RESEARCH

Open Access

Preprocedural and procedural variables that predict new-onset conduction disturbances after transcatheter aortic valve replacement

Wongsaput Boonyakiatwattana¹, Adisak Maneesai¹, Vithaya Chaithiraphan³, Decho Jakrapanichakul¹, Pranya Sakiyalak², Narathip Chunhamaneewat¹, Worawong Slisatkorn², Chunhakasem Chotinaiwattarakul³, Rungtiwa Pongakasira³ and Nattawut Wongpraparut^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Conduction disturbances are a common complication after transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). The aim of this study was to investigate the preprocedural and procedural variables that predict new-onset conduction disturbances post-TAVR (hereafter CD/CDs).

Methods: Consecutive patients who underwent TAVR during December 2009–March 2021 at the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University—Thailand's largest national tertiary referral center—were enrolled. Patients with prior implantation of a cardiac device, periprocedural death, or unsuccessful procedure were excluded. Clinical and electrocardiographic data, preprocedural imaging, including membranous septum (MS) length, and procedural variables, including implantation depth (ID), were analyzed. CD was defined as new left or right bundle branch block, significant intraventricular conduction disturbance with QRS interval \geq 120 ms, new high-grade atrioventricular block, or complete heart block. Multivariate binary logistic analysis and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis were used to identify independent predictors and the optimal Δ MSID (difference between the MS length and ID) cutoff value, respectively.

Results: A total of 124 TAVR patients (mean age: 84.3 ± 6.3 years, 62.1% female) were included. The mean Society of Thoracic Surgeons score was 7.3%, and 85% of patients received a balloon expandable transcatheter heart valve. Thirty-five patients (28.2%) experienced a CD, and one-third of those required pacemaker implantation. The significant preprocedural and procedural factors identified from univariate analysis included intraventricular conduction delay, mitral annular calcification, MS length ≤ 6.43 mm, self-expanding device, small left ventricular cavity, and ID ≥ 6 mm. Multivariate analysis revealed MS length ≤ 6.43 mm (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 9.54; 95% CI 2.56–35.47; p = 0.001) and Δ MSID < 0 mm (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 10.77; 95% CI 2.86–40.62; p = < 0.001) to be independent predictors of CD. The optimal Δ MSID cutoff value for predicting conduction disturbances was less than 0 mm (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve [AuROC]: 0.896).

Conclusion: This study identified MS length \leq 6.43 mm and Δ MSID < 0 mm as independent predictors of CDs. Δ MSID < 0 was the strongest and only modifiable predictor. Importantly, we expanded the CD criteria to cover all

¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, 2 Wanglang Road, Bangkoknoi,

Bangkok 10700, Thailand

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: wongpraparut@yahoo.com

spectrum of TAVR-related conduction injury to lower the threshold of this sole modifiable risk. The optimal Δ MSID cutoff value was < 0 mm.

Trial registration: TCTR, TCTR20210818002. Registered 17 August 2021—Retrospectively registered, http://www.thaic linicaltrials.org/show/TCTR 20210818002.

Keywords: Preprocedural and procedural variables, Predict, New-onset conduction disturbances, Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR)

Background

Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) is an emerging therapeutic procedure in patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis who have intermediate to high surgical risk or who are inoperable [1-5]. Despite the development of the transcatheter heart valve (THV) to decrease periprocedural complications, new-onset conduction disturbances post-TAVR (hereafter collectively referred to as CD/CDs) remain relatively common [6]. The mechanism of CDs may be direct mechanical insult by the THV to conduction tissue, which results in ischemia, edema, or hemorrhage [6]. Based on this mechanism hypothesis, several studies have investigated the predicted risk for permanent pacemaker implantation (PPI) in patients with CDs, but some of the reported predictors were inconsistent among studies [7, 8]. Because of these variations among treatment-related factors, including discrepancies in the indication and timing of PPI, the care decision pathway has not yet been conclusively established in this clinical setting. Moreover, the data are still scarce relative to the clinical outcomes of patients with CDs compared between those treated with and without PPI [9]. It is possible that there may be a single standardized decision pathway that is suitable for use in CD patients.

Accordingly, the aim of this study was to investigate clinical, electrocardiographic, preprocedural imaging, and procedural variables to identify independent factors that predict new-onset conduction disturbances post-TAVR.

Methods

Study design and patient population

The study protocol was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand (COA no. Si 438/2018). The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all included patients gave informed written consent to participate. All consecutive patients who underwent TAVR during December 2009 to March 2021 at Siriraj Hospital were reviewed for inclusion eligibility. Patients with prior implantation of a cardiac device, those who died during the procedure, and who experienced an unsuccessful TAVR procedure were excluded. All patients were assessed by the Siriraj structural heart team, which includes cardiovascular surgeons, a structural interventionist, and structural imaging specialists. The suitability of the procedure, procedural concerns, access site, and transcatheter heart valve (THV) type and size were discussed and determined based on a preprocedural multimodality imaging framework that includes echocardiography, multislice computed tomography (MSCT), and angiography. Additional electrocardiography (ECG), imaging, and procedural data required for this study were analyzed retrospectively.

Periprocedural conduction monitoring protocol

All TAVR patients at Siriraj Hospital routinely undergo insertion of transvenous temporary pacing in the right ventricle during the procedure, which is then removed in the cardiac intensive care unit. Patients are subsequently monitored at least overnight via telemetry ECG according to Siriraj TAVR postprocedural protocol.

A temporary pacemaker was placed overnight in patients with preexisting conduction disturbance and significant ECG changes during the procedure, which was defined as any new-onset LBBB and/or increase in PR or QRS duration ≥ 20 ms (ms), and/or development of periprocedural transient or persistent heart block. Twelve-lead ECG was recorded pre-TAVR and immediately post-TAVR in all patients until discharge. All ECG data were analyzed by a cardiologist who was blinded to the clinical, preprocedural, and procedural data. Conduction disturbance was defined using the criteria published in the 2018 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline on the Evaluation and Management of Patients with Bradycardia and Cardiac Conduction Delay [10].

Preprocedural data analysis

Preprocedural transthoracic echocardiography was performed by echocardiographic specialists who are experienced in the use of echocardiography in structural heart interventions. The definitions of echocardiographic variables [11-17] are shown in Table 1.

After contrast-enhanced MSCT imaging became available at our center, all patients routinely underwent MSCT to evaluate the suitability of TAVR, including

Table 1 Pre	eprocedural	and peri	procedural	variable	definitions
-------------	-------------	----------	------------	----------	-------------

Variables	Definition
Preprocedural variables	
3D-derived LVOT area	LVOT area measured by multiplanar reformatting under 3D-guided planimetry method
3D-derived LVOT perimetry	LVOT perimetry measured by multiplanar reformatting under 3D-guided planimetry method
Annular area and perimetry [11]	Annular area and perimetry measured by MSCT using direct planimetry method
Valve oversizing by area (%) [12]	[(THV area in cm2)/(native annular area in cm2) -1] × 100
Valve oversizing by perimetry (%) [12]	[(THV perimetry in mm)/(native annular perimetry in mm) -1] \times 100
Eccentricity index	1—(minimum diameter/maximum diameter)
Calcification of the valvular apparatus at aortic cusps and left ventricular outflow tract [13]	Visually graded as none = 0, mild = 1, moderate = 2, and severe = 3
The membranous septum (MS) length (Fig. 1C)	Infra-annular portion of MS measured from coronal view
MAC on MSCT [14]	Presence of dense calcium deposits at the base of mitral leaflets, grade $0 = no$ MAC, grade $1 = mild$ MAC involvement affected $\leq \frac{1}{4}$ of the annulus, grade $2 = moderate$ MAC involvement $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$ of the annulus, grade 3 severe MAC involvement $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ of the annulus
Procedural variables	
Implantation depth (Fig. 1A, B) [15, 16]	Range between the prosthesis below the aortic annulus plane
ID by frame height below aortic annulus, % (Fig. 1A) [16]	(implantation depth in mm)/(frame height in mm) × 100
ΔMSID	Difference between MS length and ID
Small LV cavity	Mentioned small LV cavity during procedure by echocardiographic specialist who per- formed intraoperative TEE or structural interventionist
PVL	PVL definitions using the VARC-3 consensus [17]

LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract; MAC, Mitral annular calcification; PVL, paravalvular leakage; THV, transcatheter heart valve

the peripheral access vessels; the dimensions of the aortic annulus, which are crucial for THV sizing; and, calcification at the device landing zone. Data acquisition protocols were based on the guidelines published by the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography [11]. The definitions of MSCT variables are shown in Table 1.

Membranous septum (MS) length and prothesis implantation depth (ID) analysis

The MS has a supra-annular portion, and an infra-annular portion. The latter plays a role in anatomical recognition of the atrioventricular bundle [18]. In this study, we used the coronal MS length approach, which is defined as the distance from the most superior thinnest part of the muscular interventricular septum to the annular plane in the coronal view under the systolic phase (at 40% of the RR interval) (Fig. 1C). The MS length was not routinely recorded, so it was measured retrospectively by an investigator blinded to all clinical data using Aquarius iNtuition cardiovascular imaging software (TeraRecon, Inc., Durham, NC, USA).

Greater implantation depth (ID) was reported to be an independent risk factor for PPI [6, 15] because the radial force of the prosthesis leads to impinges upon the conduction system. The final post-deployment aortic angiogram in orthogonal view was analyzed offline using IntelliSpace cardiovascular software version 2.3 (Philips Healthcare, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). The depth of implantation was demonstrated as the distance in mm and percentage frame height below the septal site of aortic annulus for both balloon-expandable (Fig. 1A) and self-expanding THVs (Fig. 1B). The difference between MS and ID (Δ MSID) was calculated.

New-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR (CD) and clinical outcome definitions

New-onset CD post-TAVR (CD) was defined as any conduction disturbance that occurred during the periprocedural TAVR period (procedure and hospitalization period). CDs selected as study endpoints included new complete left and right bundle branch block (LBBB, RBBB), significant intraventricular CD (IVCD) with QRS interval \geq 120 ms, new high-grade atrioventricular block (HAVB), or complete heart block (CHB). Concerning immediate post-TAVR ECG, significant ECG change was defined as any new-onset LBBB and/or increase in PR or QRS duration \geq 20 ms and/or development of periprocedural transient or persistent heart block [9]. Late highdegree CDs were recorded if they occurred > 48 h after TAVR or after hospital discharge [9].

The clinical cardiac electrophysiologist made the final decision regarding pacemaker implantation. In order to decrease treatment variation bias, we expanded the criteria for defining a CD to cover all spectrum of TAVR-related the new-onset CD in addition to PPI as the study endpoint.





All patients with a CD were routinely followed-up with clinical, ECG, and echocardiographic assessment for overall assessment, and to evaluate for resolution of the conduction disturbance. Clinical outcomes based on the Valve Academic Research Consortium-3 (VARC-3) consensus document [17] were reviewed using internal and external medical records.

PPI patients were followed-up at the pacemaker clinic at 1 month, 3- to 6-months, and 12 months. Recorded data from pacemaker interrogation were analyzed to determine pacemaker dependency with pacing percentage as the measurement parameter.

Statistical analysis

Baseline patient characteristics, comorbidities, ECG data, and preprocedural and procedural parameters were compared between the presence or absence of CD. Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). The Shapiro–Wilk test is used method to test the normality of the continuous data. Continuous data were compared using Student's t-test (normality) or Mann– Whitney U test (non-normality). Categorical data were compared using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Independent association between CD and prespecified clinical, ECG, preprocedural, and procedural parameters was analyzed using binary logistic regression with forward stepwise multivariate selection. Odds ratios (ORs) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated. Parameters with a *p*-value ≤ 0.2 in univariate analyses were tested in multivariate analyses with preprocedural and procedural parameters being analyzed separately. A p-value of less than 0.05 in multivariate analysis indicated statistical significance. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was employed to identify the preprocedural and procedural parameters that best predict a CD, and to determine the optimal cutoff value for that/those parameter(s). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA).

Results

During December 2009 to March 2021, 132 patients underwent TAVR. After exclusion of patients with implantation of cardiac device at baseline (n=6, 4.5% of all patients), or intraoperative death (n=2, 1.5% of all patients), the remaining 124 patients were enrolled. Of those, 35 (28.2%) patients developed CDs, including 19 (54.3%) new-onset LBBB, 13 (37.1%) new-onset significant CD, and 3 (8.6%) new-onset high-grade AV block. The overall rate of permanent pacemaker implantation was 9.7% (12 of 124 cases). Preprocedural and procedural clinical and ECG characteristics are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

Preprocedural predictors of CDs

Clinical and ECG characteristics are presented in Table 2. The average age of patients was 84 years, and there were 77 (62%) women). The mean Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Predicted Risk of Operative Mortality score was 7.3%. The baseline clinical characteristics of patients with and without CDs were similar for age, gender, STS score, presenting symptoms, and comorbidities (Table 2). There were no significant differences in baseline ECG characteristics between groups, except for prior significant intraventricular conduction delay (IVCD), which was significantly more frequent in patients with CDs (31% vs. 11%, p = 0.007).

Regarding preprocedural predictors (Table 3), patients who developed CDs were more likely to have low left ventricular (LV) mass index by echocardiography (142.1±25.5 vs. 165.4±47.7 g/m², p < 0.001), more likely to have moderate mitral annular calcification (MAC) (28.6% vs. 9.0%, p = 0.005), and shorter MS length by MSCT (5.6±2.1 vs. 8.4±2.5 mm, p < 0.001).

Concerning the annular parameters, there was no statistically significant difference in the degree of oversizing between groups. There was also no statistically significant difference in the severity of valvular calcification, presence of left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) calcification, or eccentricity index between groups.

Preprocedural characteristics, including echocardiography and MSCT, significantly associated with the occurrence of CDs (Table 3). Patients with CDs had significantly lower LV mass index (142.1 ± 25.51 vs. 165.36 ± 47.7 g/m², p < 0.001) and shorter MS length (5.6 ± 2.1 vs. 8.4 ± 2.5 mm, p < 0.001).

Procedural predictors of CDs, and postprocedural ECG

The procedural characteristics of patients with and without CDs are displayed in Table 4. Patients with CDs significantly more frequently received the self-expandable THV Portico TAVR system (St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN, USA) (29% vs. 9%, p=0.005), were more likely to have a small LV cavity during the TAVR procedure (31% vs. 9%, p=0.002), and more likely to have a deeper implantation depth (6.2 ± 2.4 vs. 4.4 ± 1.3 mm, p < 0.001).

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis to identify the most predictive parameter

We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for determined the best cut-off value for the statistical significance of variables, and validity was assessed by the area under the curve (AUC). The optimal MS length cutoff value for predicting CDs was \leq 6.43 mm (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve [AUC]: 0.822) and the optimal Δ MSID cutoff value for predicting CDs was <0 mm (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve [AUC]: 0.896).

The optimal Δ MSID cut-off was determined to be < 0 mm, or when the ID was more than the MS length. Concerning diagnostic performance, this cutoff for predicting CDs showed a sensitivity of 62.96% (95% CI 42.37–80.60), a specificity of 92.31% (95% CI 82.95– 97.46), a positive predictive value of 77.27% (95% CI 58.26–89.23), and a negative predictive value of 85.71% (95% CI 78.50–90.79).

Analysis for factors that independently predict CDs

Preprocedural and procedural variables were separately analyzed using univariate logistic regression to identify potential predictors of CDs (Table 5). Variables with a *p*-value \leq 0.2 were then entered into multivariate logistic regression analysis. The preprocedural variables found to be associated with CDs included preexisting IVCD, presence of MAC \geq 2+, and MS length \leq 6.43 mm. The procedural factors found to be correlated with CDs were self-expanding THV, Δ MSID < 0 mm, and small LV cavity during the procedure. Transapical access showed low association with CDs. Other variables that was previously

Parameters	All patients N = 124	With CD N=35	No CD N = 89	<i>p</i> value
 Clinical characteristics				
Age (years)	84.3 ± 6.3	84.5 ± 6.3	84.2±6.4	0.806
Female	77 (62)	22 (63)	55 (62)	0.913
STS PROM (%)	7.3 ± 5.1	7.4 ± 4.5	7.3 ± 5.3	0.877
NYHA III or IV	69 (56)	19 (54)	50 (56)	0.848
Diabetes mellitus	46 (37)	14 (40)	32 (36)	0.675
CAD	84 (68)	24 (67)	60 (67)	0.901
Prior MI	30 (24)	9 (26)	21 (24)	0.804
Prior PCI	51 (41)	10 (29)	41 (46)	0.075
CABG	17 (13.7)	6 (17)	11 (12)	0.486
Cerebrovascular disease	11 (9)	5 (14)	6 (7)	0.184
Peripheral artery disease	21 (17)	4 (11)	17 (19)	0.305
COPD	8 (7)	2 (6)	6 (7)	0.834
Hypertension	116 (94)	33 (94)	83 (93)	0.834
Paroxysmal or persistent AF	32 (26)	10 (29)	22 (25)	0.659
eGFR (ml/min)	45.1 ± 22.3	45.4 ± 23.1	45.0 ± 22.1	0.916
History of syncope	25(20)	8(23)	17(19)	0.639
Beta-blocker therapy	54(44)	12(34)	42(47)	0.192
ECG characteristics				
Baseline AF	20(16)	5(14)	15(17)	0.726
Baseline heart rate (beats/min)	73.3 ± 13.2	71.9 ± 14.1	73.8 ± 12.9	0.481
Baseline PR interval (ms)	187.9 ± 36.8	181.9 ± 38.1	190.2 ± 36.3	0.303
Baseline QRS duration (ms)	104.8 ± 23.2	104.2 ± 19.5	105.1 ± 24.6	0.863
First-degree AVB	32(26)	7(20)	25(28)	0.354
Left bundle branch block	4(3)	0(0)	4(5)	0.202
Right bundle branch block	21(17)	6(17)	15(17)	0.969
Left anterior fascicular block	5(4)	1(3)	4(5)	0.677
Left posterior fascicular block	3(2)	1(3)	2(2)	0.842
Significant IVCD	21(17)	11(31)	10(11)	0.007

Table 2 Baseline demographic, clinical, and electrocardiographic characteristics

Data presented as frequency and percentage or mean $\pm\, {\rm standard}\, {\rm deviation}$

A p value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

AF, atrial fibrillation; AVB, atrioventricular block; CABG, coronary artery bypass surgery; CAD, coronary artery disease; CD, conduction disturbances; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IVCD, intraventricular conduction delay; MI, myocardial infarction; MS, membranous septum; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STS-PROM, Society of Thoracic Surgeons predicted risk of mortality

report [8] in predicting CDs such as pre-existing LBBB, pre-existing RBBB, balloon predilation, balloon postdilation, prosthesis oversizing, and Edwards SAPIEN-3 valve were also included into analysis. In combined multivariate logistic regression analysis, MS length \leq 6.43 mm (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 9.54; 95% CI 2.56–35.47; p = 0.001) and Δ MSID < 0 mm (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 10.77; 95% CI 2.86–40.62; p = <0.001) to be independent predictors of CD.

Timing of and indications for pacemaker implantation

Among the 35 patients with CDs, PPI was performed in 12 patients (34.3% of patients with CDs). Indications for PPI included 7 (58%) new-onset LBBB, and 5 (42%) HAVB or CHB. New-onset LBBB patients who received PPI had a median QRS duration of 156 ms (interquartile range [IQR] 149.25–160.75). Patients who did not undergo PPI had a median QRS duration of 152.5 ms (IQR 134.5–157.5). Patients underwent PPI at a median 6 days (IQR 2.25–13.5). Only two CD patients were diagnosed as late high-degree CD. Those patients presented with AF and slow AV conduction at the 2- and 3-month follow-ups, respectively. No patient without new-onset CD developed any late high-degree CDs during the follow-up period.

Parameters	All patients N = 124	With CD N = 35	No CD N = 89	<i>p</i> value
Pre-procedural echocardiography				
Aortic valve area (cm ²)	0.75 ± 0.22	0.76 ± 0.19	0.74 ± 0.23	0.782
Mean AV pressure gradient (mmHg)	50.7 ± 16.1	47.6±11.14	51.8 ± 18.2	0.201
LVEDV (ml)	70.1 ± 28.5	63.46±21.03	72.67 ± 30.69	0.106
LVEF (%)	63.8 ± 14.7	66.9 ± 10.42	62.66 ± 15.95	0.143
LV mass index (g/m²)	158.6 ± 43.7	142.1 ± 25.51	165.36 ± 47.7	0.008
LVOT area (mm ³)	400.9 ± 61.6	408.4 ± 59.9	397.7 ± 62.4	0.417
LVOT perimetry (mm)	75.0 ± 8.6	75.1 ± 8.8	75 ± 8.7	0.987
Pre-procedural MSCT				
Maximal annular diameter (mm)	25.3 ± 2.4	25.75 ± 2.7	25.03 ± 2.29	0.169
Minimal annular diameter (mm)	20.3 ± 1.9	20.48 ± 1.9	20.15 ± 1.92	0.431
Eccentricity index	0.196 ± 0.07	0.20 ± 0.07	0.19 ± 0.07	0.571
Annular area (mm³)	400.7 ± 61.1	406.4 ± 60.15	398.3 ± 61.7	0.527
Oversizing by annulus (%)	4.02(-0.42-11.13)	3.90(-1.67–9.80)	4.31(0.58-11.5)	0.190
Annular perimetry (mm)	72.9 ± 5.5	73.6 ± 5.6	72.7 ± 5.5	0.487
Oversizing by Perimetry (%)	-0.15(-2.98-2.79)	-0.75(-3.27-2.92)	0.03(-2.75-2.79)	0.338
Severe AV calcification	35(34.3)	8(25.8)	27(38.0)	0.232
Presence of LVOT calcification	15(14.6)	5(15.6)	10(14.1)	0.837
Moderate MAC	18(14.5)	10(28.6)	8(9)	0.005
MS length (mm)	7.5 ± 2.7	5.6 ± 2.1	8.4 ± 2.5	< 0.001

Table 3 Analysis for significant preprocedural predictors of new-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR

Data presented as frequency and percentage, mean \pm standard deviation or median (interquartile range)

A p value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

AV, Aortic valve; CD, conduction disturbances; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract; MAC, Mitral annular calcification; MS, Membranous septum

Follow-up of patients with CDs

Our analysis of follow-up data from patients with CDs is shown in Fig. 2. More than half of patients with CDs had resolution of conduction disturbances by the 3-month follow-up. Most CD cases resolved by 1 month after TAVR. Eighty-five percent of patients with PPI were pacemaker independent. Only 2 (16%) patients with PPI were pacemaker dependent at the 3-month followup. One of those two patients had complete heart block immediately after THV deployment, which persisted until hospital discharge and throughout follow-up. The other had atrial fibrillation (AF) with new LBBB (QRS duration: 160 ms). The electrophysiologist initially felt that PPI was not indicated; however, that decision was reversed due to the presence of late high-degree conduction disturbances presenting as AF with bradycardia.

Discussion

The present study investigated preprocedural and procedural variables to predict a new-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR (CD/CDs). The main findings of this study are, as follows: (1) The prevalence of CD development was 28.2%, and PPI was required in 9.7%.; (2) The preprocedural characteristics prior IVCD, MAC, lower LV mass index, and MS length \leq 6.43 mm were more likely to be present in patients with a CD; (3) Procedural characteristics of the use of a self-expandable TAVR, smaller LV cavity during the procedure, and ID > 6 mm were also more commonly present in patients with a CD; (4) Preprocedural MS length and postprocedural difference between MS length and ID (Δ MSID) was found to be the strongest predictors of a CD; (5) An Δ MSID cutoff value of < 0 mm was found to most strongly predict a CD. Importantly and different from any previous study, we expanded the criteria for defining a CD to cover all spectrum of TAVR-related conduction injury to lower the threshold of this only modifiable risk factor.

This study found a CD rate of 28.2%, which corresponds with the previously reported incidence of new LBBB after TAVR (range 20% to 34%) [8, 19]. One-third of our patients with CD were treated by PPI. We broadened our study CD endpoint to include new-onset complete LBBB and/or RBBB, significant IVCD (QRS duration \geq 120 ms), and new-onset HAVB or CHB for cover the entire spectrum of TAVR-related conduction injury.

Our study categorized variables into preprocedural and procedural predictors, and we included many parameters that were reported to be associated

Parameters	All patients	With CD	No CD N 89	<i>p</i> value
	11-124	N=35	N=05	
Procedural characteristics				
Procedural time (min)	95.2 ± 43.4	89.7 ± 33.6	97.5 ± 46.9	0.392
Fluoroscopy time (min)	21.1 ± 8.9	23.4 ± 9.5	20.2 ± 8.7	0.106
Contrast (ml)	103.6 ± 52.9	108.3 ± 53.1	101.6 ± 53.2	0.560
Transapical access	32(26)	5(14)	27(30)	0.066
Self-expanding THV	18(15)	10(29)	8(9)	0.005
Edward SAPIEN 3	80(65)	23(66)	57(64)	0.861
Balloon Predilation	72(58)	19(54)	53(59)	0.593
Balloon Postdilation	47(38)	17(49)	30(34)	0.125
Final PVL \geq 1 +	51(41)	13(37)	38(43)	0.572
Small LV cavity	19(15)	11(31)	8(9)	0.002
ID (mm)	4.9 ± 1.9	6.2 ± 2.4	4.4 ± 1.3	< 0.001
ID by frame height below aortic annulus (%)	24.2 ± 6.5	28.9 ± 7.3	22.9 ± 5.7	< 0.001
ΔMSID (mm)	2.6 ± 3.6	-4.02 ± 2.73	0.754 ± 3.1	< 0.001
ID > MS	22(24)	17(63)	5(8)	< 0.001
Postprocedural ECG				
Significant PR change	11(9.7)	7(22.2)	4(5.3)	0.011
Significant QRS change	34(27.4)	31(85.7)	3(3.4)	< 0.001
Significant ECG change	41(33.1)	34(97.1)	7(7.9)	< 0.001
Postprocedural outcome				
Length of stay (days)	5(3–9)	5(4–9)	5(3–9.5)	0.910
All cause periprocedural mortality	6(4.8)	2(5.7)	4(4.5)	1.000
All cause early mortality	4(3.2)	0(0)	4(4.5)	0.576

Table 4 Analysis for significant procedural predictors of new-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR

Data presented as frequency and percentage or mean \pm standard deviation

A p-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

ΔMSID, difference between MS length and ID; CD, conduction disturbances; PVL, paravalvular leakage; ID, implantation depth; LV, left ventricle; THV, transcatheter heart valve; MS, membranous septum

Table 5 Univariate and multivariate analysis to identify preprocedural and procedural predictors of new-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR

Predictors	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Preprocedural aspects				
Pre-existing IVCD	3.62 (1.37–9.56)	0.009		
Presence of MAC \geq 2 +	4.05 (1.44–11.37)	0.008		
MS length ≤ 6.43 mm	13.55 (4.46–41.17)	< 0.001	9.54 (2.56–35.47)	0.001
Procedural aspects				
Self-expanding THV	4.05 (1.44–11.37)	0.008		
ΔMSID<0 mm	20.40 (6.14–67.8)	< 0.001	10.77 (2.86–40.62)	< 0.001
Deep implantation depth \geq 6 mm	17.79 (6.02–52.57)	< 0.001		
Small LV cavity	4.64 (1.68–12.85)	0.003		

A p value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

Adjusted odds ratio for pre-existing IVCD, presence of MAC \geq 2+, MS length \leq 6.43 mm, self-expanding THV, Δ MSID < 0, deep implantation depth \geq 6 mm, small LV cavity, pre-existing LBBB, pre-existing RBBB, balloon predilation, balloon postdilation, prosthesis oversizing, and Edwards SAPIEN-3

CD, conduction disturbances; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; IVCD, intraventricular conduction delay; MAC, Mitral annular calcification; THV, transcatheter heart valve; MS, membranous septum; Δ MSID, difference between MS length and ID; LV, left ventricle



of conduction disturbances post-TAVR. This study electrocardiographic, included clinical, echocardiographic, MSCT, and procedural data for analysis. Transapical access was reported to be less likely associated with new-onset CD post-TAVR due to the use of a balloon expanding device [20]. Parameters, including intraventricular conduction delay, mitral annular calcification, MS length \leq 6.43 mm, lower LV mass index, small LV cavity, use of self-expanding device, $ID \ge 6$ mm, were analyzed for their ability to significantly/independently predict CD. We also included other variables that was previously report [8] in predicting CDs in the analysis. The results of our multivariate analysis revealed MS length \leq 6.43 and Δ MSID < 0 as independent predictors of CDs. The lower border part of the MS is the typical location of the atrioventricular bundle [18]. Shorter MS also correlates with high position or less ventricular position of the anatomical atrioventricular bundle. MS length is easily measured with good reproducibility in the coronal plane [21]. Mauri, et al. first described the usefulness of angiographic implantation depth, device landing zone calcium volume, and baseline RBBB as independent predictors of the need for PPI post-TAVR [22]. Maeno, et al. identified non-coronary cusp device landing zone calcium volume, RBBB, and Δ MSID as synergistic PPI predictors [23]. The usefulness of calcium in device

landing zone and implantation depth in predicted PPI in balloon expanding device have been demonstrated [24]. Tretter, et al. analyzed gross anatomical variation in the aortic root, such as the distance from the virtual basal ring to the inferior margin of the MS, relative to correlation with PPI or LBBB. They found TAVR valve type and ID to be the primary procedural factors associated with conduction damage regardless of gross anatomical variation [25]. Concerning TAVR valve type, the Sapiens 3 and Accurate Neo valves were reported to be associated with the lowest PPI rate, followed by the Evolut and Portico valves [26]. Jilaihawi, et al. proposed an anatomically-guided minimized depth during the MS approach for implantation of a self-expanding TAVR [15]. Chen, et al. reported an Δ MSID of 3.2 mm to be the optimal cutoff point for predicting a CD when using a self -expanding device [21]. For balloon expanding TAVR, mean Δ MSIDs of -2.5 ± 2.4 mm [23], 0.5 ± 4 mm [24], and -1.7 ± 1.5 mm [27] were reported in patients with PPMI or LBBB. Miki, et al. reported that an Δ MSID cut-off value of -0.7 mm had an AuROC of 0.85, sensitivity of 0.78, and specificity of 0.82 (p < 0.001). That group defined a CD as an atrioventricular block that required PPI or new-onset complete LBBB. Because the Δ MSID was found to be the only modifiable predictor, we expanded our definition of CD to include PPI, new onset-LBBB, onset-RBBB,

significant IVCD (QRS duration \geq 120 ms), and newonset HAVB or CHB for comprehensively cover the spectrum of TAVR-related conduction injury. In our study, an Δ MSID cut-off value of <0 mm was found to be the optimal cutoff point for predicting a CD (AuROC: 0.896).

Factors, such as baseline RBBB, amount of calcium at the device landing zone, and MS length, are not modifiable. We, therefore, lowered the threshold of the only modifiable predictor (Δ MSID) to cover the entire spectrum of TAVR-related conduction injury, which has the effect of lowering the risk of PPI. Using an Δ MSID cut-off value of < 0 mm (i.e., attempt to deploy the THV at an ID less than the MS length) is the best way to minimize the of CDs.

Many techniques have introduced to minimize implantation depth. Cusp-Overlap view strategy recommended for self-expandable valve implantation [28, 29]. For balloon-expandable SAPIEN-3 (S3) valve, the systematic approach to high deployment technique of SAPIEN-3 valve reduced 30-day permanent pacemaker rates from 13.1% to 5.5% [30]. However, this systemic approach to high implantation may constraint future coronary access in certain anatomical subtypes such as low coronary height, narrow coronary sinus, low and narrow sinotubular junction. The risk of coronary obstruction, future coronary access and new-onset CDs post-TAVR can be balanced by knowing anatomy and its predictors.

This study confirmed the usefulness of immediate postprocedural 12-lead ECG as a screening tool for significant ECG change, including new-onset LBBB and/or increase in PR or QRS duration \geq 20 ms and/or development of periprocedural transient or persistent heart block, as an indicator of the occurrence of new-onset of conduction disturbances [9, 31]. We also reported a late conduction disturbance rate at 1.6%, which is similar previous reports (range 2% to 7%) [6, 32]. More specifically, we had only 1 patient with no significant peri- or immediate postprocedural ECG change that developed late high-degree conduction disturbances with AF with bradycardia during follow-up.

The rate of pacemaker dependence reported in previous studies ranged from one- to two-thirds of patients during follow-up [33–35]. New-onset LBBB post-TAVR resolved in 45% (range 35–56%) in 30 days [6]. Our study found a lower rate of pacemaker dependency (16%) with a similar rate of conduction disturbance resolution (55%). This difference between studies may be explained by a higher unnecessary PPI rate. The most common indication for PPI according to the judgment of our electrophysiologist was new-onset LBBB. Further study is needed to identify the appropriate indication(s) for PPI in this clinical setting.

Limitations

This study has some mentionable limitations. First, since this study was conducted in a single-center tertiary care setting, center-specific biases cannot be excluded. Second, our study's retrospective design renders it vulnerable to missing or incomplete data. Third, because patients were enrolled across a + 10-year study period, chronological bias due to improvements in skill and technique can also not be ruled out. However, this study includes homogenous population, structural operator, adherence to a constant clinical evaluation and follow-up, and consistent quality of cardiac imaging. Fourth, although the final decision regarding PPI was made by a clinical cardiac electrophysiologist, variation in treatment, especially the indication for and timing of PPI in new-onset LBBB, is a concern due to the lack of a consensus guideline. Fifth, the number of cases with new-onset LBBB was too small to investigate for the optimal QRS duration cut-off value for use as an indication for pacemaker implantation. Sixth, our study should be interpreted with caution due to relatively small sample size. Seventh, even though the reproducibility of measurements of the MS were good, the MS length may not be measurable at the minimum resolution of MSCT, especially in patients with a shorter MS length. Lastly, Due to the lack of calcium volume analysis in standard MSCT protocol imaging in the aorticvalvular complex, qualitative assessment of calcium volume could not be performed.

Conclusions

The results of this study revealed preprocedural MSCTderived MS length and procedural ID to be independent predictors of new-onset conduction disturbance post-TAVR. An Δ MSID cut-off value of < 0 mm was found to optimally predict a CD. Importantly and in contrast to previous studies that investigated and reported a limited criteria for defining a CD, we investigated the full spectrum of possible causes of CD, including PPI, new onset-LBBB, onset-RBBB, significant IVCD (QRS duration \geq 120 ms), and new-onset HAVB or CHB, because the Δ MSID was found to be the only modifiable predictor. This insight encourages routine deployment of the THV at an ID less than the MS length.

Abbreviations

CD: Conduction disturbances; CHB: Complete heart block; HAVB: Highgrade AV block; ID: Implantation depth; IVCD: Intraventricular conduction disturbance; LBBB: Left bundle branch block; MS: Membranous septum (MS) length; Δ MSID: Difference between MS and ID; MSCT: Multi-slice computed tomography; PPI: Permanent pacemaker implantation; RBBB: Right bundle branch block; TAVR: Transcatheter aortic valve replacement.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Mr. Suthipol Udompunthurak for assistance with statistical analysis.

Author contributions

NW and WB contributed to conception and design of the study. WB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. NW revised the manuscript. NW completed the writing review and editing. WB, RP and NW collected the data. WB, AM, VC, DJ, PS, NC, WS, CC, RP and NW participated in the study. RP, WB and NW performed statistical data analysis and interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This was an unfunded study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed in this study have been deidentified and available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Identifying/ confidential patient data should not be shared.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University approved this study (COA no. Si 438/2018). The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all included patients gave informed written consent to participate.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, 2 Wanglang Road, Bangkoknoi, Bangkok 10700, Thailand. ²Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand. ³Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Her Majesty's Cardiac Center, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Received: 22 September 2021 Accepted: 21 March 2022 Published online: 31 March 2022

References

- Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack M, Miller DC, Moses JW, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Webb JG, Fontana GP, Makkar RR, Brown DL, Block PC, Guyton RA, Pichard AD, Bavaria JE, Herrmann HC, Douglas PS, Petersen JL, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Wang D, Pocock S. Transcatheter aortic-valve implantation for aortic stenosis in patients who cannot undergo surgery. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:1597–607.
- Smith CR, Leon MB, Mack MJ, Miller DC, Moses JW, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Webb JG, Fontana GP, Makkar RR, Williams M, Dewey T, Kapadia S, Babaliaros V, Thourani VH, Corso P, Pichard AD, Bavaria JE, Herrmann HC, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Wang D, Pocock SJ. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic-valve replacement in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. 2011;364:2187–98.
- Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, Makkar RR, Svensson LG, Kodali SK, Thourani VH, Tuzcu EM, Miller DC, Herrmann HC, Doshi D, Cohen DJ, Pichard AD, Kapadia S, Dewey T, Babaliaros V, Szeto WY, Williams MR, Kereiakes D, Zajarias A, Greason KL, Whisenant BK, Hodson RW, Moses JW, Trento A, Brown DL, Fearon WF, Pibarot P, Hahn RT, Jaber WA, Anderson WN, Alu MC, Webb JG. Transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:1609–20.
- Sakiyalak P, Slisatkorn W, Pornratanarangsi S, Wongpraparut N, Jakrapanichakul D, Raksamani K, Prakanrattana U, Laksanabunsong P. Transcatheter

aortic valve implantation (TAVI): first case in Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai. 2012;95:124–8.

- Kungvivatana K, Wongpraparut N, Jakrapanichakul D, Chunhamaneewat N, Maneesai A, Chaitriraphan V, Sakiyalak P, Slisatkorn W, Sanphasitvong V, Vacharaksa K, Raksamani K, Phichaphop A, Towashiraporn K, Chanwanitkulchai R, Rasmeehiran P, Jamnongprasatporn S, Panchavinnin P, Chotinaiwattarakul C, Pongakasira R. Incidence and clinical outcomes of thrombocytopenia after transcatheter aortic valve replacement in thai patients. J Med Assoc Thai. 2021;104:1192–8.
- Auffret V, Puri R, Urena M, Chamandi C, Rodriguez-Gabella T, Philippon F, Rodés-Cabau J. Conduction disturbances after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: current status and future perspectives. Circulation. 2017;136:1049–69.
- Siontis GC, Jüni P, Pilgrim T, Stortecky S, Büllesfeld L, Meier B, Wenaweser P, Windecker S. Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing TAVR: a meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:129–40.
- Sammour Y, Krishnaswamy A, Kumar A, Puri R, Tarakji KG, Bazarbashi N, Harb S, Griffin B, Svensson L, Wazni O, Kapadia SR. Incidence, predictors, and implications of permanent pacemaker requirement after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14:115–34.
- Lilly SM, Deshmukh AJ, Epstein AE, Ricciardi MJ, Shreenivas S, Velagapudi P, Wyman JF. 2020 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on management of conduction disturbances in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76:2391–411.
- Kusumoto FM, Schoenfeld MH, Barrett C, Edgerton JR, Ellenbogen KA, Gold MR, Goldschlager NF, Hamilton RM, Joglar JA, Kim RJ, Lee R, Marine JE, McLeod CJ, Oken KR, Patton KK, Pellegrini CN, Selzman KA, Thompson A, Varosy PD. 2018 ACC/AHA/HRS guideline on the evaluation and management of patients with bradycardia and cardiac conduction delay: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74:e51–156.
- Achenbach S, Delgado V, Hausleiter J, Schoenhagen P, Min JK, Leipsic JA. SCCT expert consensus document on computed tomography imaging before transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2012;6:366–80.
- Blanke P, Willson AB, Webb JG, Achenbach S, Piazza N, Min JK, Pache G, Leipsic J. Oversizing in transcatheter aortic valve replacement, a commonly used term but a poorly understood one: dependency on definition and geometrical measurements. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2014;8:67–76.
- Okuno T, Asami M, Heg D, Lanz J, Praz F, Hagemeyer D, Brugger N, Gräni C, Huber A, Spirito A, Räber L, Stortecky S, Windecker S, Pilgrim T. Impact of left ventricular outflow tract calcification on procedural outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2020;13(15):1789–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2020.04.015.
- 14. Higgins J, Mayo J, Skarsgard P. Cardiac computed tomography facilitates operative planning in patients with mitral calcification. Ann Thorac Surg. 2013;95:e9-11.
- Jilaihawi H, Zhao Z, Du R, Staniloae C, Saric M, Neuburger PJ, Querijero M, Vainrib A, Hisamoto K, Ibrahim H, Collins T, Clark E, Pushkar I, Bamira D, Benenstein R, Tariq A, Williams M. Minimizing permanent pacemaker following repositionable self-expanding transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:1796–807.
- Husser O, Pellegrini C, Kessler T, Burgdorf C, Thaller H, Mayr NP, Kasel AM, Kastrati A, Schunkert H, Hengstenberg C. Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantations and new-onset conduction abnormalities with the SAPIEN 3 Balloon-expandable transcatheter heart valve. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2016;9:244–54.
- 17. Kappetein AP, Head SJ, Généreux P, Piazza N, van Mieghem NM, Blackstone EH, Brott TG, Cohen DJ, Cutlip DE, van Es GA, Hahn RT, Kirtane AJ, Krucoff MW, Kodali S, Mack MJ, Mehran R, Rodés-Cabau J, Vranckx P, Webb JG, Windecker S, Serruys PW, Leon MB. Updated standardized endpoint definitions for transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the Valve Academic Research Consortium-2 consensus document (VARC-2). Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2012;42:S45-60.
- 18. Kawashima T, Sato F. Visualizing anatomical evidences on atrioventricular conduction system for TAVI. Int J Cardiol. 2014;174:1–6.

- Chamandi C, Barbanti M, Munoz-Garcia A, Latib A, Nombela-Franco L, Gutiérrez-Ibanez E, Veiga-Fernandez G, Cheema AN, Cruz-Gonzalez I, Serra V, Tamburino C, Mangieri A, Colombo A, Jiménez-Quevedo P, Elizaga J, Lee DH, Garcia Del Blanco B, Puri R, Côté M, Philippon F, Rodés-Cabau J. Long- term outcomes in patients with New-Onset persistent left bundle branch block following TAVR. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12:1175–84.
- 20. Wongpraparut N, Sakiyalak P, Jakrapanichakul D, Maneesai A, Chunhamaneewat N, Slisatkorn W, Chaitriraphan V, Chanwanitkulchai R, Rasmeehiran P, Jamnongprasatporn S, Phichaphop A, Towashiraporn K, Panchavinnin P, Chotinaiwattarakul C, Sawasdiwipachai P, Kungvivatana K, Pongakasira R. Ten years' experience of transcatheter aortic valve replacement at the faculty of medicine Siriraj Hospital: transapical vs. transfemoral approach. J Med Assoc Thai. 2021;104:846–52.
- Chen YH, Chang HH, Liao TW, Leu HB, Chen IM, Chen PL, Lin SM. Membranous septum length predicts conduction disturbances following transcatheter aortic valve replacement. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2020.07.072.
- Mauri V, Reimann A, Stern D, Scherner M, Kuhn E, Rudolph V, Rosenkranz S, Eghbalzadeh K, Friedrichs K, Wahlers T, Baldus S, Madershahian N, Rudolph TK. Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement with the SAPIEN 3. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2016;9:2200–9.
- Maeno Y, Abramowitz Y, Kawamori H, Kazuno Y, Kubo S, Takahashi N, Mangat G, Okuyama K, Kashif M, Chakravarty T, Nakamura M, Cheng W, Friedman J, Berman D, Makkar RR, Jilaihawi H. A highly predictive risk model for pacemaker implantation after TAVR. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;10:1139–47.
- Oestreich BA, Mbai M, Gurevich S, Nijjar PS, Adabag S, Bertog S, Kelly R, Garcia S. Computed tomography (CT) assessment of the membranous septal anatomy prior to transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) with the balloon-expandable SAPIEN 3 valve. Cardiovasc Revascularization Med. 2018;19:626–31.
- Tretter JT, Mori S, Anderson RH, Taylor MD, Ollberding N, Truong V, Choo J, Kereiakes D, Mazur W. Anatomical predictors of conduction damage after transcatheter implantation of the aortic valve. Open Heart. 2019;6:e000972.
- Alperi A, Muntané-Carol G, Freitas-Ferraz AB, Junquera L, Del Val D, Faroux L, Philippon F, Rodés-Cabau J. Overcoming the transcatheter aortic valve replacement Achilles heel: conduction abnormalities-a systematic review. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. 2020;9:429–41.
- Miki T, Senoo K, Ohkura T, Kadoya Y, Ito N, Kuwabara K, Nakanishi N, Zen K, Nakamura T, Yamano T, Shiraishi H, Shirayama T, Matoba S. Importance of preoperative computed tomography assessment of the membranous septal anatomy in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement with a balloon-expandable valve. Circ J. 2020;84:269–76.
- Tang GHL, Zaid S, Michev I, Ahmad H, Kaple R, Undemir C, Cohen M, Lansman SL. "Cusp-overlap" view simplifies fluoroscopy-guided implantation of self-expanding valve in transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Interv. 2018;11:1663–5.
- Mendiz OA, Noč M, Fava CM, Gutiérrez Jaikel LA, Sztejfman M, Pleskovič A, Gamboa P, Valdivieso LR, Gada H, Tang GHL. Impact of cusp-overlap view for TAVR with self-expandable valves on 30-day conduction disturbances. J Interv Cardiol. 2021;2021:9991528.
- Sammour Y, Banerjee K, Kumar A, Lak H, Chawla S, Incognito C, Patel J, Kaur M, Abdelfattah O, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Reed GW, Puri R, Yun J, Krishnaswamy A, Kapadia S. Systematic approach to high implantation of SAPIEN-3 valve achieves a lower rate of conduction abnormalities including pacemaker implantation. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14:57–69.
- Jørgensen TH, De Backer O, Gerds TA, Bieliauskas G, Svendsen JH, Søndergaard L. Immediate post-procedural 12-lead electrocardiography as predictor of late conduction defects after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2018;11:1509–18.
- 32. Toggweiler S, Stortecky S, Holy E, Zuk K, Cuculi F, Nietlispach F, Sabti Z, Suciu R, Maier W, Jamshidi P, Maisano F, Windecker S, Kobza R, Wenaweser P, Lüscher TF, Binder RK. The electrocardiogram after transcatheter aortic valve replacement determines the risk for post-procedural high-degree AV block and the need for telemetry monitoring. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2016;9:1269–76.
- Naveh S, Perlman GY, Elitsur Y, Planer D, Gilon D, Leibowitz D, Lotan C, Danenberg H, Alcalai R. Electrocardiographic predictors of long-term

cardiac pacing dependency following transcatheter aortic valve implantation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2017;28:216–23.

- Pereira E, Ferreira N, Caeiro D, Primo J, Adão L, Oliveira M, Gonçalves H, Ribeiro J, Santos E, Leite D, Bettencourt N, Braga P, Simões L, Vouga L, Gama V. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation and requirements of pacing over time. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2013;36(5):559–69.
- Renilla A, Rubín JM, Rozado J, Moris C. Long-term evolution of pacemaker dependency after percutaneous aortic valve implantation with the corevalve prosthesis. Int J Cardiol. 2015;201:61–3.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

