	0.89(0.80–0.96)	1.07(0.86–1.34)	0.550	1.000	1.04(0.81–1.33)	0.770	1.000
AHRR_CpG_14.15	0.94(0.91–0.95)	0.94(0.92–0.97)	1.01(0.70–1.44)	0.968	1.000	1.00(0.69–1.43)	0.984	1.000

^a Model 1: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, and batch effect

^b Model 2: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, smoking, hypertension, TC, LDL, and batch effect. Significant *p*-values are in bold. *MI* myocardial infarction.

*#Bonferroni-corrected *p* values. Bold values indicated *p* < 0.05

significant after Bonferroni correction (Panel B of Table 3). All the 14 *AHRR* CpG sites showed no association with MI by both models (Panel B of Table 3). In addition, none of the 19 measurable CpG sites in *6p21.33* and *AHRR* showed any association with the non-MI CHD cases by the two logistic regression models (Panel C of Table 3).

Association between blood-based *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation and early CHD cases

In our study, the cardiac function of 124 CHD cases was classified as NYHA I and NYHA II (NYHA I CHD cases = 46, NYHA II CHD cases = 78). Compared to the healthy controls, the methylation intensity of *6p21.33* at the *6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421* locus was also significantly decreased in NYHA I&II CHD cases by the two logistic regression models (model 1: OR per – 10% methylation (95% CI) = 1.69 (1.22–2.34), *p* = 0.008 after Bonferroni correction; model 2: OR per – 10% methylation (95% CI) = 1.65 (1.17–2.34), *p* = 0.020 after Bonferroni correction, Table 4). No significant association between the early-stage cardiovascular dysfunction cases and the methylation changes was observed for all the 14 CpG sites of *AHRR* (Table 4). All the five CpG sites in *6p21.33* and half of the CpG sites in *AHRR* had lower methylation levels in the 37 NYHA III&IV CHD cases than that in the 124 NYHA I&II CHD cases but without significance probably due to the limited sample size (Additional file 1: Table 2). Nevertheless, these observations indicated that the aberrant blood-based DNA methylation might be enhanced along with the progress of cardiac dysfunction.

The correlation between blood-based *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation and CHD-related characteristics

Methylation intensities across various strata of the CHD cases and controls respectively were shown in Table 5 for *6p21.33* *cg06126421*, *AHRR* *cg05575921*, and their adjacent measurable CpG sites. In agreement with previous reports [36, 41–43], smokers had significantly lower *AHRR* methylation than the non-smokers in both controls and CHD cases (Table 5). In opposite, the smoking-related lower *6p21.33* methylation was significant only in CHD cases but not in the controls (Table 5). Our results also suggested drinking as a causative factor for the hypomethylation of *AHRR* mostly in controls, but such association is much weaker than smoking (Table 5). Drinkers showed no significant *6p21.33* methylation changes compared with non-drinkers in both controls and CHD cases. Compared to the women, men had lower methylation in *6p21.33* and *AHRR* in both controls and CHD cases (Table 5). Our results showed no or very weak *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation difference among people with the variant status of hypertension and diabetes, and people with different levels of TC, TG, HDL, and LDL (Table 5).

Most CHD patients have a history of medication. It seems that the intake of aspirin could significantly reverse the demethylation of *6p21.33* in the blood of CHD cases, but had no influence on the *AHRR* methylation (Table 6). The intake of digoxin was weakly correlated with the hypomethylation of two *AHRR* CpG sites (Table 6). The other 10 common cardiovascular drugs showed no obvious influence on the methylation intensities of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* in the blood of CHD patients (Table 6).

Table 4 Methylation difference of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* comparing NYHA I&II CHD cases and controls

CpG sites	Controls (N = 184) Median (IQR)	NYHA I&II CHD cases (N = 124) Median (IQR)	OR (95%CI) per- 10%methylation	p-value ^a	p-value*	OR (95%CI) per- 10%methylation	p-value ^b	p-value#
6p21.33_CpG_1	1.00(0.99–1.00)	1.00(1.00–1.00)	0.79(0.49–1.27)	0.328	1.000	0.78(0.48–1.27)	0.315	1.000
6p21.33_CpG_2	0.93(0.90–0.95)	0.94(0.91–0.97)	0.49(0.28–0.85)	0.011	0.044	0.54(0.30–0.97)	0.038	0.152
6p21.33_CpG_3	0.63(0.57–0.70)	0.62(0.53–0.69)	1.15(0.94–1.41)	0.164	0.656	1.21(0.98–1.50)	0.079	0.316
6p21.33_CpG_4.5/ cg06126421	0.50(0.45–0.55)	0.49(0.44–0.52)	1.69(1.22–2.34)	0.002	0.008	1.65(1.17–2.34)	0.005	0.020
AHRR_CpG_1	0.73(0.63–0.80)	0.74(0.59–0.82)	1.04(0.90–1.20)	0.592	1.000	1.03(0.88–1.21)	0.736	1.000
AHRR_CpG_2	0.87(0.74–0.96)	0.89(0.72–1.00)	1.04(0.89–1.21)	0.618	1.000	1.06(0.89–1.26)	0.508	1.000
AHRR_CpG_3/ cg05575921	0.77(0.64–0.83)	0.75(0.60–0.85)	1.10(0.95–1.26)	0.201	1.000	1.11(0.94–1.30)	0.211	1.000
AHRR_CpG_4.5	0.77(0.68–0.84)	0.76(0.61–0.84)	1.13(0.97–1.31)	0.115	1.000	1.16(0.98–1.37)	0.081	0.810
AHRR_CpG_6	0.84(0.74–0.90)	0.83(0.68–0.90)	1.11(0.94–1.31)	0.239	1.000	1.10(0.91–1.33)	0.316	1.000
AHRR_CpG_7	0.66(0.53–0.76)	0.69(0.55–0.82)	1.02(0.88–1.19)	0.772	1.000	1.01(0.85–1.19)	0.930	1.000
AHRR_CpG_8.9	0.85(0.73–0.94)	0.89(0.78–0.94)	0.92(0.74–1.16)	0.488	1.000	0.91(0.72–1.15)	0.436	1.000
AHRR_CpG_10.11	0.92(0.89–0.95)	0.92(0.88–0.95)	1.02(0.65–1.60)	0.929	1.000	0.93(0.57–1.51)	0.756	1.000
AHRR_CpG_12	0.88(0.81–0.95)	0.89(0.80–0.95)	1.05(0.86–1.29)	0.620	1.000	1.03(0.83–1.28)	0.792	1.000
AHRR_CpG_14.15	0.94(0.91–0.95)	0.94(0.91–0.96)	1.08(0.77–1.50)	0.670	1.000	1.09(0.76–1.57)	0.649	1.000

^a Model 1: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, and batch effect\

^b Model 2: Logistic regression adjusted for age, gender, smoking, hypertension, TC, LDL, and batch effect. Significant *p* values are in bold. NYHA New York Heart Association. *:#Bonferroni-corrected *p* values. Bold values indicated *p* < 0.05

Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that smoking could result in the hypomethylation of *AHRR* cg05575921 and *6p21.33* cg06126421 in the peripheral blood [28, 29]. The association between *AHRR* cg05575921 methylation and cardiovascular disease was also well addressed in Caucasians even in prospective studies [20, 33–36]. In this case–control study, we validated the strong association between smoking and the hypomethylation of *AHRR* cg05575921 and *6p21.33* cg06126421 in the Chinese population. More importantly, we have disclosed the significant hypomethylation of *6p21.33* cg06126421 in the blood leukocyte DNA of CHD patients, especially for CHD patients with heart failure. Our observation agreed with the report of Agha et al. [44] that the blood leukocyte DNA methylation could predict the risk of future MI and CHD. This decreased *6p21.33* methylation could be detected in the blood of patients with early cardiac dysfunction (NYHA I&II CHD cases) and might become more aberrant in the patients with advanced cardiac dysfunction (NYHA III&IV CHD cases). Thus, we proposed that the altered *6p21.33* methylation in the blood leukocytes may play a role not only in the occurrence of CHD but also in the progress.

6p21.33 cg06126421 is located at chr6:30720081 (build 37/hg19). In the 100 kilobases flanking cg06126421 there are seven genes that code for proteins functioned in the protection of cells from Fas- or tumor necrosis

factor type alpha-induced apoptosis (*IER3*), the intra-S phase, and G2/M phase cell cycle checkpoints in response to DNA damage (*MDC1*), cell cycle progression (*DHX16*), cytoskeleton regulation and membrane transport (*FLOT1*, *TUBB*, *PPP1R18*, *NRM*). In particular, the expression of *IER3* is involved in immune functions and the physiology of the cardiovascular system in transgenic and knock-out mouse models [45]. *AHRR* methylation has been associated with CHD in Caucasians, but could not be validated in the Chinese population. Other studies have shown that ethnic genetic background or lifestyle could play a role in epigenetic modifications [46–48]. Thus, DNA methylation patterns warrant validation when the different ethnic population is considered.

In our study, we confirmed the association between the behavior of smoking and the hypomethylation of *AHRR* cg05575921, *6p21.33* cg06126421, and their adjacent CpG sites, and supported the relationship between smoking and epigenetic regulation in atherosclerotic disease [30]. However, in lack of the data on the intensity of the smoking history (pack-years), smoking can hardly be fully adjusted by logistic regression in our study. Future studies with larger samples size and more detailed data on smoking history shall provide more robust evidence for the relationship among smoking, *6p21.33* methylation, and CHD. Our results also showed that the behavior of drinking was associated with the methylation intensity of *AHRR*, but not with the *6p21.33* methylation. This

Table 5 The association between 6p21.33 and AHRR methylation and CHD-related characteristics in CHD patients and Controls

Characteristics	Group (N)	Median of methylation intensity													
		6p21.33_ CpG_1	6p21.33_ CpG_2	6p21.33_ CpG_3	6p21.33_ CpG_4.5/ cg06126421	AHRR_ CpG_1	AHRR_ CpG_2	AHRR_ CpG_3/ cg05575921	AHRR_ CpG_4.5	AHRR_ CpG_6	AHRR_ CpG_7	AHRR_ CpG_8.9	AHRR_ CpG_10.11	AHRR_ CpG_12	AHRR_ CpG_14.15
<i>CHD cases</i>															
Age (180)	< 65 (83)	1.00	0.94	0.64	0.48	0.77	0.90	0.75	0.74	0.82	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	≥ 65 (97)	1.00	0.94	0.61	0.48	0.69	0.89	0.76	0.76	0.82	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.94
Gender (180)	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.894	0.998	0.143	1.000	0.050	0.617	0.875	0.812	0.661	0.583	0.624	0.166	0.884	0.870
	Female (71)	1.00	0.94	0.65	0.49	0.78	0.97	0.81	0.79	0.88	0.71	0.88	0.93	0.91	0.94
Smoking (180)	Male (109)	1.00	0.93	0.60	0.47	0.66	0.83	0.70	0.73	0.76	0.63	0.86	0.91	0.86	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.646	0.136	0.009	0.050	0.003	1.00E-06	1.00E-05	0.003	7.60E-05	0.039	0.206	0.148	0.039	0.144
Drinking (180)	No (107)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.49	0.78	0.94	0.80	0.78	0.86	0.70	0.86	0.93	0.90	0.94
	Yes (73)	1.00	0.94	0.59	0.45	0.63	0.76	0.62	0.65	0.71	0.61	0.87	0.90	0.84	0.94
Hypertension (180)	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.372	0.615	0.017	0.005	0.001	2.00E-06	2.15E-07	0.001	3.20E-05	0.011	0.381	0.001	0.028	0.483
	No (128)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.49	0.75	0.91	0.77	0.76	0.83	0.66	0.86	0.92	0.89	0.94
Diabetes (180)	Yes (52)	1.00	0.95	0.62	0.48	0.64	0.84	0.64	0.73	0.76	0.66	0.89	0.91	0.86	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.075	0.189	0.560	0.332	0.127	0.063	0.011	0.321	0.101	0.706	0.580	0.227	0.465	0.575
TC (180)	Not prevalent (50)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.47	0.70	0.84	0.73	0.73	0.78	0.61	0.85	0.92	0.91	0.95
	Prevalent (130)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.49	0.74	0.89	0.76	0.76	0.83	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
TG (180)	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.345	0.117	0.166	0.318	0.375	0.170	0.592	0.102	0.154	0.332	0.280	0.742	0.428	0.459
	< 5.0 mmol/L (153)	1.00	0.93	0.61	0.49	0.74	0.88	0.74	0.74	0.80	0.64	0.85	0.92	0.86	0.94
HDL (180)	Prevalent (62)	1.00	0.95	0.63	0.47	0.73	0.92	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.72	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.95
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.589	0.064	0.300	0.699	0.908	0.119	0.191	0.343	0.341	0.021	0.095	0.392	0.376	0.422
TG (180)	< 1.70 mmol/L (123)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.48	0.72	0.89	0.74	0.74	0.81	0.64	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	≥ 1.70 mmol/L (57)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.48	0.72	0.89	0.73	0.76	0.83	0.66	0.86	0.92	0.89	0.95
HDL (180)	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.558	0.999	0.843	0.775	0.841	0.904	0.356	0.304	0.463	0.763	0.255	0.762	0.900	0.268
	< 1.0 mmol/L (67)	1.00	0.94	0.60	0.46	0.72	0.87	0.73	0.73	0.77	0.64	0.86	0.92	0.87	0.94
HDL (180)	≥ 1.0 mmol/L (113)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.49	0.74	0.90	0.76	0.76	0.84	0.67	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.466	0.691	0.124	0.236	0.951	0.194	0.347	0.099	0.034	0.522	0.523	0.295	0.086	0.686

Table 5 (continued)

Characteristics	Group (N)	Median of methylation intensity													
		6p21.33_ CpG_1	6p21.33_ CpG_2	6p21.33_ CpG_3	6p21.33_ CpG_4.5/ cg06126421	AHRR_ CpG_1	AHRR_ CpG_2	AHRR_ CpG_3/ cg05575921	AHRR_ CpG_4.5	AHRR_ CpG_6	AHRR_ CpG_7	AHRR_ CpG_8.9	AHRR_ CpG_10.11	AHRR_ CpG_12	AHRR_ CpG_14.15
LDL (180)	< 3.0 mmol/L (143)	1.00	0.94	0.61	0.48	0.73	0.89	0.75	0.74	0.81	0.64	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	≥ 3.0 mmol/L (37)	1.00	0.93	0.65	0.49	0.76	0.89	0.77	0.76	0.89	0.68	0.86	0.92	0.89	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.417	0.924	0.145	0.296	0.366	0.336	0.991	0.208	0.083	0.383	0.935	0.745	0.098	0.456
<i>Controls</i>															
Age (184)	< 65 (119)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.50	0.73	0.87	0.76	0.77	0.82	0.69	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.93
	≥ 65 (65)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.50	0.74	0.86	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.61	0.79	0.93	0.89	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.326	0.931	0.297	0.572	0.954	0.546	0.964	0.598	0.978	0.027	0.016	0.973	0.916	0.105
Gender (184)	Female (70)	1.00	0.93	0.67	0.53	0.77	0.92	0.79	0.80	0.85	0.66	0.80	0.93	0.92	0.94
	Male (114)	1.00	0.92	0.62	0.49	0.70	0.83	0.74	0.74	0.81	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.93
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.052	0.130	0.004	4.17E-04	0.001	2.00E-06	0.008	0.002	0.048	0.815	0.128	0.284	0.004	0.672
Smoking (180)	No (127)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.51	0.76	0.89	0.79	0.78	0.85	0.68	0.85	0.93	0.90	0.94
	Yes (53)	1.00	0.92	0.62	0.48	0.62	0.75	0.67	0.69	0.76	0.59	0.85	0.91	0.85	0.93
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.526	0.916	0.684	0.065	2.00E-06	1.00E-06	1.22E-04	0.001	0.001	0.063	0.911	0.019	0.010	0.132
Drinking (180)	No (114)	1.00	0.93	0.63	0.50	0.76	0.88	0.78	0.78	0.84	0.63	0.80	0.92	0.89	0.94
	Yes (66)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.51	0.69	0.85	0.73	0.75	0.82	0.71	0.90	0.93	0.88	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.508	0.982	0.450	0.422	0.010	0.084	0.060	0.318	0.308	0.030	0.002	0.391	0.283	0.687
Hypertension (177)	Not prevalent (93)	1.00	0.92	0.62	0.50	0.75	0.87	0.77	0.76	0.85	0.68	0.86	0.92	0.88	0.93
	Prevalent (84)	1.00	0.93	0.65	0.50	0.72	0.85	0.75	0.77	0.82	0.63	0.82	0.92	0.88	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.879	0.343	0.608	0.745	0.819	0.972	0.732	0.776	0.336	0.465	0.810	0.735	0.806	0.336
Diabetes (177)	Not prevalent (132)	1.00	0.92	0.64	0.50	0.74	0.87	0.78	0.77	0.84	0.65	0.82	0.92	0.89	0.93
	Prevalent (45)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.51	0.72	0.85	0.73	0.75	0.82	0.69	0.88	0.92	0.87	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.796	0.043	0.719	0.769	0.802	0.356	0.217	0.616	0.514	0.721	0.402	0.653	0.434	0.528
TC (180)	< 5.0 mmol/L (131)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.50	0.74	0.86	0.76	0.76	0.83	0.65	0.84	0.93	0.89	0.94
	≥ 5.0 mmol/L (49)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.51	0.70	0.87	0.75	0.78	0.84	0.69	0.88	0.92	0.87	0.92
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.992	0.464	0.959	0.506	0.698	0.516	0.524	0.559	0.930	0.798	0.079	0.586	0.107	0.001
TC (178)	< 1.70 mmol/L (114)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.50	0.75	0.87	0.78	0.78	0.84	0.65	0.83	0.93	0.90	0.94
	≥ 1.70 mmol/L (64)	1.00	0.93	0.65	0.50	0.70	0.85	0.73	0.75	0.83	0.70	0.88	0.92	0.87	0.93
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.018	0.755	0.891	0.759	0.482	0.434	0.037	0.128	0.647	0.174	0.137	0.781	0.157	0.332

Table 5 (continued)

Characteristics	Group (N)	Median of methylation intensity													
		6p21.33_ CpG_1	6p21.33_ CpG_2	6p21.33_ CpG_3	6p21.33_ CpG_4.5/ CpG_126421	AHRR_ CpG_1	AHRR_ CpG_2	AHRR_ CpG_3/ cg05575921	AHRR_ CpG_4.5	AHRR_ CpG_6	AHRR_ CpG_7	AHRR_ CpG_8.9	AHRR_ CpG_10.11	AHRR_ CpG_12	AHRR_ CpG_14.15
HDL (180)	< 1.0 mmol/L (63)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.50	0.70	0.85	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.69	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.94
	≥ 1.0 mmol/L (117)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.51	0.75	0.88	0.77	0.78	0.85	0.65	0.85	0.93	0.90	0.93
LDL (180)	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.440	0.735	0.260	0.375	0.429	0.170	0.120	0.135	0.185	0.420	0.279	0.866	0.334	0.112
	< 3.0 mmol/L (108)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.51	0.73	0.85	0.75	0.76	0.84	0.65	0.85	0.93	0.88	0.94
	≥ 3.0 mmol/L (72)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.50	0.75	0.87	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.67	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.93
	<i>p</i> -value ^a	0.822	0.720	0.651	0.572	0.655	0.345	0.659	0.626	0.731	0.966	0.231	0.635	0.957	0.021

^aThe *p*-values were calculated by the Mann–Whitney test, and significant *p* values are in bold

Table 6 The methylation of 6p21.33 and AHRR in CHD patients with variant medical treatments

Medicine	Group (N)	Median of methylation intensity													
		6p21.33_CpG_1	6p21.33_CpG_2	6p21.33_CpG_3	6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421	AHRR_CpG_1	AHRR_CpG_2	AHRR_CpG_3/cg05575921	AHRR_CpG_4.5	AHRR_CpG_6	AHRR_CpG_7	AHRR_CpG_8.9	AHRR_CpG_10.11	AHRR_CpG_12	AHRR_CpG_14.15
ACEI	No (147)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.49	0.73	0.90	0.75	0.76	0.83	0.67	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.94
	Yes (33)	1.00	0.95	0.61	0.45	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.66	0.77	0.63	0.84	0.92	0.91	0.94
	p-value*	0.334	0.411	0.660	0.134	0.723	0.035	0.988	0.092	0.278	0.050	0.343	0.474	0.297	0.543
ARB	No (139)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.48	0.74	0.88	0.76	0.75	0.82	0.64	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.94
	Yes (41)	1.00	0.95	0.64	0.50	0.71	0.90	0.73	0.75	0.79	0.71	0.86	0.92	0.85	0.93
	p-value*	0.417	0.319	0.278	0.111	0.412	0.880	0.349	0.892	0.539	0.154	0.996	0.369	0.171	0.342
CCB	No (126)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.48	0.74	0.89	0.77	0.75	0.83	0.67	0.87	0.91	0.88	0.94
	Yes (54)	1.00	0.94	0.60	0.49	0.69	0.89	0.74	0.76	0.81	0.64	0.85	0.92	0.88	0.94
	p-value*	0.701	0.414	0.040	0.812	0.557	0.641	0.106	0.980	0.710	0.816	0.666	0.359	0.844	0.994
β blocker	No (64)	1.00	0.94	0.61	0.48	0.71	0.92	0.77	0.76	0.81	0.67	0.88	0.92	0.88	0.94
	Yes (116)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.48	0.74	0.88	0.75	0.74	0.82	0.64	0.86	0.92	0.88	0.94
	p-value*	0.352	0.328	0.651	0.845	0.492	0.294	0.622	0.684	0.813	0.263	0.612	0.948	0.664	0.271
Spironolactone	No (143)	1.00	0.93	0.62	0.48	0.74	0.89	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.67	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.94
	Yes (37)	1.00	0.94	0.64	0.49	0.75	0.88	0.76	0.74	0.82	0.59	0.84	0.92	0.91	0.96
	p-value*	0.262	0.128	0.696	0.775	0.971	0.497	0.355	0.685	0.913	0.225	0.055	0.054	0.069	0.061
Digoxin	No (169)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.48	0.74	0.89	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.94
	Yes (11)	1.00	0.91	0.59	0.45	0.52	0.71	0.71	0.60	0.63	0.52	0.80	0.92	0.84	0.91
	p-value*	0.894	0.130	0.475	0.307	0.087	0.044	0.605	0.093	0.311	0.045	0.063	0.565	0.521	0.341
Nitrates	No (84)	1.00	0.93	0.61	0.48	0.69	0.88	0.72	0.74	0.80	0.63	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	Yes (96)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.49	0.75	0.89	0.76	0.75	0.83	0.69	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	p-value*	0.878	0.706	0.295	0.408	0.230	0.272	0.184	0.760	0.458	0.066	0.891	0.730	0.878	0.918
Aspirin	No (42)	1.00	0.93	0.60	0.45	0.69	0.92	0.77	0.74	0.81	0.68	0.89	0.93	0.88	0.96
	Yes (136)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.49	0.74	0.89	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	p-value*	0.590	0.509	0.044	0.044	0.666	0.902	0.363	0.706	0.716	0.683	0.599	0.194	0.930	0.007
Clopidogrel	No (79)	1.00	0.94	0.61	0.48	0.74	0.90	0.75	0.76	0.79	0.63	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	Yes (101)	1.00	0.93	0.63	0.48	0.73	0.88	0.76	0.74	0.84	0.67	0.86	0.92	0.89	0.94
	p-value*	0.156	0.535	0.100	0.380	0.953	0.871	0.775	0.985	0.256	0.768	0.971	0.999	0.544	0.573
Warfarin	No (175)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.48	0.74	0.89	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	Yes (5)	1.00	0.93	0.64	0.47	0.72	0.77	0.66	0.63	0.78	0.54	0.89	0.91	1.00	0.93
	p-value*	0.521	0.386	0.895	0.989	0.923	0.646	0.743	0.844	0.297	0.817	0.489	0.505	0.212	0.920
Statin	No (19)	1.00	0.94	0.58	0.45	0.73	0.93	0.84	0.81	0.84	0.64	0.87	0.91	0.93	0.95

Table 6 (continued)

Medicine	Group (N)	Median of methylation intensity													
		6p21.33_CpG_1	6p21.33_CpG_2	6p21.33_CpG_3	6p21.33_CpG_4.5/cg06126421	AHRR_CpG_1	AHRR_CpG_2	AHRR_CpG_3/cg05575921	AHRR_CpG_4.5	AHRR_CpG_6	AHRR_CpG_7	AHRR_CpG_8.9	AHRR_CpG_10.11	AHRR_CpG_12	AHRR_CpG_14.15
	Yes (161)	1.00	0.94	0.62	0.48	0.74	0.88	0.75	0.74	0.81	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.88	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value*	0.510	0.716	0.209	0.131	0.750	0.594	0.121	0.228	0.914	0.696	0.874	0.710	0.658	0.325
Antacids	No (119)	1.00	0.94	0.63	0.49	0.74	0.91	0.76	0.76	0.84	0.66	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.94
	Yes (61)	1.00	0.93	0.61	0.47	0.69	0.88	0.73	0.73	0.79	0.64	0.86	0.92	0.85	0.94
	<i>p</i> -value*	0.959	0.525	0.624	0.669	0.515	0.333	0.226	0.328	0.354	0.757	0.824	0.364	0.072	0.341

*The *p*-values were calculated by the Mann-Whitney test, and significant *p*-values are in bold

observation was consistent with the reported alcohol-related DNA methylation signatures in specific genes [49]. The incidence of CHD in all age groups is higher in males than in females [50–53]. In this study, the males had lower *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylation levels than the females, whereas the decreased methylation of *6p21.33* was associated with CHD according to our results, and the hypomethylation of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* were considered as predictors for the increased cardiovascular mortality [20]. These results suggested that methylation may be one of the molecular mechanisms that lead to gender differences in cardiovascular disease. It seems that the blood-based *6p21.33* and *AHRR* methylations were not influenced by hypertension, diabetes, levels of TC, TG, HDL, and LDL. Given the above, our investigation suggested that the methylation of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* in blood could hardly be influenced by most of the environmental factors, especially the blood lipid index. The signatures of methylation could also be influenced by treatment [54]. Among the 12 cardiovascular drugs available, only 4 drugs showed associations with the methylation level of individual sites on *6p21.33* and *AHRR*. However, these correlations should be taken with caution due to the limited sample size. To further explore the relationship between medication and CHD-related methylation, it is meaningful to conduct the study with an expanded sample size and more adequate information about treatment.

In our study, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry was used for the quantification of DNA methylation levels. In clinical, mass spectrometry has already been used for multiplex genetic analyses, including non-invasive prenatal tests, disease-related SNP analyses, and even for the detection of Covid-19 [55]. With a semi-quantitative setting, mass spectrometry offers a self-designable, easy-to-use, high throughput, robustness, and cost-saving technique for the candidate-approached DNA methylation analyses. Recent studies have suggested multiple genes are involved in diseases including CHD. With the capacity of supporting multiplex analyses for a panel of genetic and epigenetic variations in a cost-efficient manner, mass spectrometry would have a great potential for clinical utility, as well as for the CHD diagnosis. Our study analyzed the DNA methylation in whole blood or said mainly from the leukocytes. In lack of the possibility to sort the leukocytes from hospital-based samples freshly, we could not further explore the origination of such altered methylation patterns from which cell subtype. However, given that in most clinical and epidemiological settings, it is more readily and convenient to obtain DNA from whole blood, and process it for further clinical practice. Meanwhile, our study was an exploratory investigation on the assessment of CHD risk using

blood-based methylation biomarkers based on a limited subject with limited environmental and medication information, our observations need to be validated in multi-center and prospective studies. Collecting abundant lifestyle and historical treatment materials in future studies with large numbers of participants would be appreciated.

Conclusion

In summary, *6p21.33* methylation, especially at the *6p21.33* cg06126421 site, exhibits a significant association with CHD. This correlation is more susceptible in men and smokers, and more likely appears in CHD patients with heart failure and patients with early cardiac dysfunction. In contrast to Caucasians, the blood DNA methylation at *AHRR* is barely related to the status of CHD in the Chinese population. The combination of *6p21.33* methylation and conventional risk factors might be an intermediate step towards the risk evaluation and detection of CHD.

Abbreviations

AHRR: Aryl-hydrocarbon receptor repressor; CHD: Coronary heart disease; OR: Odds ratio; HsCRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; Lp-PLA2: Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2; MPO: Myeloperoxidase; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; NT-pro BNP: N-terminal prohormone BNP; CpG: Cytidine-phosphate-guanosine; AhR: Aryl hydrocarbon receptor; MI: Myocardial infarction; NYHA: New York Heart Association; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglyceride; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; LDL: Low-density lipoprotein; EDTA: Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; MALDI-TOF: Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight; IQR: Interquartile range; CIs: Confidence intervals; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; IER3: Immediate early response 3; MDC1: Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint 1; DHX16: DEAH-box helicase 16; FLOT1: Flotillin 1; TUBB: Tubulin beta class I; PPP1R18: Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 18; NRM: Nurim.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-022-02766-8>.

Additional file 1. Supplementary Figure 1. Schematic diagrams and the sequences of *6p21.33* amplicon and *AHRR* amplicon. (A) The location of the investigated 398 bp amplicon in *6p21.33* and the 5 measurable CpG sites. The *6p21.33* amplicon covers the main hit CpG site cg06126421 (chr6:30,720,081, build 37/hg19). (B) The location of the investigated 386 bp amplicon in *AHRR* and the 14 measurable CpG sites. The *AHRR* amplicon is located at the third intron of *AHRR*, and covers the main hit CpG site cg05575921 (chr5:373,378, build 37/hg19). (C) The sequence of the *6p21.33* amplicon examined by the EpiTyper assay (chr6:30,719,778–30,720,175, build 37/hg19, defined by the UCSC Genome Browser). The EpiTyper assay determined the methylation levels of 5 CpGs in this amplicon, and yielded 4 distinguishable mass peaks. The CpG sites that could be measured are in bold, cg06126421 is in bold and underlined. (D) The sequence of the *AHRR* amplicon examined by the EpiTyper assay (chr5:373,077–373,462, build 37/hg19, defined by the UCSC Genome Browser). The EpiTyper assay determined the methylation levels of 14 CpGs in this amplicon, and yielded 10 distinguishable mass peaks. The CpG sites that could be measured are in bold, cg05575921 is in bold and underlined.

Additional file 2. Supplementary Table 1. Methylation difference of *6p21.33* and *AHRR* between non-heart failure CHD cases and controls.
Supplementary Table 2. NYHA classification and the methylation intensity of *6p21.33* and *AHRR*.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Qiming Yin, Chunlan Liu, and Shuifang Lei for their kind technique support.

Author contributions

XJZ and RXY contributed to the conception and design of the study; LYZ and CZ were responsible for performing the experiments and drafting the manuscript; LYZ, RXY, and JXW were responsible for the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; LYZ and JXW contributed to the statistical analysis; JXW and XJZ provided the materials and supervised the patient enrollment and acquisition of biological samples and clinical data. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Nanjing Medical University Research Support Funding (Grant Number: 2018RC0003), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 82001994), Chinese PLA General Hospital Clinical Research Support Funding (Grant Number: 2018FC-WJFWZX-1-21), and Chinese PLA General Hospital Youth Development Project (Grant Number: QNC19058). The funding body had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, nor in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Chinese PLA General Hospital (S2018-298-02). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China. ²Department of Cardiology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, 95 Yong'an Road, West District, Beijing 100050, China. ³Department of Cardiology, The Second Medical Centre, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China. ⁴Military Translational Medicine Lab, Medical Innovation Research Division, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China. ⁵Beijing Key Laboratory of Chronic Heart Failure Precision Medicine, Medical Innovation Research Division, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China.

Received: 22 August 2021 Accepted: 13 July 2022

Published online: 13 August 2022

References

- Talmud PJ. Gene-environment interaction and its impact on coronary heart disease risk. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2007;17:148–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2006.01.008>.
- Hansson GK. Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:1685–95. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra043430>.
- Gatto L, Prati F. Subclinical atherosclerosis: How and when to treat it? *Eur Heart J Suppl.* 2020;22:E87–90. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/suaa068>.
- Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. heart disease and stroke statistics-2018 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137:e67–492. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558>.
- Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors in China and its provinces, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* 2019;394:1145–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30427-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30427-1).
- Lusis AJ. Atherosclerosis. *Nature.* 2000;407:233–41. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35025203>.
- Falk E. Pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;47:C7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2005.09.068>.
- Avan A, Tavakoly Sany SB, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, et al. Serum C-reactive protein in the prediction of cardiovascular diseases: overview of the latest clinical studies and public health practice. *J Cell Physiol.* 2018;233:8508–25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26791>.
- Schmidt EB, Koenig W, Khuseynova N, et al. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 concentrations in plasma are associated with the extent of coronary artery disease and correlate to adipose tissue levels of marine n-3 fatty acids. *Atherosclerosis.* 2008;196:420–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2006.11.027>.
- Nicholls SJ, Hazen SL. Myeloperoxidase and cardiovascular disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2005;25:1102–11. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.ATV.0000163262.83456.6d>.
- Gopal DJ, Iqbal MN, Maisel A. Updating the role of natriuretic peptide levels in cardiovascular disease. *Postgrad Med.* 2011;123:102–13. <https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2011.11.2500>.
- Lobbes MB, Kooi ME, Lutgens E, et al. Leukocyte counts, myeloperoxidase, and pregnancy-associated plasma protein a as biomarkers for cardiovascular disease: towards a multi-biomarker approach. *Int J Vasc Med.* 2010;2010: 726207. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/726207>.
- Fu H, Zhu K, Zhou D, et al. Identification and validation of plasma metabolomics reveal potential biomarkers for coronary heart disease. *Int Heart J.* 2019;60:1387–97. <https://doi.org/10.1536/ihj.19-059>.
- Zhang L, Zhang Y, Zhao Y, et al. Circulating miRNAs as biomarkers for early diagnosis of coronary artery disease. *Expert Opin Ther Pat.* 2018;28:591–601. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13543776.2018.1503650>.
- Moore LD, Le T, Fan G. DNA methylation and its basic function. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2013;38:23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.112>.
- Fernandez-Sanles A, Sayols-Baixeras S, Subirana I, et al. Association between DNA methylation and coronary heart disease or other atherosclerotic events: a systematic review. *Atherosclerosis.* 2017;263:325–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2017.05.022>.
- Hai Z, Zuo W. Aberrant DNA methylation in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2016;456:69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcca.2016.02.026>.
- Schleithoff C, Voelker-Mahlknecht S, Dahmke IN, et al. On the epigenetics of vascular regulation and disease. *Clin Epigenet.* 2012;4:7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1868-7083-4-7>.
- Zhang Y, Yang R, Burwinkel B, et al. F2RL3 methylation in blood DNA is a strong predictor of mortality. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43:1215–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu006>.
- Zhang Y, Schottker B, Florath I, et al. Smoking-associated DNA methylation biomarkers and their predictive value for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2016;124:67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409020>.
- Jia L, Zhu L, Wang JZ, et al. Methylation of FOXP3 in regulatory T cells is related to the severity of coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis.* 2013;228:346–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.01.027>.
- Peng P, Wang L, Yang X, et al. A preliminary study of the relationship between promoter methylation of the ABCG1, GALNT2 and HMGR

- genes and coronary heart disease. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9: e102265. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102265>.
23. Zuo HP, Guo YY, Che L, et al. Hypomethylation of interleukin-6 promoter is associated with the risk of coronary heart disease. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2016;107:131–6. <https://doi.org/10.5935/abc.20160124>.
 24. Haarmann-Stemmann T, Bothe H, Kohli A, et al. Analysis of the transcriptional regulation and molecular function of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor in human cell lines. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2007;35:2262–9. <https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.107.016253>.
 25. Pot C. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor controls regulatory CD4+ T cell function. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2012;142: w13592. <https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2012.13592>.
 26. Martey CA, Baglolle CJ, Gasiewicz TA, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor is a regulator of cigarette smoke induction of the cyclooxygenase and prostaglandin pathways in human lung fibroblasts. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2005;289:L391–9. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00062.2005>.
 27. Meek MD, Finch GL. Diluted mainstream cigarette smoke condensates activate estrogen receptor and aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated gene transcription. *Environ Res*. 1999;80:9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1006/enrs.1998.3872>.
 28. Shenker NS, Polidoro S, van Veldhoven K, et al. Epigenome-wide association study in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Turin) identifies novel genetic loci associated with smoking. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2013;22:843–51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds488>.
 29. Zeilinger S, Kuhnel B, Klopp N, et al. Tobacco smoking leads to extensive genome-wide changes in DNA methylation. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8: e63812. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063812>.
 30. Siemelink MA, van der Laan SW, Haitjema S, et al. Smoking is associated to DNA methylation in atherosclerotic carotid lesions. *Circ Genom Precis Med*. 2018;11: e002030. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGEN.117.002030>.
 31. Csiszar A, Podlutzky A, Wolin MS, et al. Oxidative stress and accelerated vascular aging: implications for cigarette smoking. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. 2009;14:3128–44. <https://doi.org/10.2741/3440>.
 32. Reynolds LM, Wan M, Ding J, et al. DNA methylation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor associations with cigarette smoking and subclinical atherosclerosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2015;8:707–16. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.115.001097>.
 33. Mills JA, Beach SRH, Dogan M, et al. A direct comparison of the relationship of epigenetic aging and epigenetic substance consumption markers to mortality in the framingham heart study. *Genes (Basel)*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10010051>.
 34. Andersen AM, Ryan PT, Gibbons FX, et al. A droplet digital PCR assay for smoking predicts all-cause mortality. *J Insur Med*. 2018;47:220–9. <https://doi.org/10.17849/in-sm-47-4-1-10.1>.
 35. Philibert RA, Dogan MV, Mills JA, et al. AHRH methylation is a significant predictor of mortality risk in framingham heart study. *J Insur Med*. 2019;48:79–89. <https://doi.org/10.17849/in-sm-48-1-1-11.1>.
 36. Langsted A, Bojesen SE, Stroes ESG, et al. AHRH hypomethylation as an epigenetic marker of smoking history predicts risk of myocardial infarction in former smokers. *Atherosclerosis*. 2020;312:8–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2020.08.034>.
 37. Zhao X, Zhu L, Yin Q, et al. F2RL3 methylation in the peripheral blood as a potential marker for the detection of coronary heart disease: a case-control study. *Front Genet*. 2022;13: 833923. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.833923>.
 38. Yang R, Pfütze K, Zucknick M, et al. DNA methylation array analyses identified breast cancer-associated HYAL2 methylation in peripheral blood. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136:1845–55. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29205>.
 39. Yang R, Stocker S, Schott S, et al. The association between breast cancer and S100P methylation in peripheral blood by multicenter case-control studies. *Carcinogenesis*. 2017;38:312–20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgx004>.
 40. Avoiding heart attacks and strokes : Don't be a victim : protect yourself Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 [Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43222>].
 41. Allione A, Marcon F, Fiorito G, et al. Novel epigenetic changes unveiled by monozygotic twins discordant for smoking habits. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10: e0128265. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128265>.
 42. Gao X, Xia M, Zhang Y, et al. DNA methylation changes of whole blood cells in response to active smoking exposure in adults: a systematic review of DNA methylation studies. *Clin Epigenet*. 2015;7:113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-015-0148-3>.
 43. Zhang Y, Elgizouli M, Schottker B, et al. Smoking-associated DNA methylation markers predict lung cancer incidence. *Clin Epigenet*. 2016;8:127. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-016-0292-4>.
 44. Agha G, Mendelson MM, Ward-Caviness CK, et al. Blood leukocyte DNA methylation predicts risk of future myocardial infarction and coronary heart disease. *Circulation*. 2019;140:645–57. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.039357>.
 45. Arlt A, Schafer H. Role of the immediate early response 3 (IER3) gene in cellular stress response, inflammation and tumorigenesis. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 2011;90:545–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2010.10.002>.
 46. Elliott HR, Tillin T, McArdle WL, et al. Differences in smoking associated DNA methylation patterns in South Asians and Europeans. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2014;6:4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1868-7083-6-4>.
 47. Yin Q, Yang X, Li L, et al. The association between breast cancer and blood-based methylation of S100P and HYAL2 in the Chinese population. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:977. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00977>.
 48. Martin EM, Fry RC. Environmental influences on the epigenome: exposure-associated DNA methylation in human populations. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2018;39:309–33. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-014629>.
 49. Liu C, Marion RE, Hedman AK, et al. A DNA methylation biomarker of alcohol consumption. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018;23:422–33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.192>.
 50. Hyvarinen M, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, et al. The difference between acute coronary heart disease and ischaemic stroke risk with regard to gender and age in Finnish and Swedish populations. *Int J Stroke*. 2010;5:152–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4949.2010.00423.x>.
 51. Barrett-Connor E. Gender differences and disparities in all-cause and coronary heart disease mortality: epidemiological aspects. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2013;27:481–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2013.05.013>.
 52. Shah T, Palaskas N, Ahmed A. An update on gender disparities in coronary heart disease care. *Curr Atheroscler Rep*. 2016;18:28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-016-0574-5>.
 53. Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2021;143:e254–743. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000950>.
 54. Lax E, Szyf M. The role of DNA methylation in drug addiction: implications for diagnostic and therapeutics. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci*. 2018;157:93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2018.01.003>.
 55. Rybicka M, Milosz E, Bielawski KP. Superiority of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry over real-time PCR for SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection. *Viruses*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13050730>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

