RESEARCH

Open Access

Predictive value of LDL/HDL ratio in coronary atherosclerotic heart disease



Ting Sun^{1*†}, Mengyun Chen^{2†}, Huanhuan Shen³, PingYin¹, Li Fan¹, Xin Chen¹, Jun Wu^{4*}, Zuojun Xu^{1*} and Junfeng Zhang^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Dyslipidemia is one of independent risk factors for coronary atherosclerotic heart disease (CAHD). We determined whether the LDL/HDL ratio is better than LDL-C or HDL-C alone in predicting the severity of CAHD.

Methods: We performed a retrospective study of 1351 patients with myocardial ischemia who underwent coronary angiography between January 2018 and December 2019 in Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital. Spearman correlation analysis, logistic regression model, Cox proportional hazards model and multicollinearity were used to evaluate LDL/HDL ratio for predicting CAHD severity compared to LDL-C or HDL-C alone.

Results: Higher LDL/HDL ratio was seen in CAHD patients than controls $(2.94 \pm 1.06 \text{ vs } 2.36 \pm 0.78, P < 0.05)$. LDL/HDL ratio was significantly associated with the severity of coronary vascular stenosis. The area under the ROC curve of LDL-C, HDL-C, LDL/HDL ratio used to predict CAHD are 0.574 (95% CI 0.547–0.600, P < 0.001), 0.625 (95% CI 0.598–0.651, P < 0.001), 0.668 (95% CI 0.639–0.697, P = 0.000), respectively. The cut-off value of LDL/HDL ratio is 2.517, and the sensitivity and specificity are 65% and 61%, respectively. LDL/HDL ratio was related to the prevalence of CAHD and the odds ratio (OR) was 2.39 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.698–2.593, P = 0.00] in multicollinearity regression model.

Conclusion: LDL/HDL ratio may become a better predictor of CAHD severity, compared to LDL-C or HDL-C.

Keywords: LDL/HDL ratio, Coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, Risks

Introduction

Coronary atherosclerotic heart disease (CAHD) is the main cause of morbidity and mortality in the world [1], especially in China. Early identification of CAHD can effectively reduce the burden of medicine and decrease the mortality of CAHD. Dyslipidemia is the main risk factor of CAHD, including high levels of

[†]Ting Sun and Mengyun Chen contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: beibeisun2008@163.com; jun.wu@shsmu.edu.cn; xuzj@139.com; jfzhang_dr@163.com

¹ Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital Affiliated With Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, People's Republic of China

⁴ Department of Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai General Hospital Jiading Branch, Shanghai 201803, People's Republic of China low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides (TG) [2], low levels of high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). It has been proven that dyslipidemia is involved in both occurrence and development of arteriosclerosis, which increases the incidence and mortality of CAHD [3, 4]. Framingham study confirmed that low level of HDL-C increased the incidence of CAHD related events, and regarded as one of the important indicators for cardiovascular disease [5]. Small dense LDL-C particles, as the main carrier of cholesterol in plasma, is easy to penetrate through endothelium cell membrane when vascular endothelium is injured, which can cause plaque formation and inflammation cascade, and "initial effect" in the development of atherosclerosis [2, 6]. Increasing LDL-C can also directly lead to vascular endothelial injury, facilitating LDL-C penetration



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

into the endothelium to form atherosclerosis plaque on the artery wall and thrombosis in CAHD [7-10]. While HDL-C can strengthen the surrounding tissue of the arterial wall, preventing cholesterol deposition in the arterial wall, and promoting the recovery of damaged endothelial membrane [11].On the other hand, low HDL-C level fails to eliminate cholesterol efficiently, that can lead to early onset of atherosclerosis.

In clinical practice, we frequently encountered some patients with normal ranges of LDL-C and HDL-C who are prone to CAHD, for whom new indicators are needed to develop. Dyslipidemia include high LDL-C, low HDL-C, and high triglyceride or combined, which puts forward the following questions on which type of dyslipidemia is more likely to reflect the coronary atherosclerosis severity. It inspires us to investigate whether the combination of LDL-C and HDL-C more accurately reflects dyslipidemia. Previous studies have reported that increased LDL/HDL is related to myocardial infarction [12, 13], but the relationship of LDL/HDL and the coronary atherosclerosis severity is not illustrated. In this study, we investigate the clinical characteristics and serum lipids assays of 1351 subjects and clarify whether the LDL/HDL ratio is a better predictor for CAHD severity, than LDL-C or HDL-C alone.

Materials and methods

Study population

A retrospective analysis is performed on 1351 consecutive patients presenting to Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital with signs of myocardial ischemia between January 2018 and December 2019. All patients fulfill the following inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: (1) over 18 years old; (2) signs of myocardial ischemia; (3) undergoing invasive coronary angiography; Exclusion criteria: (1) pulmonary heart diseases; (2) acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and valvule heart disease; (3) congenital heart disease; (4) family hereditary hyperlipidemia; (5) malignant tumor and immune diseases; (6) severe hepatic or renal dysfunction; (7) incomplete clinical data. According to the World Health Organization guidelines [14] for the diagnosis of coronary heart disease [15], stenosis of any major coronary artery (left anterior descending branch, left circumflex branch, right coronary artery) or its main branches \geq 50% is taken as the diagnostic criteria for CAHD. Primary hypertension is defined according to International Society of Hypertension, and Diabetes Mellitus is defined according to the World Health Organization essential diagnostic criteria [5, 16]. Demographic characteristics including age, sex, height, weight, CAHD family history, smoking status, diabetes mellitus and hypertension are recorded. The project is subject to the construction and application of biobank for coronary heart disease at Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital (YBKA201910).

Laboratory determination and echocardiography

All subjects were investigated in the morning after an overnight fast on the third day of admission without acute ischemia. The serum lipids were enzymatically measured using the Hitachi 747 chemical analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Serum creatinine (Cr), cardiac troponin I (cTn I), creatinine kinase, fasting blood glucose (FBG), glycated hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and uric acid (UA) were measured using standard laboratory techniques. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) was performed in all patients by using an ultrasound device (ie33, Philips Medical System, Bothell, Washington, USA).

Diagnostic coronary angiographic examinations and groups

Diagnostic coronary angiography (CAG) was performed on participants with unstable angina, chronic stable angina and atypical chest pain speculated as CAHD. Angiographies were performed using Judkins right and left catheters through the radial artery and a Germany's Siemens Axiom Artis DFC ZEE floor mounted device. The quantification analysis of obstructions after catheter calibration was performed by moving the cursor from the proximal through the distal region of each vessel to determine the length and severity of the obstruction. Quantitative coronary angiography (QCA) was performed in biplane views. Patients were screened and classified into four groups: normal (one or more coronary stenosis < 10% in diameter), coronary artery atherosclerosis (one or more coronary stenosis 10-50% in diameter), and CAHD (one or more coronary stenosis \geq 50% in diameter) in any coronary arteries, serious CAHD (one or more coronary stenosis \geq 70% in diameter) according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems criteria (10th Revision) and the American Heart Association classification for cardiovascular diseases. The severity of coronary lesions was also evaluated by using the Gensini score system, based on the results of the CAG. In the Gensini score system, the lesions were classified as 0-25%, 26-50%, 51-75%, 76–90% according to the degree of angiographic stenosis, and were scored 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 points, respectively. Then the score was multiplied by the coefficient defined according to the localization of the lesion [17].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data were

Variable	Non-CAHD (n = 738)	CAHD (n=613)	t/χ² Value	P Value
Sex, Male (n%)	324 (44%)	372 (61%)	37.760	< 0.001
Age≥65 y, (n%)	344 (47%)	350 (57%)	15.040	< 0.001
Education, (n%)			2.810	0.245
Illiteracy, (n%)	34 (5%)	40 (7%)		
Under high school (n%)	646 (88%)	518 (85%)		
Undergraduate course (n%)	55 (8%)	50 (8%)		
Smokers (n%)	198 (27%)	228 (37%)	17.025	< 0.001
Drinkers (n%)	151 (21%)	140 (23%)	1.197	0.274
Diabetes mellitus (n%)	137 (19%)	181 (30%)	22.710	< 0.001
Hypertension (n%)	332 (45%)	186 (30%)	29.720	< 0.001
LVEF, %	62.24±4.19	60.32 ± 7.25	-3.946	< 0.001
CAHD family history (n%)	89 (12%)	143 (23%)	29.890	< 0.001
Angina pectoris (n%)	49 (6.7%)	76 (12.4%)	13.064	0.001
Old Myocardial Infarction (n%)	3 (0.4%)	8 (1.3%)	3.330	0.069
Heart failure (n%)	155 (21%)	202 (33%)	24.980	< 0.001
Arrhythmia (n%)	264 (36%)	204 (34%)	0.920	0.337
Cerebral infarction (n%)	108 (15%)	119 (19%)	5.430	0.020
Renal insufficiency (n%)	24 (3%)	37 (6%)	6.037	0.010
Antihypertensive drug (n%)	207 (28%)	170 (28%)	0.017	0.931
Hypolipidemic drug (n%)	144 (20%)	112 (18%)	0.330	0.561

LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, CAHD coronary atherosclerotic heart disease

expressed as percentages or the mean \pm standard deviation. Two group comparisons were used with Student's-tests (normally distributed) or nonparametric tests (non-normally distributed) for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Pearson correlation analysis was used to evaluate continuous variables with

multiple groups. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were performed to distinguish all risk factors for CAHD. The Cox proportional hazards model and the multicollinearity regression model were used to illustrate the diagnostic power of LDL/HDL for coronary stenosis. The optimal cutoffs were derived from the

Table 2 laboratory text index and Lipids levels of studied sample

Variable	Non-CAHD (n = 738)	CAHD(n=613)	t/χ² Value	P Value
LDL/HDL	2.361 ± 0.782	2.942±1.063	- 10.670	0.000
Cr (µmol/L)	74.191 ± 35.152	83.151 ± 34.351	- 4.720	0.000
FBG (mmol/L)	5.571 ± 1.483	5.971 ± 2.013	- 4.180	0.000
HbA1C (%)	6.19 ± 0.89	6.53 ± 1.11	- 6.18	0.000
BNP (pg/mL)	74.31 ± 86.08	212.64 ± 454.34	- 7.210	0.000
CRP (mg/L)	3.222±7.890	5.670 ± 8.622	- 3.366	0.001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.192 ± 0.351	1.041 ± 0.292	7.990	0.000
cTnl (ng/mL)	0.031 ± 0.151	0.802 ± 6.971	- 6.590	0.006
UA (µmol/L)	315.751 ± 92.321	341.723±99.501	- 4.980	0.000
TC (mmol/L)	4.171 ± 0.952	4.281 ± 1.133	- 1.680	0.090
TG (mmol/L)	1.571 ± 1.334	1.691 ± 1.412	- 1.590	0.113
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.672 ± 0.841	2.914 ± 0.951	- 4.670	0.000
Lp(a) (g/L)	0.142 ± 0.161	0.151 ± 0.182	- 1.360	0.175

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation; *LDL-C* low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, *HDL-C* high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, *LDL/HDL* the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein, *Cr* serum creatinine, *FBG* fasting blood-glucose, *HbA1C* glycated hemoglobin A1C, *BNP* brain natriuretic peptide, *CRP* C-creactive protein, *cTnl* cardiac troponin I, *UA* uric acid, *TC* total cholesterol, *TG* serum triglyceride, *Lp(a)* lipoprotein(a)



receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve by maximizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity. Two-sided P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Subject characteristics

There are 1351 participants (696 males and 655 females; mean age of 66.51 ± 10.44 years, minimum 23, maximum 91) enrolled in this study, with no significant differences in education status; drinking status; medication history; arrhythmia; myocardial infarction history between CAHD and non-CAHD group (Table 1). The patients in CAHD group were older (P<0.01) and more male, compared with those in non-CAHD group. CAHD group had more patients with CAHD family history; smoking; hypertension; diabetes mellitus; renal insufficiency; cerebral infarction; heart failure; angina pectoris than non-CAHD group (Table 1).

The risk factors of CAHD

Many factors in CAHD are shown in Tables 1 and 2. CAHD patients had significantly higher levels of serum Cr; FBG; HbA1C; BNP; cTnI; UA; LDL-C and lower LVEF; HDL-C than non-CAHD patients. LDL/HDL ratio was higher in CAHD group than that in non-CAHD group (2.94 ± 1.06 U/L vs 2.36 ± 0.78 U/L P < 0.01). As shown in Fig. 1, BMI, Cr, UA, GLU were positive, but age and LVEF were negative with LDL/HDL ratio. As shown

Tabl	e 3 Clinica	characteristics and	l lipids leve	l in DM group
------	-------------	---------------------	---------------	---------------

Categorical Variables	Diabetes mellitus				
	NO (n = 1038)	Yes (n = 318)	P value		
Sex, male (n%)	532 (52%)	164 (52%)	0.982		
Age			< 0.001		
<65 y	539 (52%)	122 (38%)			
≥65 y	499 (48%)	195 (61%)			
Smoker (n%)	710 (68%)	220 (69%)	0.793		
Drinker (n%)	233 (22%)	58 (18%)	0.110		
Hypertension (n%)	449 (43%)	69 (22%)	< 0.001		
CAHD history (n%)	153 (14.8%)	79 (24.8%)	< 0.001		
Heart failure history (n%)	250 (24%)	107 (34%)	0.001		
Arrhythmia history (n%)	351 (34%)	117 (37%)	0.346		
Cerebral infarction history (n%) (n%)	156 (15%)	71 (22%)	0.002		
Renal insufficiency (n%)	40 (4%)	21 (6.6%)	0.040		
Hypolipidemic drug (n%)	189 (18%)	68 (22%)	0.216		
Coronary artery stenosis (n%)			< 0.001		
< 10%	355 (34%)	49 (15%)			
10-50%	250 (24%)	88 (28%)			
50-70%	300 (29%)	102 (32%)			
≥70%	133 (13%)	79 (25%)			
BMI (kg/m ²)			0.005		
Underweight (BMI < 18.5)	45 (4%)	11 (4%)			
Normal (18.5 <u><</u> BMI < 23.9)	464 (45%)	113 (36%)			
Overweight (24 \leq BMI < 27.9)	386 (37%)	130 (41%)			
Obese (BMI ≥ 28.0)	136 (13%)	62 (20%)			
Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)	5.32 ± 1.05	7.17 ± 2.62	< 0.001		
LDL/HDL	2.60 ± 0.95	2.69 ± 0.99	0.157		
LDL-C			0.007		
< 2.6 mmol/L	446 (43%)	164 (52%)			
≥ 2.6 mmol/L	592 (57%)	154 (48%)			
HDL-C			< 0.001		
<1 mmol/L	396 (38%)	165 (52%)			
\geq 1 mmol/L	642 (62%)	153 (48%)			
TC			0.251		
<5.2 mmol/L	867 (84%)	278 (87%)			
\geq 5.2 mmol/L	168 (16%)	40 (13%)			
TG			0.129		
<1.7 mmol/L	741 (71%)	210 (66%)			
\geq 1.7 mmol/L	296 (29%)	108 (34%)			
Ox-LDL (mmol/L)	0.312 ± 0.193	0.369 ± 0.443	0.027		
HbA1C			< 0.001		
≤6.5%	93.9%	31.4%			
>6.5%	6.1%	68.6%			
Cr			< 0.001		
< 97 µmol/L	912 (88%)	252 (79%)			
\geq 97 µmol/L	126 (12%)	66 (21%)			

Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or percentage

BMI body mass index, *LDL-C* low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, *HDL-C* highdensity-lipoprotein cholesterol, *LDL/HDL* the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein, *TC* total cholesterol, *TG* triglyceride, *Cr* serum creatinine, *CAHD* coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, *DM* diabetes mellitus in Table 3, there are significantly different in age; hypertension; BMI; LDL; HDL-C and coronary artery severity between DM group and non-DM group. In Fig. 1 there is a statistically significant association of coronary artery stenosis severity with age, sex, smoking, diabetes, and renal dysfunction. Alcohol consumption, history of arrhythmias, and history of other diseases were no difference in groups with different coronary artery stenosis severity.

LDL/HDL ratio and CAHD severity

Table 4 show clinical characteristics and lipids levels in different groups according to atherosclerotic lesion severity. LDL/HDL ratio increased with the degree of coronary artery stenosis increased and with Gensini scores increased (P<0.01). Patients with a lower HDL-C (58.5% vs 41.5% P<0.01) and higher LDL-C (59.7% vs 40.3%, P<0.01) demonstrate more severe coronary artery severity than other groups. Using categorical variables, the patients with high triglycerides in severe coronary atherosclerotic groups was more than that in mild coronary atherosclerotic groups. But no difference of total cholesterol and Lp(a) are found among different coronary artery severity groups.

ROC curve analysis for LDL-C, HDL-C, LDL/HDL ratio and Predictive value for the risk of CAHD

The results of ROC analysis of LDL-C, HDL-C, LDL/ HDL ratio are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 5. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) of LDL-C, HDL-C, LDL/ HDL ratio for predicting the risk of CAHD were 0.574, 0.625, 0.668 (P<0.001), respectively. The optimal cut-off value for LDL/HDL ratio was 2.517, and the sensitivity and specificity were 64.5% and 61.3%, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2, we compared the ROC curve among LDL-C, HDL-C and LDL/HDL ratio, and found LDL/ HDL ratio is superior to LDL-C or HDL-C in predicting the coronary atherosclerotic severity.

We divided the participants into two groups according to the cut-off value of LDL/HDL, and analyzed the incidence of CAHD and related factors. There was more incidences of CAHD and renal insufficiency, higher BMI, Creatinine, UA, more males and smokers in the LDL/ HDL over 2.517 group than in the LDL/HDL under 2.517 group (Tables 6 and 7). More individuals with severe coronary artery stenosis were seen in LDL/HDL over 2.57 group. There was no significant difference in age, drinker, diabetes, BNP, LVEF and other history between the two groups.

_	
7	
Ū.	
st	
\geq	
ä	
Ĕ	
0	
S	
. <u> </u>	
Ľ.	
Φ	
(7	
<u> </u>	
Ψ	
÷	
-	
2	
đ	
õ	
ĕ	
ຄົ	
Ť	
0,	
\geq	
Ð	
Ť	
đ	
~	
\sim	
σ	
<u>_</u>	
ò	
2	
ы	
ĩ	
ö	
<u> </u>	
Å	
2	
σ	
Ð	
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	
d)	
Ľ	
Ŧ	
0	
Ť	
σ	
Ē	
Ę.	
rdin	
ordin	
cordin	
accordin	
accordin	
le accordin	
ple accordin	
nple accordin	
ample accordin	
sample accordin	
/ sample accordin	
dy sample accordin	
udy sample accordin	
tudy sample accordin	
study sample accordin-	
e study sample accordin	
he study sample accordin	
^t the study sample accordin	
of the study sample accordin	
s of the study sample accordin	
ils of the study sample accordin	
vels of the study sample accordin	
evels of the study sample accordin-	
levels of the study sample accordin-	
Is levels of the study sample accordin	
ds levels of the study sample accordin	
oids levels of the study sample accordin	
lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
d lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
nd lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
s and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
cs and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
tics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
istics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
sristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
teristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
cteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
acteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
aracteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
haracteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
I characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
al characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
ical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
nical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
linical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
I Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
4 Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
e 4 Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
ole 4 Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
bble 4 Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	
Table 4 Clinical characteristics and lipids levels of the study sample accordin	

Categorical Variables	Coronary artery st	enosis				Gensini scores				
	< 10% (n = 404)	10–50% (n=337)	50-70% (=402)	≥ 70% (n=212)	<i>P</i> value	0-18 (n=403)	19–39 (n = 367)	40-58 (= 385)	59- (n = 196)	P value
Sex, M (n%)	165 (41%)	159 (47%)	236 (59%)	136 (64%)	< 0.001	168 (42%)	174 (47%)	227 (59%)	128 (65%)	< 0.001
Age					< 0.001					< 0.001
< 65 y	245 (61%)	152 (45%)	177 (44%)	87 (41%)		242 (60%)	168 (46%)	169 (44%)	78 (40%)	
≥65 y	159 (39%)	185 (55%)	225 (56%)	125 (59%)		161 (40%)	198 (54%)	216 (56%)	118 (60%)	
Smoker (n%)	100 (25%)	98 (29%)	153 (38%)	75 (35%)	< 0.001	101 (25%)	106 (29%)	145 (38%)	74 (38%)	< 0.001
Drinker (n%)	70 (17%)	81 (24%)	92 (23%)	48 (23%)	0.110	71 (18%)	84 (23%)	89 (23%)	46 (24%)	0.167
DM (n%)	49 (12%)	88 (26%)	102 (25%)	79 (37%)	< 0.001	51 (13%)	95 (26%)	94 (24%)	78 (40%)	< 0.001
HTN (n%)	208 (52%)	124 (37%)	119 (30%)	67 (32%)	< 0.001	199 (49%)	234 (64%)	267 (69%)	139 (71%)	< 0.001
AH (n%)	139 (35%)	125 (37%)	134 (33%)	70 (33%)	0.714	139 (35%)	136 (37%)	130 (34%)	63 (32%)	0.714
HDU (n%)	76 (20%)	66 (20%)	70 (18%)	42 (20%)	0.828	383 (95%)	336 (92%)	300 (78%)	152 (78%)	0.620
BMI (kg/m ²)					< 0.001					< 0.036
< 18.5	19 (5%)	9(3%)	16(4%)	12(6%)		16(4%)	9(3%)	10(2.6%)	10(6%)	
18.5-23.9	18 (45%)	147 (44%)	17 (43%)	80 (39%)		183 (45%)	162 (44%)	167 (43%)	77 (39%)	
24.0-27.9	14 (35%)	12 (36%)	16 (41%)	90 (44%)		142 (35%)	132 (36%)	164 (43%)	87 (44%)	
≥ 28.0	64 (19%)	59 (18%)	51 (13%)	24 (12%)		62 (15%)	63 (17%)	44 (11%)	22 (11%)	
LDL/HDL	2.49±0.83	2.20 ± 0.69	2.84 土 1.02	3.13±1.11	< 0.001	2.48 土 0.68	2.24±0.73	2.90 土 1.02	3.08土 1.13	< 0.001
CDL-C					< 0.001					< 0.001
< 2.6 mmol/L	173 (43%)	191 (57%)	162 (40%)	84 (40%)		176 (44%)	200 (54%)	147 (38%)	84 (43%)	
≥ 2.6 mmol/L	23 (57%)	147 (44%)	240 (60%)	128 (60%)		227 (56%)	167 (45%)	238 (62%)	112 (57%)	
HDL-C					< 0.001					< 0.001
≤1 mmol/L	142 (35%)	102 (30%)	193 (48%)	124 (59%)		145 (36%)	111 (30%)	190 (49%)	114 (58%)	
> 1 mmol/L	262 (65%)	236 (70%)	209 (52%)	88 (42%)		258 (64%)	256 (70%)	195 (51%)	82 (42%)	
TC					0.182					0.205
< 5.2 mmol/L	340 (84%)	297 (88%)	336 (84%)	172 (81%)		344 (85%)	321 (87%)	317 (82%)	162 (83%)	
≥ 5.2 mmol/L	63 (16%)	41 (12%)	64 (16%)	40 (19%)		59 (15%)	46 (13%)	68 (18%)	34 (17%)	
TG					0.009					0.007
< 1.7 mmol/L	298 (74%)	250 (74%)	268 (67%)	135 (64%)		298 (74%)	270 (74%)	251 (65%)	127 (65%)	
≥ 1.7 mmol/L	10 (26%)	88 (26%)	134 (33%)	77 (36%)		104 (26%)	97 (26%)	134 (35%)	69 (35%)	
C					< 0.001					< 0.001
< 97 µmol/L	368 (91%)	306 (91%)	333 (83%)	157 (74%)		363 (90%)	332 (91%)	324 (84%)	140 (71%)	
≥ 97 µmol/L	36 (19%)	32 (10%)	69 (17%)	55 (29%)		40 (10%)	35 (9%)	61 (16%)	56 (29%)	
Values are expressed as me	an ± standard deviat	ion								

Predictive value of LDL/HDL ratio for CAHD The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of the CAHD risk factors are shown in Table 8. Both in univariate and multivariate analyses, smoker, sex, age, hypertension, and DM were statistically associated with the prevalence of CAHD. Other factors, such as TC, BMI, hypolipidemic treatment, showed no predictive value for CAHD. These data suggest that older age, smoker, male, DM and hypertension are the risk factors of CAHD. HDL-C and LDL-C were also connected to the prevalence of CAHD in univariate analysis but not in multiple analyses. While LDL/HDL ratio was significantly associated with CAHD in both univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, as shown in Table 8. Therefore, LDL/HDL is a better risk predictor for CAHD than LDL-C or HDL-C alone. The standardized coefficient of each independent variable was showed in Table 9. Variance inflation factor (VIF) is near to 1 in multicollinearity regression model. Each independent variable can be used most effectively to predict CAHD and there is no collinearity between age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking and LDL/HDL ratio.

Discussion

The occurrence and development of coronary atherosclerosis result from multiple factors. Dyslipidemia, as a known risk factor, promotes and aggravates coronary atherosclerosis. Increasing evidence shows that the decrease of HDL-C and the increase of LDL-C may be involved in the progression of atherosclerosis and promote the development of CAHD [18-20]. Elevated LDL-C level is an independent predictor of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Decreased LDL-C level can reduce the incidence of cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular events such as AMI and ischemic stroke [21]. In contrast to LDL-C, increased HDL-C level can effectively slow down atherosclerosis and reduce the occurrence of atherosclerosisrelated diseases [22]. Consistent with these results, our study shows the level of LDL-C is higher and the level of HDL-C is lower in CAHD group than those in non-CAHD group.

A number of clinical studies have shown that in addition to single blood lipids, elevated LDL/HDL is a risk factor for coronary atherosclerosis [23, 24]. In accordance with the results of previous studies, observation on 1351 participants, shows that the LDL/HDL ratio in CAHD group was higher than that in non-CAHD group. To understand the value of LDL/HDL indicators, we further explored the boundary-value of LDL/HDL ratio according to the severity of coronary atherosclerosis using the Gensini score system. The results suggested that a progressive increase in the ratio of LDL/HDL was present for individuals with an increasing degree of coronary vascular stenosis and a higher Gensini scores. Compared with a single blood lipid index, the LDL/HDL ratio can detect the earlier imbalance between atherosclerotic and antiatherosclerotic lipoproteins, and can effectively reflect the coronary atherosclerotic severity.



AUC/D-AUC (95%CI)	SE	Z	Sensitivity	Specificity	Cut-off value	P Value
0.574 (0.547–0.600)	0.016	4.714	75%	36%	2.32 mmol/L	0.000
0.625 (0.598–0.651)	0.015	8.233	61%	60%	1.06 mmol/L	0.000
0.668 (0.639–0.697)	0.015	11.327	65%	61%	2.517	0.000
0.051 (0.003–0.099)	0.025	2.089	-	-	-	0.037
0.095 (0.071–0.119)	0.012	7.723	-	-	-	0.000
0.043 (0.012-0.074)	0.016	2.737	-	-	-	0.000
	AUC/D-AUC (95%Cl) 0.574 (0.547–0.600) 0.625 (0.598–0.651) 0.668 (0.639–0.697) 0.051 (0.003–0.099) 0.095 (0.071–0.119) 0.043 (0.012–0.074)	AUC/D-AUC (95%CI) SE 0.574 (0.547-0.600) 0.016 0.625 (0.598-0.651) 0.015 0.668 (0.639-0.697) 0.015 0.051 (0.003-0.099) 0.025 0.095 (0.071-0.119) 0.012 0.043 (0.012-0.074) 0.016	AUC/D-AUC (95%CI) SE Z 0.574 (0.547–0.600) 0.016 4.714 0.625 (0.598–0.651) 0.015 8.233 0.668 (0.639–0.697) 0.015 11.327 0.051 (0.003–0.099) 0.025 2.089 0.095 (0.071–0.119) 0.012 7.723 0.043 (0.012–0.074) 0.016 2.737	AUC/D-AUC (95%Cl)SEZSensitivity0.574 (0.547-0.600)0.0164.71475%0.625 (0.598-0.651)0.0158.23361%0.668 (0.639-0.697)0.01511.32765%0.051 (0.003-0.099)0.0252.089-0.095 (0.071-0.119)0.0127.723-0.043 (0.012-0.074)0.0162.737-	AUC/D-AUC (95%Cl)SEZSensitivitySpecificity0.574 (0.547-0.600)0.0164.71475%36%0.625 (0.598-0.651)0.0158.23361%60%0.668 (0.639-0.697)0.01511.32765%61%0.051 (0.003-0.099)0.0252.0890.095 (0.071-0.119)0.0127.7230.043 (0.012-0.074)0.0162.737	AUC/D-AUC (95%Cl) SE Z Sensitivity Specificity Cut-off value 0.574 (0.547-0.600) 0.016 4.714 75% 36% 2.32 mmol/L 0.625 (0.598-0.651) 0.015 8.233 61% 60% 1.06 mmol/L 0.668 (0.639-0.697) 0.015 11.327 65% 61% 2.517 0.051 (0.003-0.099) 0.025 2.089 - - - 0.095 (0.071-0.119) 0.012 7.723 - - - 0.043 (0.012-0.074) 0.016 2.737 - - -

ROC receiver operating characteristic, AUC area under curve, D-AUC differential area under curve. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or percentage. LDL-C low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL/HDL the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein

100	2.517 1.06mmol/L 2.61mmol/L Cut off value LDL-C HDL-C LDL/HDL
	20 40 60 80 100
	Specificity%
Fig. 2 ROC a	analysis of LDL-C, HDL-C and LDL/HDL

Categorical Variables	LDL/HDL		
	<2.5173 (n=673)	\geq 2.5173 (n = 683)	<i>P</i> value
Age			0.137
<65 y	312 (46%)	349 (51%)	
≥65 y	360 (54%)	334 (49%)	
Sex			0.000
Male	306 (46%)	390 (57%)	
Female	367 (55%)	293 (43%)	
Smoker			0.001
Yes	183 (27%)	243 (36%)	
No	490 (73%)	440 (64%)	
Hypertension			0.003
Yes	284 (42%)	234 (34%)	
No	389 (58%)	449 (66%)	
DM			0.316
Yes	150 (22%)	168 (25%)	
No	523 (78%)	515(75%)	
BMI (kg/m ²)			0.002
Underweight (BMI < 18.5)	32 (5%)	24 (4%)	
Normal (18.5 ≤ BMI < 23.9)	32 (48%)	25 (38%)	
Overweight (24 \leq BMI < 27.9)	23 (35%)	28 (41%)	
Obese (BMI ≥ 28.0)	87 (13%)	11 (16%)	
Cr			0.000
<97 µmol/L	602 (90%)	562 (82%)	
≥ 97 µmol/L	71 (11%)	121 (18%)	
CAS			0.000
<10%	213 (32%)	191 (28%)	
10–50%	242 (36%)	96 (14%)	
50-70%	153 (23%)	338 (50%)	
≥70%	65 (10%)	147 (22%)	

Table 6 Risk factors predicted by the cut-off value of LDL/HDL in the study population

Values are presented as number percentage

LDL/HDL the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein, DM diabetes mellitus, BMI body mass index, Cr serum creatinine, CAS coronary artery stenosis

Our study shows that LDL/HDL ratio which combining the two variables is better than either LDL-C or HDL-C alone in predicting coronary atherosclerotic severity, as an independent risk factor for CAHD. According to the ROC curve analysis, the cut-off value of LDL/HDL ratio was 2.517, and the sensitivity and specificity for prediction of CAHD are 64.5% and 61.3%, respectively. As expected, LDL/HDL ratio was predictive for CAHD both in univariate and multivariate analyses. But LDL-C and HDL-C were predictive for CAHD only in univariate analysis. All these data suggested LDL/HDL ratio is better than LDL-C or HDL-C as an independent predictor for CAHD. LDL/HDL ratio is considered to be a sensitive predictor of CAHD, especially if the values are \geq 2.517. It has an important clinical significance for prevention of CAHD and guiding therapy of coronary atherosclerosis. Using this cutoff, we can identify early high-risk CAHD patients and make effective interventions to reduce LDL/ HDL ratio, thereby reducing the incidence of CAHD. Consequently, we used truncation criteria to verify the risk factors for CAHD and demonstrated that the incidence of CAHD increased and the degree of coronary artery stenosis significantly increased in the group with LDL/HDL ratio over 2.517.

Blood lipid level also depends on age, smoking, diabetes, hypertension and drugs. We investigated LDL/HDL in different conditions and found it is not affected by diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and smoking status in multivariate analyses. Patients with AMI were not included in the study because acute ischemic events may affect

Table 7	Risk factors	predicted b [,]	the cut-off	value of LDL	/HDL in	the study	<pre>/ populatior</pre>
---------	--------------	--------------------------	-------------	--------------	---------	-----------	-------------------------

Variable	LDL/HDL < 2.517 (n = 673)	LDL/HDL \geq 2.517 (n = 683)	t/χ² Value	P Value
Sex (M, n%)	306 (46%)	390 (57%)	17.710	< 0.001
Age (year)	67.032 ± 10.203	66.001 ± 10.653	1.820	0.069
Smokers (n%)	183 (27%)	243 (36%)	11.070	0.001
Drinkers (n%)	136 (20%)	155 (23%)	1.243	0.265
DM (n%)	150 (22%)	168 (25%)	1.007	0.316
Hypertension (n%)	284 (42%)	234 (34%)	9.049	0.003
LVEF (%)	62.001 ± 4.623	60.752 ± 6.802	3.980	0.081
CAHD (n%)	218 (32%)	396 (58%)	12.080	0.001
HF history (n%)	164 (24%)	193 (28%)	2.644	0.104
ARR history (n%)	427 (64%)	460 (67%)	2.282	0.131
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.943±3.871	24.651 ± 3.703	- 3.490	0.001
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.281 ± 0.682	3.271 ± 0.814	- 24.410	< 0.001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.252 ± 0.372	0.991 ± 0.231	15.580	< 0.001
TC (mmol/L)	3.852 ± 0.872	4.591 ± 1.053	-14.130	< 0.001
TG (mmol/L)	1.433 ± 1.311	1.823 ± 1.402	- 5.330	< 0.001
Lp(a) (g/L)	0.141 ± 0.181	0.141 ± 0.171	-0.180	0.859
FBG (mmol/L)	5.571 ± 1.532	5.932 ± 1.933	- 3.850	< 0.001
HbA1c (%)	6.332 ± 2.503	6.463 ± 1.232	- 1.100	0.271
Cr (µmol/L)	74.123±21.161	82.301 ± 44.361	- 4.340	< 0.001
UA (µmol/L)	315.002 ± 91.453	339.831±99.723	- 4.780	< 0.001
CTnl (ng/mL)	0.221 ± 3.913	0.532 ± 5.372	- 1.190	0.467
BNP (pg/mL)	123.781 ± 282.084	149.941 ± 352.532	- 1.510	0.257

BMI body mass index, *HbA1C* glycoated hemoglobin A1C, *UA* uric acid, *TG* serum triglyceride, *Lp(a)* lipoprotein(a), *Cr* serum creatinine, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *BNP* brain natriuretice peptide, *cTnI* cardiac troponin I, *FBG* fasting blood-glucose, *TC* total cholesterol, *HDL-C* high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, *LDL/HDL* the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein, *M* male, *CAHD* coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, *DM* diabetes mellitus, *ARR history* arrhythmia history, *HF* heart failure

Table 8 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression model for prediction of CAHD

Categorical Variables	Univariate analysis OR (95% CI)	P value	Multivariate analysis OR (95% CI)	P value	
Sex	0.507 (0.408–0.630)	< 0.001	1.947 (1.353–2.801)	< 0.001	
Age	0.654 (0.527–0.811)	< 0.001	0.961 (0.946–0.975)	< 0.001	
Smokers	0.616 (0.489–0.776)	< 0.001	1.042 (0.700-0.951)	0.013	
DM	0.542 (0.420-0.698)	< 0.001	1.641 (1.158–2.326)	0.005	
Hypertension	0.543 (0.434–0.681)	< 0.001	0.649 (0.505–0.834)	0.001	
BMI	0.927 (0.747-1.149)	0.489	0.950 (0.918–0.986)	0.416	
LDL/HDL	0.347 (0.278–0.433)	< 0.001	0.473 (0.399–0.561)	< 0.001	
LDL-C	0.694 (0.559–0.862)	0.001	0.746 (0.482–1.173)	0.209	
HDL-C	2.178(1.748-2.715)	< 0.001	1.549 (0.625–3.827)	0.339	
TC	0.797 (0.539–1.072)	0.134	0.980 (0.792-1.226)	0.882	
CRP	1.026 (1.010–1.043)	0.001	0.960 (0.930–0.988)	0.034	
HDU	0.915 (0.696–1.204)	0.527	1.153 (0.845–1.567)	0.370	

CAHD coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, LDL-C low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL/HDL the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein, DM diabetes mellitus, TC total cholesterol, TG triglyceride, BMI body mass index, OR indicates odds ratio, CI confidence interval, CRP C-creactive protein, HDU Hypolipidemic Durg Use

blood lipid level. Age is the risk factor of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking status. The proportion of lipid drug treatment before admission was low and not different between CHAD and non-CHAD group. Diabetes is an important risk factor for CAHD and is closely related to dyslipidemia. There was no difference of LDL/

Table 9 Multicollinearity of age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking and LDL/HDL for CAHD

Categorical variables	Unstandardized coefficients beta	Coefficients std. error	Standardized coefficients beta	t Value	P Value	R	R ²	∆R
Constant	- 0.667	0.114		- 5.863	< 0.001	0.408	0.167	0.163
Sex	0.134	0.031	0.134	4.331	< 0.001			
Age	0.009	0.001	0.196	7.504	< 0.001			
Smokers	0.042	0.034	0.039	1.261	0.028			
DM	0.092	0.030	0.078	3.069	0.002			
Hypertension	- 0.090	0.026	- 0.088	- 3.418	0.001			
LDL/HDL	0.148	0.013	0.285	11.272	< 0.001			

CAHD coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, LDL/HDL the ratio of low-density-lipoprotein to high-density-lipoprotein

HDL between DM and non-DM group, but in all participants LDL/HDL had a positive correlation with the level of fasting blood glucose using Pearson correlation analysis. Since, it has a series of confounding factors that affect the analysis and interpretation of the results. This contradict result is worth further study.

Our study predicts the value of LDL/HDL for CAHD independent of the factors of age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoke. We have separately calculated LDL/ HDL and CAHD risk factors using the multicollinearity regression model. The result showed that VIF is near to 1, which suggests there is no multicollinearity between LDL/HDL and age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking status. However, there is the collinearity among LDL-C, HDL-C and LDL/HDL (the data is not attached). LDL/HDL is calculated from LDL-C and HDL-C, so multicollinearity is inevitable.

Limitations

Admittedly, our studies have some limitations. (1) This study was limited by its retrospective design and single-center nature. Selection bias may also be present in baseline characteristics, so the findings may not be applicable to the general population. (2) It is a cross-sectional study with many interference factors, the confounding biasis hard to exclude in statistical analysis. (3) We did not conduct a follow-up studies; Effect of the LDL /HDL ratio on the prognosis of patients with CAHD needs further study. The limits mentioned above also indicate the promising potential of this study, which lays the foundation for our future studies.

Conclusion

To summarize, LDL/HDL ratio played an essential role in CAHD, acting as a representative of CAHD severity. LDL/HDL ratio has higher specificity and sensitivity than the single indicator LDL-C or HDL-C. Adding LDL/ HDL to traditional risk factors can further improve the comprehensive judgment index for the occurrence of coronary atherosclerosis. LDL/HDL ratio may identify early patients with atherosclerosis or high-risk individuals for CAHD, guiding primary prevention strategies for CAHD.

Author contributions

TS and MC acquired data, performed the statistical analyses, interpreted data, and drafted the manuscript. HS, PY, LF and XC acquired data and revised the manuscript. JW, ZX and JZ interpreted data and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work is supported by Clinical Research Program of Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (JYLJ202014); and supported by Clinical Research Project of Multi-Disciplinary Team, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (No. 201911), the and the Key Discipline Project of Shanghai Jiading District (No. 2020-jdyxzdxk-13), supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81770505).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The ethical approval of this study protocol was given by the Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital's ethics committee and research board. Informed consent was obtained from all the study subjects before enrollment. The study complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital Affiliated With Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, People's Republic of China. ²Huamu Community Health Service Center, Pudong New Area, Shanghai 201204, People's Republic of China. ³Community Health Service Center, Jiangwan Town, Hongkou District, Shanghai, People's Republic of China. ⁴Department of Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai General Hospital Jiading Branch, Shanghai 201803, People's Republic of China.

Received: 2 March 2022 Accepted: 26 May 2022 Published online: 17 June 2022

References

- 1. Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. PLoS Med. 2006;3:e442.
- Ference BA, Yoo W, Alesh I, Mahajan N, Mirowska KK, Mewada A, et al. Effect of long-term exposure to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol beginning early in life on the risk of coronary heart disease: a Mendelian randomization analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:2631–9.
- Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease Study G. Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:1349–57.
- Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, Whitney E, Shapiro DR, Beere PA, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/Tex-CAPS. Air force/Texas coronary atherosclerosis prevention study. JAMA. 1998;279:1615–22.
- Harreiter J, Roden M. Diabetes mellitus-Definition, classification, diagnosis, screening and prevention (Update 2019). Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2019;131:6–15.
- Ferrieres J, De Ferrari GM, Hermans MP, Elisaf M, Toth PP, Horack M, et al. Predictors of LDL-cholesterol target value attainment differ in acute and chronic coronary heart disease patients: results from DYSIS II Europe. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2018;25:1966–76.
- Saito I, Yamagishi K, Kokubo Y, Yatsuya H, Iso H, Sawada N, et al. Association of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration with different types of stroke and coronary heart disease: the japan public health center-based prospective (JPHC) study. Atherosclerosis. 2017;265:147–54.
- Qi Y, Liu J, Wang W, Wang M, Zhao F, Sun J, et al. Apolipoprotein E-containing high-density lipoprotein (HDL) modifies the impact of cholesterol-overloaded HDL on incident coronary heart disease risk: a community-based cohort study. J Clin Lipidol. 2018;12(89–98):e2.
- Ling Y, Jiang J, Wu B, Gao X. Serum triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, and coronary heart disease in a Chinese population undergoing coronary angiography. J Clin Lipidol. 2017;11:646–56.
- 10. Young CE, Karas RH, Kuvin JT. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and coronary heart disease. Cardiol Rev. 2004;12:107–19.
- Cai G, Zhang B, Shi G, Weng W, Ma C, Song Y, et al. The associations between proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 E670G polymorphism and the risk of coronary artery disease and serum lipid levels: a meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis. 2015;14:149.
- 12. Zhong Z, Hou J, Zhang Q, Zhong W, Li B, Li C, et al. Assessment of the LDL/HDL ratio as a predictor of 1 year clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes after percutaneous coronary intervention and drug-eluting stent implantation. Lipids Health Dis. 2019;18:40.
- Kunutsor SK, Zaccardi F, Karppi J, Kurl S, Laukkanen JA. Is high serum LDL/ HDL cholesterol ratio an emerging risk factor for sudden cardiac death? Findings from the KIHD study. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2017;24:600–8.
- Joseph J, Velasco A, Hage FG, Reyes E. Guidelines in review: comparison of ESC and ACC/AHA guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol. 2018;25:509–15.
- Ryan TJ, Bauman WB, Kennedy JW, Kereiakes DJ, King SB, 3rd, McCallister BD, et al. Guidelines for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. A report of the American heart association/American college of cardiology task force on assessment of diagnostic and therapeutic cardiovascular procedures (Committee on percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty). Circulation. 1993; 88: 2987–3007.
- Vahdat K, Pourbehi MR, Ostovar A, Hadavand F, Bolkheir A, Assadi M, et al. Association of pathogen burden and hypertension: the Persian Gulf healthy heart study. Am J Hypertens. 2013;26:1140–7.
- 17. Nar G, Cetin SS, Nar R, Kilic O, Furkan OM, Gunver G, Ilyas SC. Is serum fibroblast growth factor 21 associated with the severity or presence of coronary artery disease? J Med Biochem. 2022;41(2):162–7.
- Packard CJ, Weintraub WS, Laufs U. New metrics needed to visualize the long-term impact of early LDL lowering on the cardiovascular disease trajectory. Vascul Pharmacol. 2015;71:37–9.
- Goliasch G, Wiesbauer F, Blessberger H, Demyanets S, Wojta J, Huber K, et al. Premature myocardial infarction is strongly associated with increased levels of remnant cholesterol. J Clin Lipidol. 2015;9(801–6):e1.

- Sniderman AD, Williams K, Contois JH, Monroe HM, McQueen MJ, de Graaf J, et al. A meta-analysis of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, nonhigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B as markers of cardiovascular risk. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2011;4:337–45.
- Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C, Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland LE, Reith C, et al. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. Lancet. 2010;376:1670–81.
- 22. Barter P, Genest J. HDL cholesterol and ASCVD risk stratification: a debate. Atherosclerosis. 2019;283:7–12.
- Cai G, Shi G, Xue S, Lu W. The atherogenic index of plasma is a strong and independent predictor for coronary artery disease in the Chinese Han population. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96:e8058.
- 24. Kasami R, Kaneto H, Katakami N, Sumitsuji S, Yamasaki K, Kuroda T, et al. Relationship between carotid intima-media thickness and the presence and extent of coronary stenosis in type 2 diabetic patients with carotid atherosclerosis but without history of coronary artery disease. Diabetes Care. 2011;34:468–70.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

