

RESEARCH

Open Access



Apolipoprotein E E3/E4 genotype is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with coronary artery disease

Wenhao Chen^{1,2*}, Bin Li^{1,2}, Hao Wang^{1,2}, Guoliang Wei^{1,2}, Kehui Chen^{1,2}, Weihong Wang^{1,2}, Shen Wang^{1,2} and Yuanliang Liu^{2,3}

Abstract

Objective Dyslipidemia is a co-existing problem in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) and coronary artery disease (CAD), and apolipoprotein E (APOE) plays an important role in lipid metabolism. However, the relationship between the *APOE* gene polymorphisms and the risk of developing CAD in type 2 DM (T2DM) patients remains controversial. The aim of this study was to assess this relationship and provide a reference for further risk assessment of CAD in T2DM patients.

Methods The study included 378 patients with T2DM complicated with CAD (T2DM + CAD) and 431 patients with T2DM alone in the case group, and 351 individuals without DM and CAD were set as controls. The *APOE* rs429358 and rs7412 polymorphisms were genotyped by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - microarray. Differences in *APOE* genotypes and alleles between patients and controls were compared. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed after adjusting for age, gender, body mass index (BMI), history of smoking, and history of drinking to assess the relationship between *APOE* genotypes and T2DM + CAD risk.

Results The frequencies of the *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele were higher in the T2DM + CAD patients, and the frequencies of the *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ genotype and $\epsilon 3$ allele were lower than those in the controls (all $p < 0.05$). The T2DM + CAD patients with $\epsilon 4$ allele had higher level in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) than those in patients with $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 3$ allele ($p < 0.05$). The results of logistic regression analysis showed that age ≥ 60 years old, and BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² were independent risk factors for T2DM and T2DM + CAD, and *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype (adjusted odds ratio (OR) = 1.93, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.18–3.14, $p = 0.008$) and $\epsilon 4$ allele (adjusted OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.23–3.17) were independent risk factors for T2DM + CAD. However, the *APOE* genotypes and alleles were not found to have relationship with the risk of T2DM.

Conclusions *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele were independent risk factors for T2DM complicated with CAD, but not for T2DM.

*Correspondence:
Wenhao Chen
18319255493@163.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords Apolipoprotein E, Coronary artery disease, Diabetes mellitus, Polymorphism

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is one of the major causes of disease burden and even death [1]. Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a kind of thrombotic cardiovascular disease, which is caused by vascular obstruction and coronary artery function changes mediated by coronary atherosclerosis, and then myocardial ischemia and hypoxia [2, 3]. CAD is one of the diseases that seriously affect human health at present, and its morbidity and mortality are on the rise in recent years [4, 5]. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a disorder of glucose and lipid metabolism characterized by chronic hyperglycemia, which has become a serious global health problem [6]. About 90% of DM patients are type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), which is non-insulin-dependent DM, its prevalence is high, onset occult, early symptoms are not obvious [7, 8]. The social and economic burden associated with T2DM remains significant in China [9].

CAD and DM are two chronic diseases which are seriously harmful to human health. DM patients are more prone to lipid metabolism disorders than non-DM patients, and the synergistic effect of the glucose and lipid metabolism disorders can further increase the risk of CAD in DM patients [10, 11]. DM can have a negative impact on existing CAD [12]. DM and CAD are both metabolic chronic diseases, they have common risk factors, and mutual risk factors, such as obesity, inflammation, oxidative stress, insulin resistance, and factors associated with increased microvascular and macrovascular damage [13]. When the two diseases co-exist, it will further accelerate the damage of tissues and organs, resulting in increased mortality and disability [14].

Both CAD and DM are associated with dyslipidemia, which may be due to the role of insulin resistance in lipid metabolism [15]. Lipid metabolism disorders are closely related to DM and CAD, and apolipoprotein plays an important role in lipid metabolism. Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is a multifunctional glycoprotein. ApoE has the activity related to lipid metabolism and binding to lipoprotein. It mediates chylomicron endocytosis on the one hand and participates in catabolism of triacylglycerol on the other hand through corresponding receptors present on liver cells or peripheral cells [16]. ApoE is encoded by the *APOE* gene. The human *APOE* gene located on chromosome 19q13.2, is about 3.7 kb long and contains four exons and three introns [17]. Some sites of *APOE* gene are known to have single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and there are differences in nucleotides corresponding to amino acids at 112 (rs429358) and 158 (rs7412) positions in the encoding ApoE peptide chain, forming three alleles of $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ [18], and six common *APOE* genotypes

of $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$, $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$, and $\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$ [19]. The *APOE* gene is one of the most important genetic factors in determining blood lipid levels, and ApoE encoded by different *APOE* genotypes shows a preference for and ability to bind to specific lipids [20].

Studies have showed that *APOE* gene polymorphism was established to be related to CAD and T2DM, respectively [21, 22]. *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele is associated with the increased risk of CAD [23, 24]. Furthermore, *APOE* allele $\epsilon 4$ is associated with the increased risk for the development of T2DM [25, 26]. However, the relationship between the *APOE* gene polymorphisms and the risk of developing CAD in T2DM patients (T2DM complicated with CAD patients) (T2DM+CAD) remains controversial. In the current study, we intended to explore the association between *APOE* gene polymorphisms and the risk of CAD in T2DM patients.

Materials and methods

Study participants

This study was a case-control study of the association between *APOE* gene polymorphism and the risk of developing CAD in T2DM patients. A total number of 1160 subjects were recruited from November 2019 to August 2023, included 378 patients with T2DM+CAD and 431 patients with T2DM in the case group, and 351 healthy individuals without DM and CAD were set as controls.

The diagnostic criteria of T2DM were the following: (1) There were typical clinical symptoms of diabetes (polydipsia, polydipsia, polyuria, polydipsia, unexplained weight loss), and random intravenous plasma glucose is ≥ 11.1 mmol/L; or fasting blood glucose (FBG) is ≥ 7 mmol/L; or blood glucose level at 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test is ≥ 11.1 mmol/L [27]. The diagnostic criteria for CAD: Coronary angiography (CAG) showed that at least one of the main epicardial vessels (left main branch, anterior descending branch (diagonal branch), circumflex branch (blunt marginal branch), and right coronary artery) had a diameter stenosis $> 50\%$ and was clinically diagnosed as myocardial infarction [28, 29].

Data Collection

Basic information was collected from our hospital's medical record system, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), history of smoking, and history of drinking. BMI was divided into three subgroups based on the Chinese criteria [30, 31]: < 18.5 kg/m², 18.5–23.9 kg/m², and ≥ 24.0 kg/m². Early morning fasting blood collection, serum separation. Lipid levels in serum samples were assessed using an automated biochemical analysis system (Olympus AU5400 system, Tokyo,

Japan) with commercially available kits, include total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (Kefang Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Guangzhou, China) (ID: 20,172,400,246, 20,172,400,250, 20,172,400,249, and 20,172,400,252), Apolipoprotein A1 (Apo-A1), and Apolipoprotein B (ApoB) (Chuangyi Biochemical Engineering Co., Ltd, Zhongshan, China) (ID: 20,192,400,612, and 20,192,400,613).

DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood collected from EDTA anticoagulant collection vessels using a blood DNA isolation kit (Qiagen GmbH, Germany). The quality and concentration of the DNA were assessed using a Nano-Drop 2000™ spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Genotyping of the *APOE* gene were amplified by PCR - microarray method (Sinochips Bioscience Co., Ltd., Zhuhai, China) (ID: 20,173,400,132). The PCR procedure was: 2 min at 50 °C, 15 min at 95 °C for initial denaturation, and 45 thermal cycles (94 °C for 30 s and 65 °C for 45 s). The products amplified by PCR were added into the gene chip, the amplification products of the wild-type template were hybridized with the wild-type probes, and the amplification products of the mutant template were hybridized with the mutant probes, and the genotype of the sample was determined by the hybridization reaction signal.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis were performed using SPSS statistical software version 26.0 (IBM Inc., USA). Continuous variables were expressed as means ± standard deviations and were compared using either Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test. Genotype composition ratios and allele frequencies between groups were analyzed with the *Chi*-square test. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the patients and controls was evaluated by *Chi*-square test.

T2DM+CAD patients with the $\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$ genotype ($n=12$) were excluded from the analysis of the relationship between *APOE* alleles and clinical characteristics of patients because of the opposite effects of the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles in lipid metabolism [21, 24]. Logistic regression analysis was applied to examine the relationship between *APOE* gene polymorphisms and T2DM+CAD, and patients with T2DM, respectively. Univariate regression analysis was performed to obtain the unadjusted odds ratio (OR), and multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to obtain the adjusted OR. $p < 0.05$ was considered to represent statistical significance.

Results

Characteristics of subjects

The difference in age distribution among the three groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). There were 94 (26.8%) cases with BMI < 18.5 kg/m² and 60 (17.1%) cases with BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² in controls, while 15 (3.5%) cases BMI < 18.5 kg/m² and 199 (46.2%) cases with BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² in T2DM patients, 19 (5.0%) cases with BMI < 18.5 kg/m² and 160 (42.3%) cases with BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² in T2DM+CAD patients. The difference in BMI distribution among the three groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). The differences of TC ($p < 0.001$), TG ($p < 0.001$), HDL-C ($p = 0.001$), LDL-C ($p = 0.023$), Apo-A1 ($p = 0.046$) and Apo-B ($p < 0.001$) levels among the groups were statistically significant. There were not statistically significant differences in the percentage of subjects with a history of smoking ($p = 0.376$), and alcoholism ($p = 0.243$) (Table 1).

Distribution of the *APOE* genotypes and alleles between the patients and controls

The results of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test showed that the *APOE* genotypes in the T2DM patients ($\chi^2 = 5.957$, $p = 0.202$), T2DM+CAD patients ($\chi^2 = 1.825$, $p = 0.768$), and controls ($\chi^2 = 7.970$, $p = 0.093$) confirmed to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, respectively. Compared to the controls, the frequency of the *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype was higher in the T2DM+CAD patients (22.0% vs. 10.4%, $p < 0.001$), and the frequency of the *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ genotype was lower (60.1% vs. 72.6%, $p < 0.001$). The frequency of the $\epsilon 4$ allele was higher (13.9% vs. 7.1%, $p < 0.001$) and $\epsilon 3$ allele was lower (77.4% vs. 84.3%, $p < 0.001$) in the T2DM+CAD patients than that in the controls (Table 2).

Clinical characteristics and serum lipid-lipoprotein levels of T2DM+CAD patients stratified by *APOE* $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 4$ alleles

Clinical characteristics and serum lipid-lipoprotein levels were compared among T2DM+CAD patients carried different *APOE* alleles. T2DM+CAD patients with the E2/E4 genotype ($n=12$) were excluded from the analysis of the relationship between *APOE* alleles and clinical characteristics of patients because of the opposite effects of the $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles in lipid metabolism [21, 24]. The T2DM+CAD patients with $\epsilon 4$ allele had higher level in LDL-C (2.70 ± 0.76 mmol/L vs. 2.21 ± 0.84 mmol/L) while had higher level in ApoB (0.87 ± 0.23 g/L vs. 0.76 ± 0.26 g/L) than those with $\epsilon 2$ allele (all $p < 0.05$). The T2DM+CAD patients with $\epsilon 4$ allele had higher level in LDL-C (2.70 ± 0.76 mmol/L vs. 2.43 ± 0.91 mmol/L) than those with $\epsilon 3$ allele ($p < 0.05$). There were no statistically significant differences in the distributions of gender, BMI, history of smoking, history of alcoholism, and the level

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the subjects of this study

Variables	Total (n = 1160)	Controls (n = 351)	T2DM patients (n = 431)	T2DM complicated with CAD patients (n = 378)	p values
Age, years					
< 60, n(%)	497(42.8)	219(62.4)	177(41.1)	101(26.7)	< 0.001
≥ 60, n(%)	663(57.2)	132(37.6)	254(58.9)	277(73.3)	
Gender					
Male, n(%)	754(65.0)	226(64.4)	285(66.1)	243(64.3)	0.831
Female, n(%)	406(35.0)	125(35.6)	146(33.9)	135(35.7)	
BMI (kg/m ²)					
< 18.5	128(11.0)	94(26.8)	15(3.5)	19(5.0)	< 0.001
18.5–23.9	613(52.8)	197(56.1)	217(50.3)	199(52.6)	
≥ 24.0	419(36.1)	60(17.1)	199(46.2)	160(42.3)	
History of smoking, n(%)	245(21.1)	66(18.8)	92(21.3)	87(23.0)	0.376
History of alcoholism, n(%)	58(5.0)	23(6.6)	17(3.9)	18(4.8)	0.243
Serum lipid-lipoprotein levels					
TC, mmol/L	4.47 ± 1.30	4.23 ± 1.15	4.56 ± 1.42	4.60 ± 1.26	< 0.001
TG, mmol/L	1.81 ± 1.63	1.33 ± 0.79	1.95 ± 1.86	2.10 ± 1.83	< 0.001
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.12 ± 0.36	1.17 ± 0.43	1.07 ± 0.32	1.12 ± 0.31	0.001
LDL-C, mmol/L	2.45 ± 0.86	2.36 ± 0.77	2.52 ± 0.90	2.46 ± 0.88	0.023
Apo-A1, g/L	1.03 ± 0.27	1.01 ± 0.32	1.01 ± 0.26	1.05 ± 0.23	0.046
Apo-B, g/L	0.80 ± 0.25	0.75 ± 0.23	0.83 ± 0.26	0.82 ± 0.26	< 0.001

T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; CAD, coronary artery disease; BMI, body mass index; Values for age expressed as mean ± SD. TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; Apo-A1, apolipoprotein A1; Apo-B, apolipoprotein B

Table 2 Distribution frequencies of *APOE* genotype and allele in patients and controls

Variable	Genotype/allele	Total (n = 1160)	Controls (n = 351)	T2DM patients (n = 431)	T2DM + CAD patients (n = 378)	χ^2	p values
<i>APOE</i> genotype	$\epsilon 2/\epsilon 2$	6(0.5%)	2(0.6%)	1(0.2%)	3(0.8%)	1.261	0.525
	$\epsilon 2/\epsilon 3$	151(13.0%)	48(13.7%)	55(12.8%)	48(12.7%)	0.193	0.904
	$\epsilon 2/\epsilon 4$	28(2.4%)	8(2.3%)	8(1.9%)	12(3.2%)	1.525	0.471
	$\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$	800(69.0%)	255(72.6%)	318(73.8%)	227(60.1%)	20.926	< 0.001
	$\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$	162(14.0%)	34(9.7%)	45(10.4%)	83(22.0%)	29.900	< 0.001
	$\epsilon 4/\epsilon 4$	13(1.1%)	4(1.1%)	4(0.9%)	5(1.3%)	0.285	0.939
<i>APOE</i> allele	$\epsilon 2$	191(8.2%)	60(8.5%)	65(7.5%)	66(8.7%)	0.886	0.647
	$\epsilon 3$	1913(82.5%)	592(84.3%)	736(85.4%)	585(77.4%)	20.271	< 0.001
	$\epsilon 4$	216(9.3%)	50(7.1%)	61(7.1%)	105(13.9%)	27.843	< 0.001
	HWE (χ^2, p)	$\chi^2 = 9.060$, $p = 0.060$	$\chi^2 = 7.970$, $p = 0.093$	$\chi^2 = 5.957$, $p = 0.202$	$\chi^2 = 1.825$, $p = 0.768$		

HWE, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium

of TC and LDL-C among T2DM+CAD patients carried *APOE* $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles, respectively (Table 3).

Association of *APOE* gene polymorphisms with T2DM + CAD patients

The logistic regression analysis showed that age ≥ 60 years old (adjusted OR = 5.12, 95% CI = 3.57–7.34, $p < 0.001$), BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² (adjusted OR = 3.42, 95% CI = 2.28–5.12, $p < 0.001$), *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype (adjusted OR = 1.93, 95% CI = 1.18–3.14, $p = 0.008$) and $\epsilon 4$ allele (adjusted OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.23–3.17, $p = 0.005$) were independent risk factors for T2DM+CAD. In addition, age ≥ 60 years old (adjusted OR = 2.81, 95% CI = 2.02–3.91,

$p < 0.001$), and BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² (adjusted OR = 3.29, 95% CI = 2.28–4.75, $p < 0.001$) were independent risk factors for T2DM. However, the *APOE* genotypes and alleles were not found to have relationship with the risk of T2DM (Table 4).

Discussion

CVD is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with T2DM, however, T2DM has a wide range of risks and does not necessarily equate to the risk of CVD, indicating the importance of risk factor identification and risk assessment in patients with T2DM [32]. T2DM is strongly associated with an increased risk of CVD, and

Table 3 Clinical characteristics and serum lipid-lipoprotein levels of T2DM+CAD patients stratified by APOE ϵ 2, ϵ 3, ϵ 4 alleles

Variables	ϵ 2 (ϵ 2/ ϵ 2 + ϵ 2/ ϵ 3) (n=51)	ϵ 3 (ϵ 3/ ϵ 3) (n=227)	ϵ 4 (ϵ 3/ ϵ 4 + ϵ 4/ ϵ 4) (n=88)	p values
Age, years				
< 60, n(%)	10(19.6)	75(33.0)	16(18.2)	0.012
\geq 60, n(%)	41(80.4)	152(67.0)	72(81.8)	($\chi^2=8.899$)
Gender				
Male, n(%)	28(54.9)	149(65.6)	59(67.0)	0.307
Female, n(%)	23(45.1)	78(34.4)	29(33.0)	($\chi^2=2.429$)
BMI (kg/m ²)				
< 18.5	3(5.9)	15(6.6)	1(1.1)	0.335
18.5–23.9	28(54.9)	116(51.1)	47(53.4)	($\chi^2=4.519$)
\geq 24.0	20(39.2)	96(42.3)	40(45.5)	
History of smoking, n(%)	7(13.7)	57(25.1)	20(22.7)	0.221
History of alcoholism, n(%)	0(0)	15(6.6)	3(3.4)	0.106
				($\chi^2=4.156$)
Serum lipid-lipoprotein levels				
TC, mmol/L	4.49 \pm 1.12	4.56 \pm 1.36	4.74 \pm 1.09	0.435
TG, mmol/L	2.46 \pm 1.60	2.11 \pm 2.11	1.75 \pm 0.92 [#]	0.076
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.11 \pm 0.29	1.11 \pm 0.31	1.17 \pm 0.32	0.311
LDL-C, mmol/L	2.21 \pm 0.84	2.43 \pm 0.91	2.70 \pm 0.76 ^{#*}	0.004
Apo-A1, g/L	1.08 \pm 0.21	1.04 \pm 0.24	1.07 \pm 0.23	0.299
Apo-B, g/L	0.76 \pm 0.26	0.82 \pm 0.27	0.87 \pm 0.23 [#]	0.049

T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; CAD, coronary artery disease; BMI, body mass index; Values for age expressed as mean \pm SD. TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; Apo-A1, apolipoprotein A1; Apo-B, apolipoprotein B

[#]compared with ϵ 2, $p < 0.05$;

*compared with ϵ 3, $p < 0.05$

the two separate diseases often co-exist and affect each other [33, 34]. CAD is one of the most common manifestations of cardiovascular disease in T2DM patients and is largely associated with accelerated atherosclerosis driven by inflammation [34]. Cardiovascular disease mortality and morbidity are increased in patients with diabetes compared to non-DM patients [35]. The severity of coronary heart disease was positively correlated with DM [36]. Lipid levels have been linked to the risk of CAD and T2DM, and dyslipidemia is a significant risk factor for CAD and T2DM [37, 38]. ApoE is involved in lipid metabolism, so that the APOE gene polymorphisms are associated with CAD and T2DM. In this study, we examined the relationship between APOE gene polymorphisms and CAD in T2DM patients.

APOE ϵ 3/ ϵ 4 genotype and ϵ 4 allele were independent risk factors for T2DM+CAD, however, APOE genotypes and alleles were not found to be an independent risk factor for T2DM. Wu et al. found that the APOE ϵ 3/ ϵ 4 genotype has a significantly increased risk of T2DM+CAD [39]. Ozuynuk AS et al. showed that the APOE ϵ 2 carriers had a lower risk for T2DM+CAD in a Turkish population [40]. Chaudhary R et al. showed that the APOE ϵ 4 allele is a risk factor for CAD, T2DM, and T2DM+CAD in a study from a population in Thailand [41]. Another study showed that the APOE ϵ 4 allele was associated with an increased risk of CAD in T2DM patients, while ϵ 2 allele was not associated with CAD risk [42]. Liu et al. found that APOE ϵ 4 allele was higher in T2DM+CVD patients compared with controls [43]. In the Iranian population, T2DM patients with the APOE ϵ 2 and ϵ 4 alleles have a

Table 4 Logistic regression analysis of risk factors for T2DM, and T2DM+CAD.

Variables	T2DM				T2DM+CAD			
	Univariate OR (95% CI)	p values	Multivariate OR (95% CI)	p values	Univariate OR (95% CI)	p values	Multivariate OR (95% CI)	p values
Age (\geq 60/<60)	2.38 (1.78–3.19)	<0.001	2.81 (2.02–3.91)	<0.001	4.41 (3.21–6.05)	<0.001	5.12 (3.57–7.34)	<0.001
Gender (Male/Female)	0.95 (0.70–1.28)	0.721	0.91 (0.63–1.30)	0.594	0.99 (0.73–1.35)	0.946	1.03 (0.70–1.52)	0.848
BMI (kg/m ²)								
18.5–23.9	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
< 18.5	0.15 (0.08–0.26)	<0.001	0.14 (0.08–0.25)	<0.001	0.20 (0.12–0.35)	<0.001	0.20 (0.11–0.36)	<0.001
\geq 24.0	2.93 (2.07–4.15)	<0.001	3.29 (2.28–4.75)	<0.001	2.59 (1.81–3.70)	<0.001	3.42 (2.28–5.12)	<0.001
History of smoking (Yes/No)	1.17 (0.82–1.67)	0.381	1.47 (0.92–2.32)	0.105	1.27 (0.87–1.83)	0.192	1.39 (0.85–2.27)	0.185
History of alcoholism (Yes/No)	0.58 (0.31–1.11)	0.100	0.66 (0.29–1.47)	0.307	0.72 (0.38–1.36)	0.310	0.92 (0.41–2.07)	0.841
APOE genotypes								
ϵ 3/ ϵ 3	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
ϵ 2/ ϵ 2	0.40 (0.04–4.45)	0.457	0.83 (0.06–12.48)	0.893	1.69 (0.28–10.18)	0.570	3.57 (0.28–45.37)	0.326
ϵ 2/ ϵ 3	0.92 (0.60–1.40)	0.693	1.15 (0.70–1.87)	0.585	1.12 (0.73–1.74)	0.603	1.28 (0.75–2.16)	0.364
ϵ 3/ ϵ 4	1.06 (0.66–1.71)	0.806	1.07 (0.63–1.81)	0.805	2.74 (1.77–4.25)	<0.001	1.93 (1.18–3.14)	0.008
ϵ 4/ ϵ 4	0.80 (0.20–3.24)	0.757	2.43 (0.45–13.24)	0.306	1.40 (0.37–5.29)	0.616	2.67 (0.52–13.61)	0.239
APOE alleles								
ϵ 3	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
ϵ 2	0.90 (0.59–1.36)	0.612	1.13 (0.70–1.84)	0.611	1.15 (0.75–1.76)	0.534	1.32 (0.79–2.22)	0.287
ϵ 4	1.03 (0.66–1.63)	0.885	1.14 (0.68–1.90)	0.614	2.60 (1.71–3.96)	<0.001	1.97 (1.23–3.17)	0.005

higher risk of developing CAD than non-DM patients [44].

In addition, the *APOE* genotypes and alleles were not found to have relationship with the risk of T2DM. Several studies have reported the relationship between *APOE* polymorphism and impaired glucose metabolism and the risk of DM. Zeng et al. found that *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele are associated with an increased risk of T2DM among a Han Chinese population [22]. The *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele may be a risk factor for T2DM [45]. A meta-analysis suggests that the E2 allele of *APOE* gene may be a risk factor for T2DM [46]. Another meta-analysis based on a Chinese Han population suggests that *APOE* $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ alleles may be associated with an increased risk of T2DM [47]. On the other hand, some studies have come to negative or even contrary conclusions. Chatinun Srirojnopkun et al. found no association between *APOE* polymorphisms and T2DM [48]. In addition, studies have found that $\epsilon 4$ carriers are less likely to develop T2DM [49, 50]. Cátia Santos-Ferreira et al. showed that a significant increase in T2DM incidence in *APOE* $\epsilon 2$ carriers [51].

In this study, age ≥ 60 years old and BMI ≥ 24.0 kg/m² were independent risk factors for T2DM and T2DM+CAD. In other words, older and overweight persons are more likely to have T2DM and T2DM+CAD. The causal relationship between being overweight or obesity and T2DM and CAD has been widely recognized and supported [52, 53]. People with a higher BMI are more likely to develop DM and CAD [36, 54]. A study from Iran showed that overweight and smoking are the most common risk factors for CAD [55]. Saintrain MVL et al. found that patients with a BMI of 18.6 to 24.9 kg/m² are more at risk of malnutrition, and nutritional status is associated with CAD [56]. Bai et al. suggested that BMI had the strongest association with T2DM in women [57]. In addition, some studies have found that smoking and old age are factors that increase the risk of developing T2DM [58]. A report from the United States showed that being male, older, and having a history of smoking were significantly associated with CAD in T2DM patients [59]. T2DM+CAD patients tend to be older [60].

As for the role of *APOE* in the development of CAD in DM patients, it may be related to inflammatory response, oxidative stress, insulin resistance and other mechanisms. Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress exist in CAD, and subclinical inflammation and insulin resistance exist in DM patients [61, 62]. First, the normal vascular endothelial system can maintain the properties of anti-adhesion, regulate the contractile tension of blood vessels and affect coagulation. However, the high concentration of blood glucose and free fatty acids in the blood of DM patients can induce the occurrence of vascular wall

inflammation [63], destroy the normal function of endothelial cells, and lead to the excessive secretion of inflammatory factors and chemokines by immune cells, causing systemic inflammation [64]. Endothelial cell dysfunction can disrupt vascular balance, promote inflammation and thrombosis, and ultimately increase the risk of CVD. Studies have shown that ApoE^{-/-} mice can develop severe leukocytosis and lack a cholesterol efflux mechanism, and their accumulated cholesterol can lead to an inflammatory response [65, 66]. Second, insulin resistance is the body's weakened response to endogenous or exogenous insulin, which is related to the disorder of lipid metabolism. Reduced adiponectin levels can lead to insulin resistance, which is involved in the occurrence of DM and CAD [67]. In T2DM, adipocytes show insulin resistance, fat continues to dissolve, and free fatty acids are elevated and transported to the liver, leading to an increase in hepatogenic very low density lipoprotein cholesterol, which ultimately leads to increased atherosclerosis and further promotes the occurrence of CAD [68]. And experimental studies in animals have shown that ApoE may be related to insulin secretion [69]. Third, the disorder of pro-oxidation factors and antioxidant factors can lead to oxidative stress [70]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play an important role in vascular intimal thickening, vascular remodeling and arterial vascular injury [71]. Of course, more researches are needed to uncover the exact mechanism.

Limitations

In this study, *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele were independent risk factors for T2DM+CAD, but not for T2DM. It suggests that T2DM patients with *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype or $\epsilon 4$ allele need to be monitored for CAD risk. Some deficiencies in this study should be pointed out. First of all, this case-control study is based on hospitalized patients and physical examination subjects, and selection bias of population is inevitable. Secondly, the overweight population in this study was simply classified according to the BMI standard of Chinese people, the BMI cutoff values for T2DM and T2DM+CAD were not analyzed and determined. Confirmation of a race-specific BMI cutoff can optimize prevention, early diagnosis, and timely management of T2DM [72, 73]. Thirdly, the relationship between *APOE* gene polymorphism and the therapeutic effect of medication was not analyzed.

Future directions

More and more in-depth studies are needed to clarify the relationship between *APOE* polymorphisms and the risk of T2DM and T2DM+CAD, as well as the mechanism of action. With the rapid development of molecular biology technology, the genetic detection of chronic diseases has become possible. While detecting genetic variation

is simple, explaining the role of these genetic polymorphisms is critical and not easy. Although genetic risk tests for T2DM+CAD can provide a reference for clinicians in diagnosis and treatment, their current clinical application is limited. There are also deficiencies in the ability of genetic counseling and the lack of knowledge about the use of genetic testing among clinicians. These are the issues we need to pay attention to in the future.

Conclusion

In summary, *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele maybe independent risk factors for T2DM complicated with CAD. In other words, elderly, overweight T2DM patients who carried the *APOE* $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 4$ genotype and $\epsilon 4$ allele need to be aware of the risk of CAD.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank other colleagues whom were not listed in the authorship of Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Meizhou People's Hospital, for their helpful comments on the manuscript.

Author contributions

WC and BL designed the study. WC, HW, GW, KC, WW, SW and YL collected clinical data. WC and HW analyzed the data. WC prepared the manuscript. All authors were responsible for critical revisions, and all authors read and approved the final version of this work.

Funding

This study was supported by the Science and Technology Program for Social Development of Meizhou (Grant No.: 201980202001 and 23112909205296).

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants were informed on the study procedures and goals and the study obtained written informed consent from all the participants. We confirm that all methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. This study was approved by the Human Ethics Committees of Meizhou People's Hospital (Clearance No.: 2016-A-29).

Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

Author details

¹Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Meizhou People's Hospital, Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, No. 63 Huangtang Road, Meijiang District, Meizhou, China

²Guangdong Provincial Engineering and Technology Research Center for Molecular Diagnostics of Cardiovascular Diseases, Meizhou People's Hospital, Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, China

³Department of Computer Tomography, Meizhou People's Hospital, Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, China

Received: 3 January 2024 / Accepted: 7 March 2024

Published online: 15 March 2024

References

- Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, Addolorato G, Ammirati E, Baddour LM, Barengo NC, Beaton AZ, Benjamin EJ, Benziger CP, et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular diseases and Risk factors, 1990–2019: Update from the GBD 2019 study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76(25):2982–3021.
- Shaya GE, Leucker TM, Jones SR, Martin SS, Toth PP. Coronary heart disease risk: low-density lipoprotein and beyond. *Trends Cardiovasc Med*. 2022;32(4):181–94.
- Stone PH, Libby P, Boden WE. Fundamental pathobiology of coronary atherosclerosis and clinical implications for chronic ischemic heart Disease Management—the Plaque hypothesis: a narrative review. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2023;8(2):192–201.
- Bauersachs R, Zeymer U, Brière JB, Marre C, Bowrin K, Huelsebeck M. Burden of Coronary Artery Disease and Peripheral Artery Disease: A Literature Review. *Cardiovasc Ther*. 2019, 2019:8295054.
- Duggan JP, Peters AS, Trachiotis GD, Antevil JL. Epidemiology of coronary artery disease. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2022;102(3):499–516.
- Gao J, Yang T, Song B, Ma X, Ma Y, Lin X, Wang H. Abnormal tryptophan catabolism in diabetes mellitus and its complications: opportunities and challenges. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2023;166:115395.
- Mearns H, Otiku PK, Shelton M, Kredon T, Kagina BM, Schmidt BM. Screening strategies for adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review protocol. *Syst Rev*. 2020;9(1):156.
- Tinajero MG, Malik VS. An update on the epidemiology of type 2 diabetes: A Global Perspective. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*. 2021;50(3):337–55.
- Ding C, Bao Y, Bai B, Liu X, Shi B, Tian L. An update on the economic burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus in China. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*. 2022;22(4):617–25.
- Katsiki N, Tousoulis D. Diabetes mellitus and comorbidities: a bad romance. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. 2020;61(1):23–5.
- Yen FS, Wei JC, Chiu LT, Hsu CC, Hwu CM. Diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease development. *J Transl Med*. 2022;20(1):9.
- Niwa K. Metabolic syndrome in adult congenital heart disease. *Korean Circ J*. 2019;49(8):691–708.
- Moreno B, de Faria AP, Ritter AMV, Yugar LBT, Ferreira-Melo SE, Amorim R, Modolo R, Fattori A, Yugar-Toledo JC, Coca A, et al. Glycated hemoglobin correlates with arterial stiffness and endothelial dysfunction in patients with resistant hypertension and uncontrolled diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2018;20(5):910–7.
- Hong YJ, Jeong MH, Choi YH, Ko JS, Lee MG, Kang WY, Lee SE, Kim SH, Park KH, Sim DS, et al. Plaque characteristics in culprit lesions and inflammatory status in diabetic acute coronary syndrome patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2(3):339–49.
- Alidu H, Dapare PPM. Insulin Resistance in relation to Hypertension and Dyslipidaemia among Men Clinically Diagnosed with Type 2 Diabetes. *Biomed Res Int*. 2023, 2023:8873226.
- Singh PP, Singh M, Mastana SS. APOE distribution in world populations with new data from India and the UK. *Ann Hum Biol*. 2006;33(3):279–308.
- Marais AD. Apolipoprotein E in lipoprotein metabolism, health and cardiovascular disease. *Pathology*. 2019;51(2):165–76.
- Khalil YA, Rabès JP, Boileau C, Varret M. APOE gene variants in primary dyslipidemia. *Atherosclerosis*. 2021;328:11–22.
- Seripa D, D'Onofrio G, Panza F, Cascavilla L, Masullo C, Pilotto A. The genetics of the human APOE polymorphism. *Rejuvenation Res*. 2011;14(5):491–500.
- Phillips MC. Apolipoprotein E isoforms and lipoprotein metabolism. *IUBMB Life*. 2014;66(9):616–23.
- Rao H, Wu H, Yu Z, Huang Q. APOE Genetic Polymorphism rs7412 T/T Genotype May Be a Risk Factor for Essential Hypertension among Hakka People in Southern China. *Int J Hypertens*. 2022, 2022:8145896.
- Zeng Y, Wen S, Huan L, Xiong L, Zhong B, Wang P. Association of ApoE gene polymorphisms with serum lipid levels and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Chinese Han population of central China. *PeerJ*. 2023;11:e15226.
- Ma W, Ren X, Zhang L, Dong H, Lu X, Feng W. Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and coronary artery Disease Risk among patients in Northwest China. *Pharmgenomics Pers Med*. 2021;14:1591–9.
- Liu Q, Wu H, Yu Z, Huang Q. APOE gene $\epsilon 4$ allele (388 C-526 C) effects on serum lipids and risk of coronary artery disease in southern Chinese Hakka population. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2021;35(9):e23925.
- Shi J, Liu Y, Liu Y, Li Y, Qiu S, Bai Y, Gu Y, Luo J, Cui H, Li Y, et al. Association between ApoE polymorphism and hypertension: a meta-analysis of 28 studies including 5898 cases and 7518 controls. *Gene*. 2018;675:197–207.

26. Chen DW, Shi JK, Li Y, Yang Y, Ren SP. Association between ApoE polymorphism and type 2 diabetes: a Meta-analysis of 59 studies. *Biomed Environ Sci*. 2019;32(11):823–38.
27. Benhalima K, Van Crombrugge P, Moyson C, Verhaeghe J, Vandeginste S, Verlaenen H, Vercammen C, Maes T, Dufraimont E, De Block C, et al. Risk factor screening for gestational diabetes mellitus based on the 2013 WHO criteria. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2019;180(6):353–63.
28. Lee SE, Sung JM, Rizvi A, Lin FY, Kumar A, Hadamitzky M, Kim YJ, Conte E, Andreini D, Pontone G, et al. Quantification of coronary atherosclerosis in the Assessment of Coronary Artery Disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11(7):e007562.
29. Liu J, Huang S, Wang X, Li B, Ma J, Sun H, Xi X, Sun Y, Zhang L, Liu J, et al. Effect of the coronary arterial diameter derived from coronary computed tomography angiography on fractional Flow Reserve. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2022;46(3):397–405.
30. He W, Li Q, Yang M, Jiao J, Ma X, Zhou Y, Song A, Heymsfield SB, Zhang S, Zhu S. Lower BMI cutoffs to define overweight and obesity in China. *Obes (Silver Spring Md)*. 2015;23(3):684–91.
31. Tang J, Zhu X, Chen Y, Huang D, Tiemeier H, Chen R, Bao W, Zhao Q. Association of maternal pre-pregnancy low or increased body mass index with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):3831.
32. Wong ND, Sattar N. Cardiovascular risk in diabetes mellitus: epidemiology, assessment and prevention. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2023;20(10):685–95.
33. Ceriello A. Thiazolidinediones as anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic agents. *Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews*. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2008;24(1):14–26.
34. Ofstad AP. Myocardial dysfunction and cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2016;76(4):271–81.
35. Halim EF, Reda AA, Hendi AA, Zaki SA, Essa ES, Khalifa AS. Apolipoprotein E gene variants as a risk factor for coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetic Egyptian patients. *Egypt J Immunol*. 2012;19(1):1–10.
36. Formentini FS, Zaina Nagano FE, Lopes Neto FDN, Adam EL, Fortes FS, Silva LFD. Coronary artery disease and body mass index: what is the relationship? *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2019; 34:87–93.
37. Ariyanti R, Besral B. Dyslipidemia Associated with Hypertension Increases the Risks for Coronary Heart Disease: A Case-Control Study in Harapan Kita Hospital, National Cardiovascular Center, Jakarta. *J Lipids*. 2019; 2019:2517013.
38. Verdecchia P, Reboldi G, Angeli F, Trimarco B, Mancina G, Pogue J, Gao P, Sleight P, Teo K, Yusuf S. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure changes in relation with myocardial infarction and stroke in patients with coronary artery disease. *Hypertension*. 2015;65(1):108–14.
39. Wu L, Zhang Y, Zhao H, Rong G, Huang P, Wang F, Xu T. Dissecting the Association of Apolipoprotein E Gene Polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes Mellitus and Coronary Artery Disease. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2022;13:838547.
40. Ozyunuk AS, Erkan AF, Dogan N, Ekici B, Erginel-Unaltuna N, Kurmus O, Coban N. Examining the effects of the CLU and APOE polymorphisms' combination on coronary artery disease complexed with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2022;36(1):108078.
41. Chaudhary R, Likidilid A, Peerapatit T, Tresukosol D, Srisuma S, Ratanananechat S, Sriratanasathavorn C. Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism: effects on plasma lipids and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2012;11:36.
42. Luo JQ, Ren H, Banh HL, Liu MZ, Xu P, Fang PF, Xiang DX. The associations between Apolipoprotein E Gene Epsilon2/Epsilon3/Epsilon4 polymorphisms and the risk of coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes Mellitus. *Front Physiol*. 2017;8:1031.
43. Liu S, Liu J, Weng R, Gu X, Zhong Z. Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and the risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2019;19(1):213.
44. Vaisi-Raygani A, Rahimi Z, Nomani H, Tavilani H, Pourmotabbed T. The presence of apolipoprotein epsilon4 and epsilon2 alleles augments the risk of coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetic patients. *Clin Biochem*. 2007;40(15):1150–6.
45. Gao C, Fu X, Chu Q, Li J, Shu G. Relationship between the ApoE gene polymorphism and type 2 diabetes Mellitus complications. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. 2021;25(2):111–5.
46. Anthopoulos PG, Hamodrakas SJ, Bagos PG. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of 30 studies including 5423 cases and 8197 controls. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2010;100(3):283–91.
47. Yin YW, Qiao L, Sun QQ, Hu AM, Liu HL, Wang Q, Hou ZZ. Influence of apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism on development of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han population: a meta-analysis of 29 studies. *Metabolism*. 2014;63(4):532–41.
48. Srirojnopkun C, Kietrungwilaikul K, Boonsong K, Thongpoonkaew J, Jeenduang N. Association of APOE and CETP Taq1B polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes Mellitus. *Arch Med Res*. 2018;49(7):479–85.
49. Pitchika A, Markus MRP, Schipf S, Teumer A, Van der Auwera S, Nauck M, Dörr M, Felix S, Jörgen Grabe H, Völzke H, et al. Longitudinal association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with lipid profile, type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome: results from a 15 year follow-up study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2022;185:109778.
50. Galal AA, Abd Elmajeed AA, Elbaz RA, Wafa AM, Elshazli RM. Association of Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism with the risk of T2DM and obesity among Egyptian subjects. *Gene*. 2021;769:145223.
51. Santos-Ferreira C, Baptista R. Apolipoprotein E2 Genotype Is Associated with a 2-Fold Increase in the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Results from a Long-Term Observational Study. *J Lipids*. 2019; 2019:1698610.
52. Riaz H, Khan MS, Siddiqi TJ, Usman MS, Shah N, Goyal A, Khan SS, Mookadam F, Krasuski RA, Ahmed H. Association between Obesity and Cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of mendelian randomization studies. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1(7):e183788.
53. Gullaksen S, Funck KL. Volumes of coronary plaque disease in relation to body mass index, waist circumference, truncal fat mass and epicardial adipose tissue in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and controls. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2019;16(4):328–36.
54. Geng S, Chen X, Bai K, Ding J, Li H, Shi S. Association of the Clínica Universidad De Navarra-Body Adiposity Estimator with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Public Health*. 2023;68:1606063.
55. Maleki A, Ghanavati R, Montazeri M, Foroughi S, Nabatchi B. Prevalence of coronary artery Disease and the Associated Risk factors in the Adult Population of Borujerd City, Iran. *J Tehran Heart Cent*. 2019;14(1):1–5.
56. Saintrain MVL, Sandrin R, Bezerra CB, Lima AOP, Nobre MA, Braga DRA. Nutritional assessment of older adults with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2019;155:107819.
57. Bai K, Chen X, Song R, Shi W, Shi S. Association of body mass index and waist circumference with type 2 diabetes mellitus in older adults: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2022;22(1):489.
58. Titova OE, Baron JA, Fall T, Michaëlsson K, Larsson SC. Swedish Snuff (Snus), cigarette smoking, and risk of type 2 diabetes. *American journal of preventive medicine*. *Am J Prev Med*. 2023;65(1):60–6.
59. Li L, Gong S, Xu C, Zhou JY, Wang KS. Sleep duration and smoking are associated with coronary heart disease among US adults with type 2 diabetes: gender differences. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2017;124:93–101.
60. González-Juanatey C, Anguita-Sánchez M, Barrios V. Impact of Advanced Age on the incidence of major adverse Cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes Mellitus and stable coronary artery disease in a Real-World setting in Spain. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(16):5218.
61. Petrie JR, Guzik TJ, Touyz RM. Diabetes, hypertension, and Cardiovascular Disease: clinical insights and vascular mechanisms. *Can J Cardiol*. 2018;34(5):575–84.
62. YYousef H, Khandoker AH, Feng SF, Helf C, Jelinek HF. Inflammation, oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in the progression of type II diabetes mellitus with coexisting hypertension. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2023;14:1173402.
63. Caballero-Flores G, Sakamoto K, Zeng MY, Wang Y, Hakim J, Matus-Acuña V, Inohara N, Núñez G. Maternal immunization confers Protection to the offspring against an attaching and Effacing Pathogen through Delivery of IgG in breast milk. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2019;25(2):313–e323314.
64. Ballak DB, Stienstra R, Tack CJ, Dinarello CA, van Diepen JA. IL-1 family members in the pathogenesis and treatment of metabolic disease: focus on adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance. *Cytokine*. 2015;75(2):280–90.
65. Yvan-Charvet L, Pagler T, Gautier EL, Avagyan S, Siry RL, Han S, Welch CL, Wang N, Randolph GJ, Snoeck HW, et al. ATP-binding cassette transporters and HDL suppress hematopoietic stem cell proliferation. *Volume 328*. New York, NY: Science; 2010. pp. 1689–93. 5986.
66. Murphy AJ, Akhtari M, Tolani S, Pagler T, Bijl N, Kuo CL, Wang M, Sanson M, Abramowicz S, Welch C, et al. ApoE regulates hematopoietic stem cell proliferation, monocytosis, and monocytic accumulation in atherosclerotic lesions in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121(10):4138–49.
67. Lanas F, Serón P, Saavedra N, Ruedlinger J, Salazar L. Genetic and non-genetic determinants of circulating levels of Adiponectin in a cohort of Chilean subjects. *Mol Diagn Ther*. 2015;19(4):197–204.

68. Wang X, Gao H, Xu H. Cluster analysis of unhealthy lifestyles among Elderly adults with prediabetes: a cross-sectional study in Rural China. *Diabetes Ther.* 2019;10(5):1935–48.
69. Koren-Iton A, Salomon-Zimri S, Smolar A, Shavit-Stein E. Central and Peripheral mechanisms in ApoE4-Driven Diabetic Pathology. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21(4):1289.
70. Sinha N, Dabla PK. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in hypertension—a current review. *Current hypertension reviews. Curr Hypertens Rev.* 2015;11(2):132–42.
71. Drummond GR, Sobey CG. Endothelial NADPH oxidases: which NOX to target in vascular disease? *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;25(9):452–63.
72. Caleyachetty R, Barber TM, Mohammed NI, Cappuccio FP, Hardy R, Mathur R, Banerjee A, Gill P. Ethnicity-specific BMI cutoffs for obesity based on type 2 diabetes risk in England: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2021;9(7):419–26.
73. Rodriguez LA, Bradshaw PT, Shiboski SC, Fernandez A, Vittinghoff E, Herrington D, Ding J, Kanaya AM. Examining if the relationship between BMI and incident type 2 diabetes among middle-older aged adults varies by race/ethnicity: evidence from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). *Diabet Med.* 2021;38(5):e14377.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.